ATTACHMENT V Part 3

Cowen US Bank Final Version 15

13 0121338610 he subject loan closed on 06/06/2006, in the amount of \$353,780 as each out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The oan was approved as a Full Decementation loan with a 90% do not be 100% (Combined Loan to Value, and a 31.128% Debt to Income. There SAIL 2006-BNC3 \$353,700.00 2846139 was a manual approval dated 06/05/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower received \$23,784 cash out at closing. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Obtain The loan file did not contain an origination credit report. 2846139 SAIL 2006-Credit Report Valid Credit BNC3 Underwriti The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. Deemed MnA Po The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of a valid credit report; and as such, there is no evidence in the file hat the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that she owned her current residence for 3 years with a monthly payment of \$2,729. The loan 2846139 SAIL 2006-/OM/VOR Housing History file did not contain an origination credit report or a payoff. The audit credit report reflected a 4 month history from 03/2006 through BNC3 Underwriti 06/2006; however, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history from 07/2005 through 02/2006. Methodology -Deemed MnA Po The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan mploys objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the housing history was not properly verified and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of an orchid company earning \$20,469 2846139 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation SAIL 2006n of Income - Red per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post-closing documentation, which reflected the Borrower filed for a chapter 13 BNC3_Underwriting Flags Present -Bankruptcy on 04/07/2007 and a chapter 7 on 01/10/2008. The chapter 13 Bankruptcy was filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court Middle District of Florida and the Statement of Financial Affairs revealed the Borrower's 2006 income was \$13,602, or \$1,134 per month. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2006-BNC3 No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such locumentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAII and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed o Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of an orchid company earning \$20,469 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the

represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen US Bank Final Version 15

/OM/VOR

/OM/VOR

2 Underwriting

Deemed MnA_Po

Underwriting

Deemed MnA_Poo

Methodology -

Methodology -

the subject loan closed on 08/15/2006, in the amount of \$223,550,550 out refinance of a second home single family residence located in a planned unit development the loan was approved as a run bound family residence located in a planned unit development of the loan with an 85% Loan to \$223,550.00 2844912 alue/Combined Loan to Value, and a 28.799% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 08/18/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that she owned her current residence for 6 months with a monthly payment of \$2,956: 2844912 owever, the subject loan was for a second home. The loan file contained an origination credit report, dated 07/28/2006, which did not reflect a mortgage payment history for the second home. The loan file contained a payoff statement, dated 08/07/2006, which reflected the Borrower was due for the 08/01/2006 payment; however, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's previous 12 nonth housing history for the second home. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a easonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the housing history was not properly verified and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the ertificateholders. oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 08/09/2006, in the amount of \$159,000, as rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence Full 284491 ocated in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 66.25% Loan to Value/Combined Loar to Value, and a 48.035% Debt to Income. There was a manual approval dated 08/14/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrowers stated on the loan application that they owned their current residence for 2.1 years with a monthly payment of \$1,156. The 2844917 Housing History oan file contained an origination credit report, dated 07/27/2006 which reflected a mortgage payment history from 03/2005 through 03/2006. The loan file also contained a payoff, dated 08/03/2006 which reflected the Borrowers were due for the 05/2006 payment;

nowever, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history from 05/2006 through 07/2006.

employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.

easonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

ability to repay the subject loan.

Certificateholders.

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan

The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a

Despite the Seller's representations, the housing history was not properly verified and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the epresented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

14 0122251044

15 0122253651

BNCMT 2006-2

3NCMT 2006-2

16 0122254121	1st	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	000		080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan closed on 08/18/2006, in the amplitude of files (1906) in the amplitude of files (1906) in the subject loan closed on 08/18/2006, in the amplitude of files (1906) in the amplitude of file	\$176,000.00	2844920	
								The subject loan closed on 08/18/2006, in the amount of \$176,080 as a sesh-out refinance of an owner-occupied condominium property. There was neither an Automated Guiden witing System (AUS) for Manual Opproval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether			
								the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in			
								the loan file. The loan was represented as a Full Documentation loan; however, the loan file was missing income and asset documentation.			
								The loan file was also missing the second lien Note in the amount of \$44,000 and an origination credit report.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC			1	3	The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit,		2844920	
			2006-	Credit Report	Valid Credit			so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the			
			2_Underwriting Methodology -		Report			Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
			Deemed MnA Pool					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan			
			1					employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the			
								underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of a valid credit report; and as such, there is no evidence in the file			
								that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.			
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
						1 1		represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
								Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Improper	Improper	2 3		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan	\$0.00	2844920	
			2006-	Calculation of	Calculation of			employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the			
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	Income	Income			underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
			Deemed MnA Pool					The loan was represented as a Full Documentation loan; however, the loan file did not contain any income documents. No monthly income			
			1					was used to determine the DTI. An income was unable to be determined; therefore, the correct recalculation results in no monthly income.			
								A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based the Borrower's proper income calculation results in an invalid DTI which has a significant			
								impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file reveals the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's income,			
								and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
								represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
								Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Incomplete	Incomplete	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan		2844920	
			2006-	Income	Income]		employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the			
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	Documentation	Documentation			underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
			Deemed MnA_Pool					Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file of a review of the Borrower's income documentation. The loan was			
			1					represented as a Full Documentation loan; however, there are no income documents to determine if the income stated on the origination application is correct and if it supported the Borrower's liabilities at the time of closing.			
								application is correct and it it supported the bottower's habilities at the time of closing.			
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
								represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			

Cowen US Bank Final Version 15 08-13555-mg Doc 46

	Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings	
46	080-7	nent
	The subject loan closed on 08/07/2006, in the amount of F295.000 as a pash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a subcentioned/State Abst. ban. With 4593 You at to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 127.29% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/15/2006, in the loan file.	SISA
	Debt to income ratio (bil). There was a wantable approval dated by 13/2000, in the loan line.	

en_US Bank_Final_Vers				3-13555-mg	Doc 46	<u> 5080-7 </u>	<u>nent</u>		
17 0122271356	1st E	BNCMT 2006-2	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 08/07/2006, is the amount of \$295,080 as a pash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a subcommon of the subcommon of	ISA \$295,000.00	2844953	
		1.04 (b) (xl) (d) 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_ 1	Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	13	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Laborer for 1.2 years, earning \$5,700 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$5,700 per month for a Laborer is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The US Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Laborer in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$2,922 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	30.0	2844953	
		2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	BNC Misrepresentation of Income - Rec Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	n of Income	2 3	Certificateholders. The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Laborer earning \$5,700 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment was obtained, which verified the Borrower's income for the subject year loan closing 2006 was \$2,173 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.		2844953	
		1.04 (b) (xxi) BN 2006-2_No Frau 1.04 (b) (xxiii) B 2006-2_No Eve Default	nd NC			The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Laborer earning \$5,700 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			

18 0123001760	1st	SASC 2006-BC6		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	ilig n		1080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachn The subject loan closed on 10/25/2006, is the amount of £412,000 as to go say, out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence.	SISA	\$412,000.00	2846867
	_50	2. 20 2000 200			y			1080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm The subject loan closed on 10/25/2006, is the amount of \$412,000 as a cycle out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as \$\tilde{a}\$ Steel and the one //State and the Oscardian subject with the loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV),		ŷ .12,000.00	20.0007
								and a 39.96% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/31/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS	Failure to Provide		1	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be			2846867
			2006-	Final HUD-1	Provide Final			provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final			
			BC6_Compliance		HUD-1			HUD-1 is in file; however, the 1200 section is cut off.			
			with Applicable								
			Law - Deemed MnA					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,			
								state, and federal laws.			
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (g)								
			SAS 2006-BC6_Fees					Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			
			Disclosed - Deemed								
			MnA_Pool 1					Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to			
		1			1			materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
		1	1.04 (b) (xviii) (h)		1						
		1	SAS 2006-		1						
		1	BC6_Written		1						
		1	Disclosure -		1						
		1	Deemed MnA_Pool		1						
		1	1		1						
			1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS								
			2006-								
			BC6_Origination								
			Practices								
19 0123011900	1st	SAS 2006-Z		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0 0	The subject loan closed on 10/11/2006, in the amount of \$117,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan	stated	\$117,000.00	2844766
				•	·			was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets Ioan, with a 90%/95% Ioan-to-value/combined Ioan-to-value, and a 41.53% debt-to-			
								income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 10/16/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xix) (j) SAS	Improper	Improper	3	3 3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan		\$0.00	2844766
						1					
			2006-	Calculation of	Calculation of			employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.			
			2006- Z_Underwriting	Calculation of Debts	Calculation of Debts			employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.			
								employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a			
			Z_Underwriting								
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined monthly payment of \$230 for the Borrower's homeowner's insurance and property taxes; however, a review of the homeowner's insurance			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined monthly payment of \$230 for the Borrower's homeowner's insurance and property taxes; however, a review of the homeowner's insurance policy revealed the Borrower's homeowner's insurance payment was \$69 per month and a review of the appraisal revealed the Borrower's			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined monthly payment of \$230 for the Borrower's homeowner's insurance and property taxes; however, a review of the homeowner's insurance policy revealed the Borrower's homeowner's insurance payment was \$69 per month and a review of the appraisal revealed the Borrower's property tax payment was \$196 per month, or a total of \$265 per month. The correct recalculation of total debt results in a monthly debt of			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined monthly payment of \$230 for the Borrower's homeowner's insurance and property taxes; however, a review of the homeowner's insurance policy revealed the Borrower's homeowner's insurance payment was \$69 per month and a review of the appraisal revealed the Borrower's property tax payment was \$196 per month, or a total of \$265 per month. The correct recalculation of total debt results in a monthly debt of			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined monthly payment of \$230 for the Borrower's homeowner's insurance and property taxes; however, a review of the homeowner's insurance policy revealed the Borrower's homeowner's insurance payment was \$69 per month and a review of the appraisal revealed the Borrower's property tax payment was \$196 per month, or a total of \$265 per month. The correct recalculation of total debt results in a monthly debt of \$1,363.			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined monthly payment of \$230 for the Borrower's homeowner's insurance and property taxes; however, a review of the homeowner's insurance policy revealed the Borrower's homeowner's insurance payment was \$69 per month and a review of the appraisal revealed the Borrower's property tax payment was \$196 per month, or a total of \$265 per month. The correct recalculation of total debt results in a monthly debt of \$1,363. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
			Z_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,329 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Borrower was qualified with a combined monthly payment of \$230 for the Borrower's homeowner's insurance and property taxes; however, a review of the homeowner's insurance policy revealed the Borrower's homeowner's insurance payment was \$69 per month and a review of the appraisal revealed the Borrower's property tax payment was \$196 per month, or a total of \$265 per month. The correct recalculation of total debt results in a monthly debt of \$1,363. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts			

Cowen US Bank Final Version 15 The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Inventory Associate earning \$3,200 per mor on the loan application. An author verification of employment and mount was obtained through The Work Number, which revealed the .04 (b) (xix) (j) SAS Misrepresentation 2844766 of Income - Rec Borrower's actual income was \$5,447, or \$694 per month based on a hire date of 05/2006, or 7.83 months, for the year of the subject loan 2 Underwriting Flags Present -Methodology -Same Year eemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2006-Z_No Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2006-Z_No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Inventory Associate earning \$3,200 per month on the Ioan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (c) (v) SAS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. Per the loan application, the Borrower indicated employment an 2844766 of Employment of Employmen 2006-Z No Fraud nventory Control Associate for 2 years, earning \$3,200 per month. An audit re-verification of employment and income was obtained hrough The Work Number, which revealed the Borrower's start date was 05/05/2006; therefore, the Borrower was only employed for 5 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS lags Present 2006-Z No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as Inventory Control Associate for 2 years, which constituted in event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 20 0123523482 NCMT 2007-1 he subject loan closed on 11/20/2006, in the amount of \$183,750, as a cash-out refinance of an owner-occupied single family residence. \$183,750.0 284500 oan Summan he loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49.32% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 12/07/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 2845001 Improper mprope Calculation of Calculation of employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the Underwriting inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.)ehts Methodology eemed MnA_Poo The inaccurately calculated debt significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1.507 were used by the Lender to determine the Debt to Income ratio (DTI) An auto loan was obtained in 08/2006 with a balance of \$33,380 and a monthly payment of \$690, which was not included in the Lender's calculation. The correct recalculation results in a monthly debt of Total Verified Debt of \$2.206.

a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan

Certificateholders

Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts and ha

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

US Bank_Final_Ve	131011_13	DNG 47 2007 1	1	30	2-T3222-	mg_	_DOC 46	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachr	nent	646F 7F0 00	2045022	
21 0123535874	1st	BNCMT 2007-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0 0	Per the data tape, the subject Loan closed on 12/18/2006 in the propert of 5165,750. The loan was approved as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied propert 13.5 better income/State Asset (SIA) domentation loan, with a 65% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application, the Note, and the Mortgage, the title report, verbal Verification of Employment (VOE) and origination credit report. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	SISA	\$165,750.00	2845022	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC 2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Provide the Credit Package	Failure to Provide Credit Package	1	13	The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application, the Note, Mortgage, the title report, verbal Verification of Employment (VOE) and origination credit report. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		\$0.00	2845022	
. 22 0123557605	1st	BNCMT 2007-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	(0 0	The subject loan closed on 12/15/2006, in the amount of \$390,150, as a purchase of an owner-occupied single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (ITV/CLTV) and a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) of 48.654%. There was a Manual approval dated 12/05/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$390,150.00	2845060	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC 2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1	13	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Building Manager for 4 years, earning \$9,800 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$9,800 per month for a Building Manager is unreasonable and is an red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Building Manager in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$5,977 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		50.00	2845060	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (I) BNC 2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Verify Assets	Failure to Verify Assets	5	3 3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$52,352. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify \$6,000 in earnest money deposits along with 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$7,460 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$65,813 were required to be verified. The loan file did not contain any asset documentation to verify the required funds. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$65,813 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		50 00	2845060	

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_15

		1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC 2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxii) BNC 2007-1_No Event of Default	n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year	'	4 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Building Manager earning \$9,800 per month on the loan application. All audit bethindation of Employeent was perferned by the Borrower's employer, which revealed the Borrower made \$41,559 in 2006, or \$3,463 per month. The loan file contained tax returns, which also supported this income showing a combined income with his spouse in 2006 of \$56,618. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Building Manager earning \$9,800 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accorda			2845060	
23 0123850844 1st	BNCMT 2007-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 01/04/2007, in the amount of \$238,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.7% Debt to Income	Full	\$238,000.00	2845064	
						ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.				
		1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-2_Origination Practices		Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The Subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 statement to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain a final HUD-1 statement. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		50.0	2845064	
		1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-2_Compliance with Applicable		Failure to Provide Final TIL	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final Truth in Lending (TIL) statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final Truth in Lending statement.			2845064	
		Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-2_Origination Practices				The Seller represented and warranted that the originating practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
		1.04 (c) (xxxiv) BNC 2007-2_Qualified	Failure to Provide the Origination	Failure to Obtain Qualified	5 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination			2845064	
		Appraisal	Appraisal	Appraisal		appraisal was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.				
						Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.				

11,200.00	2845065 2845065	
	2845065	3
	2845065	5
	2845065	3
	2845065	5
	2845065	5
ı		
_		
1,300.00	2847020	
	2847020)
	20.45	
8,990.00	2847021	
\$0.00	2847021	
	204,021	
_		
	8,990.00	

Cowen US Bank Final Version 15

wen_US Bank_Final_version_15		30	<u> 3-13555-</u>	-ma	Doc 460	080-7 Filed	nent			
	1.04 (c) (v) SAS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	3 3					2847021	
	2007-BC3_No	n of Income - No	n of Income			The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Co-Owner of a tax preparation services business earning \$17,950 per life till on the loan anglication. The Borrower discount in the				
	Fraud	Red Flags Present	t			address with a gross rental amount of \$1,900 per month. The loan file contained post closing income documentation, including the				
		- Same Year				Borrower's 2007 tax return, which reflected her spouse was the Owner/Operator of the business listed on the loan application. In addition,				
	1.04 (c) (vii) SAS	Income Evidence	:			the loan file contained a rental agreement for the subject property that was provided by the Borrower for loss mitigation. The rental				
	2007-BC3_No Ever	nt				agreement was dated 01/10/2007, which was one day after the subject loan closed. Additionally, a search of Accurint, confirmed through				
	of Default					utility records, revealed the Borrower continued to occupy her departing address from 03/2003 through 01/2013; therefore, no rental				
						income was given to the Borrower departing address.				
						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
						documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
						and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of				
						Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
						Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
						bottomes of any openic direction in connection with the approval of the count constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
						Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Co-Owner of a tax preparation services business earning				
						\$17,950 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.				
						921/350 per montron the roam application, filmer constitutes an etern of delicate and the executed montgage and seed of most				
	1.04 (c) (v) SAS	Occupancy	Misrepresentatio	4 3	}	The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. The loan file contained a rental agreement for the subject property		\$0.00	2847021	
	2007-BC3_No	Misrepresentatio	n of Occupancy			that was provided by the Borrower for loss mitigation. The rental agreement was dated 01/10/2007, which was one day after the subject				
	Fraud	n - With No Red				loan closed. Additionally a search of Accurint, confirmed through utility records, revealed the Borrower continued to occupy her departing				
		Flags Present				address from 03/2003 through 01/2013.				
	1.04 (c) (vii) SAS									
	2007-BC3_No Ever	nt				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
	of Default					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
						and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of				
						Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
						Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
						Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event				
						of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				

Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule Repo

Cowen US Bank Final Version 14

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

owen_US E	ank_Final_Versio	n_14			08-135	55-mg	Doc 2	<u> 16080-7</u>	Filed 08/22/14	ent			
	Loan Number		Deal Name	Section of the	Issue Description	Breach		Rating	Breakly Description 1 2 of 256 The subject to an closed on 02/03/2004, in the amount of \$46,800, as an attached townhouse. There was neither an	Document Type		racking Number	Comments
1	00011938701	1st	SASC 2003-39EX		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The credit package is missing from the loan file; therefore, document type is unknown. The loan file did include the Title Commitment, Home Owners Insurance, Note, HUD-1, final TIL and Mortgage.	Unknown	\$46,800.00	2846476	
				1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	1	3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal contained pages 1 and 2; however, the complete report was not provided. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.			2846476	
2	00047005038	1st	SARM 2007-8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 07/11/2007, in the amount of \$510,000, as a rate/term refinance of an owner-occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 70.84% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 34.72% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual Approval dated 07/10/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$510,000.00	2846372	
				1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2007-8_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2007-8_No Event of Default			1	3	The Borrowers misrepresented their ownership of assets. The loan file contained 2 months checking account bank statements with the most recent bank statement, dated through 06/13/2007, indicating an ending balance of \$35,942; however, an audit Verification of Deposit from the institution revealed the balance as of 06/13/2007 was \$3,942. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely disclosed they had \$35,942 in assets when in fact they had \$3,942. The amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$32,000, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			2846372	
				1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2007-8_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2007-8_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	•	2	3	The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrowers falsely stated income as the Owners of a restaurant for 3 years earning a combined \$17,915 per month. The loan file contained the Borrowers' 2008 tax return, which revealed the Borrowers' self employment income for 2007 the year the subject loan closed was \$885 per month each, or a combined income of \$1,770. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated income as Owners of a restaurant earning a combined \$17,915 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.		30.0	2846372	

en_US Bank_Final_Version_1	14			<u>08-13</u> 5	<u>55-mg</u>	<u>Doc</u> 4	<u> 16080-7</u>	Filed 08/22/14	ent			
3 00047889407 1st		SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary		0	0	The sufficient paragraph on 1, 10 (2004), to the firm one (\$448,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) documentation loan, with an 80% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 12/11/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$448,000.00	2846427	
			1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentatio n of Income	1	3	The Co-Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Medical Assistant earning \$3,400 per month on the loan application. An audit of verification of employment was obtained from the Co-Borrower's employer, which revealed the Co-Borrower earned \$2,509 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.		\$0.00	2846427	
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Medical Assistant earning \$3,400 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.				
4 00121839542 1st		BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 07/14/2006, in the amount of \$168,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single 2-family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.866% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/19/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$168,000.00	2844808	
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-1 No Event	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence		2	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Sales Associate earning \$3,200 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained audit verification of employment and income conducted through The Work Number, which revealed the Borrower's 2006 gross earnings through 10/24/2006 as \$25,044, or \$2,563 per month.			2844808	
			of Default	income Evidence				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Sales Associate earning \$3,200 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.				
5 00124901612 1st		SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 07/18/2007, in the amount of \$300,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 83.33% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 39.99% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/24/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$300,000.00	2847109	
				Determine Reasonable	Failure to Determine Reasonable	1	3	The Borrower indicated that he was self-employed as an Owner of a home-based cable installation business for 4 years, earning \$6,855 per month.			2847109	
			Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1		Ability to Repay			Income of \$6,855 per month for an Owner of a home-based cable installation business is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Cable Installer in 2007 and in the same geographic region was \$3,909 per month.				
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
								The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attach

wen_US Bank_Final_Version_14	08-13555-mg Doc 460	080-7 Filed 08/22/14	
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Failure to 2 3 SAS 2007- Investigate Credit Investigate	The critical and a provided. The critical and a provided and a pr	50.00 2847109
	BC4_Underwriting History Credit History		
	Methodology -	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the	
	Deemed MnA_Pool	subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.	
		The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the	
		Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was verified.	
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in	
		accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of	
		the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 4 3	The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. A review of the audit credit report revealed the Borrower opened an	\$0.00 2847109
	SAS 2007- n of Debt n of Debt	undisclosed installment loan prior to the subject loan closing on 07/18/2007. The Borrower opened the undisclosed	
	BC4_Underwriting Obligations - Obligations Methodology - With Red Flags	installment loan in 05/2007 in the amount of \$30,631 with a monthly payment of \$393	
	Deemed MnA Pool Present	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the	
	1	subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability.	
	1.04 (c) (v) SAS	The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the	
	2007-BC4_No	Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
	Fraud		
		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither	
	1.04 (c) (vii) SAS	did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact	
	2007-BC4_No Event of Default	required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
	Event of Derault	In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed	
		Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false,	
		misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the	
		Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$393	
		monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a	
		significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in	
		accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of	
		the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	

Cowen US Bank Final Version 14 Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 08-13555-ma The to rowan misraproented meaning to be disclosed by the month on the loan application. The loan file contained the Borrower's cable installation business earning 56,855 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained the Borrower's 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Misrepresentatio 2847109 SAS 2007n of Income n of Income BC4_Underwriting Red Flags Preser 2007 federal income tax return, provided for loss mitigation, which revealed the Borrower's income was \$1,494 per Methodology -Same Year month. Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC4 No The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Fraud Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither 2007-BC4_No did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact Event of Default required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a home-based cable installation business earning \$6,855 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 0014603088 SAIL 2003-BC1 Loan Summary Loan Summary There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively Unknown \$84,000.0 2845692 ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2845692 Failure to Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and 2003-Provide Final Provide Final final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan BC1 Compliance HUD-1 HUD-1 file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the 1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be 2845692 Failure to Failure to 2003-Provide the Final Provide Final TIL acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file BC1_Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the 2845692 1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL Failure to Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully 2003-Provide the Provide Subject executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of BC1_Compliance Subject Note Note compliance. with Applicable The subject note is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.

Cowen_US	Bank_Final_Version	on_14			08-135	55-mg	Doc 4	<u>6080-7</u>	Filed 08/22/14	nt			
	7 0015503436	2nd	SAIL 2003-BC8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0		Ther was neither the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$38,440.00	2845704	
				1.04 (b) (xvii) (d) SAIL 2003- BC8_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Provide the Credit Package	Failure to Provide Credit Package	1		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845704	

8 0120883590	2nd	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	55-mg	00	0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attach The sulfiect learn dissections a second light on 05/40/700 cin the amount of \$57,000, as a cash out refinance of an ox	ner Full	\$57,000.00	2845923	
				,	, ,		The subject leap-clase as a second light 70 OF \$10.72 to C in the amount of \$57,000, as a cash out refinance of an ov occupied, single family residence—the loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
							Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 2.41% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval				
							05/12/2006, in the loan file.				
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Improper	Improper	2 3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit in	r the	\$0.00	2845923	
			SAIL 2006-	Calculation of	Calculation of		subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
			BNC3_Underwritin	Income	Income						
			g Methodology -				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the				
			Deemed MnA_Pool				Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
							A monthly income of \$35,000 for the Borrower and \$15,000 for the Co-Borrower was used to determine the Debt)			
							Income Ratio (DTI). The loan file contained 12 month business bank statements; however, the Borrower's business				
							money transfer service, creating the inability to determine deposits for business transactions or deposits from inco				
							The loan file contained post-closing documentation 1040 Tax Returns and Schedule C for the Borrower which refle				
							income loss of \$2,459 in 2006, the year of the subject loan closing and total annual income of \$3,662 for 2007. It s				
							be noted that the origination underwriting worksheet indicated the same information pertaining to the business b				
							statements along with indicating the taxes not showing positive income. In addition, the final application reflected earner income for the Co-Borrower in the amount of \$15,000; however, the loan file did not contain pay stubs or \	-			
							support the Co-Borrower's income.	-2 10			
							Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file reveals the Lender failed to accurately calculate the				
							Borrowers' income and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten	n			
							accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value				
							the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
. 0121200000	4-4	CAU 2006 PMC2		Lana Communication	Lana Communication	0.0	The orbital land at 00 (22/2000) is the arrow to 6/200 200 and the district of a result of 200 200 and the district of 200 200 and the distric	in all Chantard	¢350 350 00	2046040	
9 0121299663	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 06/22/2006, in the amount of \$259,250, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 85% Loan to Value/Comb	-	\$259,250.00	2846049	
							Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 30.75% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/27/200				
							the loan file.	,,			
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Failure to	Failure to	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application self-employment as an Owner/Painter for 5 years, earning \$7,500 per			2846049	
			SAIL 2006-	Determine	Determine		month.				
			BNC3_Underwritin	Reasonable	Reasonable						
			g Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay		The Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Painter in 2006 and in the sa	ne			
			Deemed MnA_Pool	(Stated)			geographic region was \$3,649 per month. The Borrower's stated income is more than the Bureau of Labor Statistic				
			1				75th percentile, which is a red flag the Borrower's income was overstated.				
							The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verificatio	of			
							the Borrower's income, so as to confirm the adequacy of the Borrower's financial means, was a significant factor in				
							determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit in	r the			
							subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the				
							Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
				1			Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted th				
							determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value				

10 0121335293	1st SAIL 2	006-BNC3			55-mg Loan Summary	(0	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject languages on 06/22/20 to the firm and 6 \$412,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated income/Verified Assets Ioan, with an 80%/95% Ioan-to-value/combined Stated	\$412,000.00	2846129	
								residence. The foan was approved as a stated income/Verified Assets loan, with an 80%/95% loan-to-value/combined loan-to-value, and a 49.85% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 06/30/2006, in the loan file.			
		1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure	e to	Failure to	1	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Care Giver Provider for 8 months, earning \$9,500 per	\$0.00	2846129	
		SAIL 2006 BNC3 Ur	5- Determ	_	Determine Reasonable			month.			
		g Method	dology - Ability	y to Repay	Ability to Repay			Income of \$9,500 per month for a Care Giver is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The			
		Deemed 1	MnA_Pool (Stated	ed)				United States Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Care Giver in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$2,266 per month.			
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
								subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.			
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the				
							determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in					
						accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of					
								the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
1		1.04 (b) (SAIL 2006		•	Misrepresentatio n of Debt	3	3	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. A review of the audit credit report revealed 2 undisclosed installment loans. The first undisclosed installment loan closed in 03/2006 in the amount of \$9,575 and a monthly	\$0.00	2846129	
			derwritin Obliga		Obligations			payment of \$148. The second undisclosed installment loan closed 06/2004 in the amount of \$6,100 and a monthly			
		g Method		Red Flags	Obligations			payment of \$178. The subject loan closed on 06/22/2006.			
		1						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
		1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL					subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability.			
		2006-BN						The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			
		Fraud						Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
		1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither			
		2006-BN						did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact			
		Event of	Default					required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed			
								Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false,			
								misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the			
								Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose \$326 in			
								monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a			
								significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in			
				accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of							

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

8ank Final Version 14

OR 12555 mg Doc 46080 7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22

	sion_14			08-135	55-ma	Doc 4	16080-7	gital Risk - Loan Review Findings Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	nt			
		1	1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	4	3	The Nortoway mispen gented in glisque diagrams [IR] Borrower falsely stated income as a Care Giver earning \$9,500 per month on the loan application. A written verification of Employment was obtained from the Borrower's employer,			2846129	
			SAIL 2006-	n of Income -	n of Income			per month on the loan application A written Verification of Employment was obtained from the Borrower's employer,				
			3NC3_Underwritin					which revealed the Borrower's actual income for the year of the subject loan closing was \$23,695, or \$1,975 per month.				
		_	g Methodology -	- Same Year								
		D	Deemed MnA_Pool	Income Evidence				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the				
		1	L					subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
		1	LOA (b) (pai) CAII					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the				
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No					Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
			Fraud					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither				
		ľ	1000					did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact				
		1	L.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL					required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
		2	2006-BNC3_No									
		E	event of Default					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed				
								Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false,				
								misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the				
								Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
	1							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Care Giver earning \$9,500 per month on				
								the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a				
								significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in				
								accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of				
								the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
11 0122248560	1st B	NCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0	The subject loan closed on 08/11/2006, in the amount of \$121,410, as a purchase of an owner occupied, condominium.	Eull	\$121,410.00	2844909	
11 0122248300	151	INCIVIT 2000-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	U	0	The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV),	ruii	\$121,410.00	2044909	
								and a 39.6% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/16/2006, in the loan file.				
								and a 33/0/2 Describe industrial (0.1), There industrial and approval dated 60/12/12/2007, in the four iner-				
		1	1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Failure to Obtain	Failure to Verify	2	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application that she rented her current residence for 2.8 years with a monthly payment		\$0.00	2844909	
		2	2006-	VOM/VOR	Charles and Charles and			of \$400.				
				VOIVI/ VOR	Housing History			01 5400.				
		2	2_Underwriting	VOIVI/ VOR	Housing History							
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOINI/VOR	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the				
		2 N	2_Underwriting	VOIVI) VOR	Housing History							
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOIVI/VOR	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOINI/VOR	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOINI/VOK	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOIN/VOK	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOW, VOK	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOWYVOR	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOWYVOR	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOWYVOR	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was				
		2 N	2_Underwriting Methodology -	VOWYVOR	Housing History			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of				
		2 N D	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool I					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
		2 N D 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MinA_Pool L	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the			2844909	
		2 N D 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC			3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of			2844909	
		2 N D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2_Underwriting	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified.			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2_Underwriting	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified.			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$10,322 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$10,322 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan.			2844909	
		1 2 2 N D D 1 1	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$10,322 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in			2844909	
		1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2	2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool L L.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology -	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of an acceptable verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$10,322. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$2,000 from gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$12,322 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$10,322 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan.			2844909	

0122253149	1st	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The sufficient paragraph on 7/27/3093 of the first mount of \$296,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single Full family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50.82% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/02/2006, in the loan file.	ıll	\$296,000.00	2844915
			1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2006- 2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-2_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-2_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxxiii) BNC 2006-2_Origination Practices		Failure to Provide Final TIL	1	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a valid final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. Although there is what appears to be a final TIL in the loan file, the Borrower did not sign the TIL. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the TIL contained in the loan file was invalid for the following reason. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2844915
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Verify Assets	Failure to Verify Assets	3	3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$215. In total, assets of \$215 were required to be verified. The loan file did not contain asset documentation. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$215 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2844915

dated 10/27/2006, in the loan file.

Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.93% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_14

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Vers	ion_14			08-135	55-ma	Doc 4	6080-7	ັ Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	ent			
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1	3	The Lorrowa State of the log of 2014 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmed The Lorrowa State of the log of 2014 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmed The Lorrowa State of the log of 2014 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmed The Lorrowa State of the log of 2014 Entered 18/22/14 Enter		SULU	2846866	
14 0123008815	1st	SASC 2006-BC6		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 10/04/2006, in the amount of \$517,750, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 39.062% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval	Stated	\$517,750.00	2846882	
			1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS 2006- BC6_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xviii) (g) SAS 2006-BC6_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xviii) (h) SAS 2006- BC6_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2006- BC6_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	1	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file contained the final HUD-1; however, the final HUD-1 is missing the attachment to line 1206. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2846882	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Investigate Credit History	Failure to Investigate Credit History	2	3	The origination credit report reflected 12 credit inquiries. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the credit inquiries were investigated and as such inquiries resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application, such there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		\$0.00	2846882	

					וע	gital Risk - Loan Review Findings			
Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_14		08-135	55-mg	Doc 4	46080-7	<u> Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme</u>	ent		
·	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006-	Misrepresentation	Misrepresentatio n of Debt		3	The to frower misrapre ented in selection ligation of the audit credit report revealed the Borrower opened an undisclosed installment loan prior to the subject loan closing on 10/04/2006. The Borrower opened an undisclosed	\$0.00	2846882	
	BC6_Underwriting	Obligations -	Obligations			installment loan in 08/2006 in the amount of \$39,577 with a monthly payment of \$735.			
	Methodology - Deemed MnA Poo	With Red Flags				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
	1	rresent				subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability.			
	1.04 (c) (v) SAS					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			
	2006-BC6_No Fraud					Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither			
	1.04 (c) (vii) SAS					did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact			
	2006-BC6_No Event of Default					required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed			
						Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false,			
						misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
						Loan constitutes an event or default under the Mortgage.			
						Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$735			
						monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and has a			
						significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in			
						accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of			
						the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
	1.04 (c) (v) SAS	Misrepresentation	Misrepresentatio	5	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Chief Executive Officer		2846882	
	2006-BC6_No	n of Income - No	n of Income			earning \$15,000 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained the Borrower's federal income tax return for			
	Fraud	Red Flags Presen	t			2007, provided by the Borrower for loss mitigation, which revealed was \$3,417 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's			
	1.04 (c) (vii) SAS	- Near Year Income Evidence	.			income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self-employed in the same line of work.			
	2006-BC6 No	meome Evidence				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither			
	Event of Default					did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact			
						required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed			
						Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false,			
						misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the			
						Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
						Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Chief Executive Officer earning \$15,000 per			
						month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of			
						Trust.			
						TI 1: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6000000	204500-	
15 0123009540		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 10/19/2006, in the amount of \$320,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 70.33% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to	Full \$320,000.00	2846887	
						Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.495% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/19/2006, in the			
						loan file.			

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachn

owen_US Bank_Final_Version_14				0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	•
. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006-	Misrepresentation of Income -	Misrepresentatio n of Income	2 3	The Agrower misraph ented in spirit is the state of the Agrower falsely stated income as a Security Officer earning \$4,995 per month on the loan apprication. In addition, the Borrower stated he received social security income in the	2846887
BC6_Underwritin	g Red Flags Presen	t		amount of \$1,266 per month. The loan file contained the Borrower's 2005 W-2 form, a pay stub and the Borrower's	
Methodology -	- Same Year			award letter. The Borrower's W-2 did not reflect any social security tax or Medicare tax withholdings. In addition, per	
Deemed MnA_Po	ool Income Evidence	2		the Official Website of the US Social Security Administration for the subject year loan closing 2006 the maximum wages	
				was \$33,240 and the Social Security Administration would withhold \$1 in benefits for every \$3 of earnings in excess of	
				the amount. Therefore, the Borrower would not have been eligible to receive any social security benefits. In addition,	
1.04 (c) (v) SAS				the W-2 form wages and Federal Income Tax box were altered. The numbers are in different fonts. It should be noted	
2006-BC6_No				the Internal Revenue Service website, section 15, Special Rules for Various Types of Services and Payments did not	
Fraud				indicate the Borrower would be exempt from paying social security or Medicare tax.	
1.04 (c) (vii) SAS				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the	
2006-BC6_No Event of Default				subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.	
Event of Default				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the	
				Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither	
				did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact	
				required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed	
				Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false,	
				misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the	
				Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
				Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Security Guard earning \$4,995 per month	
				on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and	
				has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
16 0123525826 1st BNCMT 2007-1	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively Unknown \$277,000.00 ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program	2845005
				in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a	
1 04 (b) (xi) (l) RN	C Failure to	Failure to	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	2845005
. 1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BN		Failure to	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting	C Failure to Provide the Credit Package	Failure to Provide Credit Package	13	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	13	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	1 3	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in	2845005
2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology -	Provide the Credit Package	Provide Credit	13	different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of	2845005

17 0123527178	1st BNCMT 2007	-1	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The sulfiject learn classe Open 12/007 /2009, in the firm up of \$236,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Stated	\$236,000.00	2845009	
			,	,			The sulfiect learn description 12/07/3009 in the firm into 236,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 46.35% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 12/19/2006, in			
							the loan file.			
		1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC	Failure to	Failure to	1	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a self-employed home based Day Care Provider/Tutor for 3	20.00	2845009	
		2007-	Determine	Determine		3	years, earning \$7,900 per month.	50.00	2843003	
		1_Underwriting	Reasonable	Reasonable						
		Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay			Income of \$7,900 per month for a Day Care Provider is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation.			
		Deemed MnA_Poo 1	(Stated)				The US Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Child Care Worker in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$2,677 per month.			
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
							subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.			
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			
							borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the			
							determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in			
			C Failure to Obtain Failure VOM/VOR Housin				accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of			
		1.04 (b) () (1) 2212		Failure to Monif	3	2	the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	60.00	2845009	
		2007-		Housing History	3	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application that they rented their current residence for 4 years with a monthly payment of \$1,500.	\$0.00	2845009	
		1_Underwriting Methodology -					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
		Deemed MnA_Poo	ı				subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.			
		1					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			
							Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							The loan file contained a verification of rent; however, 12 months canceled checks were required when the landlord was			
							a private individual. The loan file did not contain canceled checks from the Borrower for rent and as such, there is no			
							evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing			
							payment history and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in			
							accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of			
							the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
				-	4	3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the		2845009	
		2007- 1 Underwriting	Assets	Assets			Borrower was required to verify an earnest money deposit of \$827 along with 2 months reserves of principal, interest,			
		1_Underwriting Methodology -					taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$4,866 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$5,693 were required to be verified.			
		Deemed MnA_Poo	ı				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
		1					subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.			
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			
							Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,326 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the			
							determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan.			
			I .		1					
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of			

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_14

O8-13555-mg

Doc 46080-7

Filed 08/22/14

Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18

Attachmer

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_14	08-13555-ma Do	oc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmer	1†
Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_14	1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC 2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2007-1_No Event of Default	The agrowap miss appropriate professor of the sprower falsely stated income as a home based self-employed Day Care Provider/Tutor earning 9,900 per month on the loan application. The post closing tax returns for 2007 indicated the Borrower was not self-employed and did not earn income for the year. In addition, the Bankruptcy petition filed 12/02/2010 did not indicate the Borrower was self-employed in Section 18 of the Statement of Financial Affairs. It is highly unlikely the Borrower would have dissolved her business 24 days after the subject closing date of 12/07/2006. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Day Care Provider/Tutor earning 57,900 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and has a significant innear to the Borrower's reasonab	1t 3010 2845009
	1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2007-1_No Event of Default Misrepresentatio n of Employment - With No Red Flags Present	and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Borrower misrepresented her self-employment on the loan application as a Day Care Provider/Tutor for 3 years. The post closing tax returns obtained through the foreclosure/modification process, for 2007, did not indicate self-employed income. In addition, the Bankruptcy records filed 12/02/2010, did not reflect self-employment on Section 18 of the Statement of Financial Affairs. Further, the origination credit report also did not reflect self-employment. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self-employed Day Care Provider/Tutor for 3 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	2845009
18 0123527285 1st	BNCMT 2007-1 Loan Summary Loan Summary	0 0 The subject loan closed on 12/14/2006, in the amount of \$351,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 79.77% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.24% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 11/22/2006, in the loan file.	ull \$351,000.00 2845010

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
on US Bank Final Version 14
OR-13555-mg
Doc 46080-7
Filed 08/22/14
Fintered 08/22/1

Cowen US B	Bank Final Version	n 14			08-135	55-ma	Doc	46080-7	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	nt			
				1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC 2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Obtain VOM/VOR		1	13	The Cyrowen steel of the long approach that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845010	
				1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2007-1_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	1	:	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Inspector of a metal fabrication company, earning \$6,500 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained 12 months bank statements with average deposits of \$6,500 per month; however, the post closing tax return obtained through the modification/foreclosure process, for 2006, the year of the subject loan closing, reflected the income for the Borrower as \$2,026 per month. The Borrower did not reflect any other income source on the loan application other than the employment as an Inspector. The additional income source as reflected on the bank statement in the deposits was not documented or explained in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Inspector of a metal fabrication company earning \$6,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.		50.00	2845010	
19	0123530172	1st	BNCMT 2007-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	(0 0	The subject loan closed on 11/22/2006, in the amount of \$277,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 50.83% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 35.44% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 11/022/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$277,000.00	2845011	
				1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2007-1_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	n of Income	-	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Carpenter earning \$4,500 per month on the loan application. The audit re-verification obtained through The Work Number indicated the Borrower earned \$3,777 per month for 2006, the year of the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Carpenter earning \$4,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.			2845011	
20	0123852261	1st	SASC 2007-BC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	(00	The subject loan closed on 01/23/2007, in the amount of \$292,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 22.99% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/30/2007, in the loan file.	Full	\$292,000.00	2847019	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

ON-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme

wen_US Bank_Final_Version_14		08-135	55-ma	Doc 4	46080-7	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	ent			
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Poo 1	,	Failure to t Investigate Credit History	1	3	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that credit inquiries were investigated and as such there is no evidence in the loan file the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.			2847019	
	104(b)(a iii)(d)	Fallow by Varific	Tailman Navifu	2		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2047010	
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Poo	Failure to Verify Assets	Failure to Verify Assets	3	3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$3,019 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$3,019 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			2847019	
	1					subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
						Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$3,019 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC3_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC3_No Event of Default	n of Debt Obligations - With Red Flags	Misrepresentation of Debt Obligations	4	3	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Per the audit credit report and public records obtained through the Mortgage Electronic Registry System (MERS), the Borrower obtained 4 undisclosed mortgages prior to or within 30 days of the subject property's origination on 01/23/2007. The Borrower refinanced 2 disclosed properties. The Borrower refinanced the first property located in Kerman, CA and obtained a first mortgage on 12/22/2006 in the amount of \$336,000 with a monthly payment of \$1,481 and a second mortgage on 12/11/2006 with a mortgage for \$84,000 and monthly payment of \$528. The origination loan application reflected a mortgage on this property for \$380,210 with a monthly payment of \$1,755, which results in an increase of \$254 per month; however, no verification of this mortgage was in the loan file. The second property located in Mendota, CA was refinanced on 02/12/2007. A first mortgage was obtained in the amount of \$172,000 with a monthly payment of \$5,246 and a second mortgage of \$60,000 with a payment of \$560. The loan application indicated a mortgage in the amount of \$157,700 with a payment of \$536 was attached to this property, which results in an increase of \$1,270 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events		50.0	2847019	
21 0123881666 1st BNCMT 200	7-2	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 01/26/2007, in the amount of \$400,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 78.431% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.864% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated	Stated	\$400,000.00	2845097	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_14

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_	_14			08-135	55-ma	Doc 4	16080-7	Filed 08/22/14	ent			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC 2007- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Improper	Improper Calculation of Debts	3	3	The subject learning and derive the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's debt, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		50.0	2845097	
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-2_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2007-2_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence		4	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a home-based landscaping service business earning \$8,600 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained the Borrower's federal income tax return, provided by the Borrower for loss mitigation, which revealed the Borrower's income for 2007, the year the subject loan closed, was \$3,725 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a home-based landscaping service business earning \$8,600 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.			2845097	
22 0124033747 15	st Br	NCMT 2007-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 02/09/2007, in the amount of \$208,000, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated income/Verified Asset loan, with an 84.89% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 44.91% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 02/05/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$208,000.00	2845114	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC 2007- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Lead Packer for 21 years, earning \$5,200 per month. Income of \$5,200 per month for a Packer is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 90th percentile for a Packer in 2007 and in the same geographic region was \$2,000 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of			2845114	

						Dì	gital Risk - Loan Review Findings			
wen_US Bank_Final_Version_14			08-135	55-mg	Doc 4	<u>-6080-7</u>	Filed 08/22/14			
		1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC			3	3	The Lorroway misraprogented misraison and income as an Lead Packer earning S5,200 per month on the loan apprication. The loan file contained post-closing 2007 tax return transcripts, which		2845114	
		2007-	n of Income -	n of Income						
		2_Underwriting	Red Flags Present	t			reflected the Borrower earned \$14,222, or \$1,185 per month in 2007.			
		Methodology -	- Same Year							
		Deemed MnA_Pool	Income Evidence				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
		1					subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.			
		1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			
		2007-2 No Fraud					Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
		2007 2_11011000					borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject tour.			
		1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither			
		2007-2 No Event					did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact			
		of Default					required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
1			ĺ				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed			
			ĺ				Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false,			
			1				misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the			
			ĺ				Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
			ĺ							
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Packer earning \$5,200 per month on the			
							loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in			
							accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of			
							the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
								40.000		
23 0124035346 1st	BNCMT 2007-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 02/20/2007, in the amount of \$219,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family	\$219,000.00	2845115	
							residence located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100%			
							Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 22.744% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 02/26/2007, in the loan file.			
		1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	2	3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower had an earnest money deposit of \$1,000 and was required to	so o	2845115	
ŕ		2007-	Assets	Assets	_	,	bring \$178 in funds to closing. In total, the Borrower was required to verify \$1,178 in assets for the subject property.	30.0	2043113	
		2 Underwriting	7155015	7.550.5			ornegozio in anasto diasnegini totali di sale sale di con i di sale sale di con i di sale sale con i di sale			
		Methodology -					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the			
		Deemed MnA_Pool					subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.			
		1								
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the			
							Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$1,178 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the			
							determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in			
							accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of			
							the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
24 0124054255 1st	BNCMT 2007-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	There was neither an AUS nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was Unknow	vn \$440,000.00	2845133	
							properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of			
							application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property			
							location than what was represented on the Data Tano by the Soller			

location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.

owen_US Bank_Fir	inal_Version	_14			08-135	55-ma	Doc 4	16080-7 [°]	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	ent			
				1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC 2007- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Provide the Credit Package	Failure to Provide Credit Package	1	3	The subject learnest adderwr proving the period to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's Credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845133	
25 01240548	25 0124054818 1st BNCMT 2007-2	BNCMT 2007-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 01/29/2007, in the amount of \$288,888, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 49.941% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 01/29/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$288,888.00	2845134		
				1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC 2007- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Janitor for 3.6 years, earning \$6,250 per month. Income of \$6,250 per month for a Janitor is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U.S. Bureau of Labor and Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Janitor in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$2,479 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845134	
				1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC 2007- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	VOM/VOR	Failure to Verify Housing History	3		The Borrower stated on the loan application that she rented her current residence for 5 years with a monthly payment of \$675; however, the Borrower's housing payment history was not provided. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845134	
			CACO 2007 7 7 7						71 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ci i i	Acc- ccc -		
26 01242426	2603 1:	st	SASC 2007-BC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	O	The subject loan closed on 03/23/2007, in the amount of \$307,000, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 70.575% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 44.479% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 03/30/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$307,000.00	2847039	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

ONE-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version	n_14			08-135	55-ma	Doc 4	16080-7	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmo	ent			
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC3 Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1	3	The Lyrowapsated of the log particular parti		50.01	2847039	
27 0124264037	1st	SASC 2007-BC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 03/17/2007, in the amount of \$227,970, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 21.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/22/2007, in the loan file.	Full	\$227,970.00	2847044	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool		Improper Calculation of Income	1	3	The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Accurate calculation of the Borrower's income, so as to confirm the adequacy of the Borrower's financial means, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. A monthly income of \$9,566 per month was used to determine the Borrower's Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). An audit verification of employment and income was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed that the Borrower was employed with the same employer listed on the loan application from 07/26/2004 through 03/16/2007, which was only 1 day prior to the subject loan closing date of 03/17/2007. Therefore, the Borrower's income was misrepresented and should be omitted from the DTI. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file reveals the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's income and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2847044	
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC3_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC3_No Event of Default	1 -	Misrepresentatio n of Employment	3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application, as an Electric Engineer for 3 years. An audit verification of employment and income was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed that the Borrower was employed with the same employer listed on the loan application from 07/26/2004 through 03/16/2007, which was 1 day prior to the subject loan closing date of 03/17/2007. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. There was no evidence that the Borrower had the ability to earn sufficient income to support the subject obligation. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Electric Engineer for 3 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			2847044	

							gital Risk - Loan Review Findings				
owen_US Bank_Final_Versi	on_14		08-135	555-ma	Doc 4	46080-7	<u> Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme</u>	ent			
				Misrepresentation of Income		4 3	The Norwayn's spreamented is 30 dispersion of Borrower falsely stated income as an Electric Engineer earning \$9,566 per month on the loan approach on. An audit verification of employment and income was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed that the Borrower was employed from 07/26/2004 through 03/16/2007, which was 1 day prior to the subject loan closing date of 03/17/2007. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Electric Engineer earning \$9,566 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		50.00	2847044	
28 0124281825	1st SASC 2007-BC3 Loan Summary Loan Su	Loan Summary	0	000	The subject loan closed on 03/05/2007, in the amount of \$160,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's loan application, credit report, title and income documents. The loan file did not contain a final HUD-1 statement, Truth in Lending statement, Note, Mortgage, appraisal	Unknown	\$160,000.00	2847048			
		1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	_	Failure to Provide Credit Package	1	1 3	and home owner's insurance. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application, credit report, title and income documents.		\$0.00	2847048	
							Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				

	T					DUC 4	<u>46080-7</u>		71 IL	1	I	
29 30918445	1st	LMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	(0 0	The subject learn description 06.29 (2003 to the firm that \$1.495,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 65% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 39.67% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/06/2005, in the loan file.	Full	\$1,495,000.00	2845250	
			1.04 (b) (xii) LMT 2006- 2_Compliance with	Under-Disclosed Fees / APR	Loan Fees Under- Disclosed	1	1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The disclosed finance charge (\$723,578.22) is (\$3,175.18) below the actual finance charge(\$726,753.40).		\$0.00	2845250	
			Applicable Law - Deemed MnA					The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d)(1)).				
			1.04 (c) (xxxiv) LMT 2006-2_Origination Practices					Despite the Seller's representations, the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower.				
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
30 40145724	1st	LVC 2007 8H		Laan Cummanu	Loon Cummons		0	The subject less deced as 0.1/45/2007 in the amount of \$242,000, as a purchase of an owner assumind single family.	NIIVA	6242,000,00	2845633	
30 40145724	15t	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	,	70	The subject loan closed on 02/15/2007, in the amount of \$342,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a No Ratio loan (No Income, Verified Asset), with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was a Manual approval dated 02/15/2007, in the loan file.	NIVA	\$342,000.00		
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1	1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.		\$0.00	2845633	
								The subject loan was a No Ratio loan (No Income, Verified Asset). The loan file contained post closing income documentation for the subject year loan closing 2007. The Borrower's 2007 W-2 income reflected the Borrower's actual income was \$3,903 per month.				
								Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of the Debt to Income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income results in a DTI of 102.95%, which exceeds the represented Debt to Income ratio (DTI) of 60%.				
								income results fire of the 122:33%, which exceeds the represented best to income ratio (birly or ook).				
31 40284671	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	(0	The subject loan closed on 11/19/2002, in the amount of \$460,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 49.73% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 34.63% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Desktop Underwriting (DU) approval dated 11/19/2002, in the loan file.	Full	\$460,000.00	2845648	
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations - With Red Flags	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	1	1 3	The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. The subject loan closed 11/19/2002. A review of the audit credit report revealed the Borrowers obtained an auto installment loan in the same month as the subject loan closing. The undisclosed auto installment loan had a balance of \$58,996 with a monthly payment of \$1,638.		\$0.00	2845648	
				Present				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed				
								Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$1,638 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				
32 40421323 1st	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	(0	The subject loan closed on 04/06/2007, in the amount of \$600,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied property in a Planned Unit Development (PUD). The loan was approved as a No Ratio loan (No Income, Verified Asset), with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was a Manual approval dated 04/06/2007, in the loan file.	NIVA	\$600,000.00	2845653	
		1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1	1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.		\$0.00	2845653		
								The subject loan was a No Ratio loan (No Income, Verified Asset). The loan file contained post closing income documentation for the subject year loan closing 2007, which verified the Borrower's actual income was \$3,295 per month.				
								Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of the Debt to Income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income results in a DTI of 169.59%, which exceeds the represented Debt to Income ratio of 60%.				

Copyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 3/28/2013 3:17:51 PM Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule Repor

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_14

ount Loan Number	First or Second	Deal Name	Section of the	Issue Description Breach	Breach	Rating	80-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmen	Document Type Original Balance	Tracking Number	Comments —
Juilt Loan Number	That of Second	Deal Name	MLSAA Breached	issue Description Dreach	Count	Nating	Breach Description part 3 Pg 35 of 256	Document Type Original Balance	Tracking Number	Comments
1 00011765351	1st	SASC 2003-39EX		Loan Summary Loan Summary		0 0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrowers' post-closing documents. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown \$213,750.00	2846472	
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003- 39EX_Compliance with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxvii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Mortgage File 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices		t	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.		2846472	
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003- 39EX_Compliance with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide Failure to Final HUD-1 Provide Final HUD-1		2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		2846472	
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003- 39EX_Compliance with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide Failure to the Final TIL Provide Final T	L	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TiL) Act required a final TiL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TiL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		2846472	
			1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide Failure to Obta the Origination Qualified Appraisal Appraisal	in	5 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.		2846472	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The subject loan closed on 01/19/2004, in the amount of \$108,890. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor manual approval included in the loan file to be finitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with 2 00015149370 SASC 2003-39EX \$108,000.00 2846495 the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, HUD-1 settlement statement and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xi) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be 2846495 inal HUD-1 rovide Final provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The 39EX_Compliance HUD-1 loan file did not contain a final HUD-1. with Applicable Law The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS state, and federal laws. 2003-39EX Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices 1.04 (b) (xi) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 2846495 2003the Final TIL Provide Final TIL executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. 39EX Compliance The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003-Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 39EX_Origination Practices 1.04 (b) (xi) SAS The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to the 2846495 Failure to Provide Failure to 2003the Right of Provide Right of Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Right of Rescission 39EX Compliance Rescission Rescission is missing from the loan file. with Applicable Law The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS state, and federal laws. 2003-39EX_Origination Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain a Right of Rescission, which is evidence of the subject loan's Practices noncompliance with applicable law 2846495 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal, Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act Qualified the Origination (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination 39EX_Qualified Appraisal Appraisal appraisal was missing from the loan file.

Appraisal

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

espite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

3 00121871206	1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The	T Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment e subject loan closed on 07/12/2006, in the amount of 11/25/2006 as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied condominium. The loan as approved as a State modern consequence of the conseq	Stated	\$129,600.00	2844872	
								.39% debt-to-income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/12/2006, in the loan file.				
			1.04 (b) (xii) BNC	Failure to Provid		1 3		e subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be			2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance		Provide Final		F	ovided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The				
			with Applicable Law	'	HUD-1		fina	ral HUD-1 was missing from the loan file.				
			- Deemed MnA				L.					
								e Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,				
			1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC				sta	ate, and federal laws.				
			2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed				Da	espite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
			MnA Pool 1	1			De	sspire the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
			WIIIA_FOOT1				Du	irsuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to				
			1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC					aterially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
			2006-1 Written					action) and date set, after the trade of the money graph and materials of the commence of the				
			Disclosure -]			
			Deemed MnA Pool				1]			
			1				1					
			1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC	:[1					
			2006-1_Origination									
			Practices									
							-					
				Failure to Provid		2 3		e subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance	the Final TIL	de Failure to Provide Final TIL	-13		re subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement.		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law	the Final TIL		-13	exe	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement.		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance	the Final TIL		-13	exe The	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA	the Final TIL		-13	exe The	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement.		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws.		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees	e the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,		30.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed	e the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De:	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws.		50.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees	e the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. The Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		50.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed	e the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written	e the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure -	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		90.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		50.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure -	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		5000	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2006-1_Origination	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		90.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		50.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2006-1_Origination	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2006-1_Origination	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2006-1_Origination	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2844872	
			2006-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC 2006-1_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2006-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2006-1_Origination	the Final TIL		-13	exe The sta De: Pui	ecuted by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. Be Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, ate, and federal laws. Bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Bespite the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		90.00	2844872	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The Borrower misrepresented by disclosed incessor The Borrower falsely stated income as a Closing Coordinator earning \$5,100 per month on the loan application. The bankruptcy to me hadion like by the Borrower with the United States Bankruptcy Court Middle District of 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentati 2844872 2006n of Income - Red n of Income Florida on 08/18/2008 indicated the Borrower's actual income for the year of the subject loan closing was \$26,800, or \$2,233 per month. 1_Underwriting Flags Present -Same Year Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-1 No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2006-1_No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Closing Coordinator earning \$5,100 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 4 00123530230 SASC 2007-BNC1 \$39,200.00 2847152 There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether Unknown the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on 1.04 (b) (xl) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's 2847152 the Credit Provide Credit credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in BNC1 Underwriting Package Package determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Guidelines The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten pursuant to the Originator's underwriting guidelines. 1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS 2007-The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan BNC1_Underwriting employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. Methodology -

reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

Certificateholders.

Deemed MnA Pool

The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a

such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.

Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version 13 The subject loan closed on 03/19/2007, in the amount of \$23,750 as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence located in a planned unified evelopment. The bank was approved as 9 Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 85% Loan to 00124278706 SASC 2007-BNC1 \$284,750.00 2847167 Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49.42% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/16/2007, in the loan file The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Financial Counselor earning \$6,800 per month 2847167 1.04 (b) (xl) SAS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation n of Income - Red n of Income on the loan application. Per post closing documentation obtained from the loan file, the Borrower's 2007 1040 tax returns indicated his BNC1_Underwriting Flags Present actual earnings for the year of the subject loan closing in 2007, was \$36,773, or \$3,064 per month. Additionally, an audit Verification of Guidelines Same Year Employment was obtained from The Work Number, which also reflected the Borrower's earnings as shown on the tax returns. Income Evidence 1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten in accordance with the Originator's underwriting 2007guidelines. BNC1_Underwriting Methodology -The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Deemed MnA Pool employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAS reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan 2007-BNC1 No Fraud Furthermore, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAS therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2007-BNC1 No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Sorrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Financial Counselor earning \$6,800 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 6 00124412594 SASC 2007-BC4 The subject loan closed on 04/06/2007, in the amount of \$152,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Unknown \$152,000,00 2847058 Loan Summary Loan Summary Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Commitment and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. Failure to Provide The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's 2847058 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to SAS 2007the Credit ovide Credit credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in BC4_Underwriting Package Package determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the

Certificateholders.

represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

_U3 Balik_Filiai_ve	121011_12			J-80	<u> L3555-m</u>	a Doc	oc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
7 00124671249	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 05/26/2007, in the appoint of 6286-00 as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The Stated \$288,000.00 2847074 loan was approved as a stated income/Vericed Asset (SWA) from, with a 79.558% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a
							32.441% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 05/31/2007, in the loan file.
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d)	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	3 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Mechanic earning \$7,500 per month on the
			SAS 2007-	n of Income - Red	n of Income		loan application. An audit verification of employment was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed the Borrower's 2007
			BC4 Underwriting	Flags Present -			gross earnings were \$45,517, or \$3,793 per month.
			Methodology -	Same Year			
			Deemed MnA Pool				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan
			1	medine Evidence			employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.
			1				employs objective inautematical principles which reface to income.
			4.04 (-) (-) 545				The College with a support of an elementary of the college with a support of the college with a
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a
			2007-BC4_No Fraud				reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such
			1.04 (c) (vii) SAS				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;
			2007-BC4_No				and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.
			Event of Default				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed
							of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.
							and the state of t
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Mechanic earning \$7,500 per month on the loan application,
							which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's
							reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.
							reasonable during to report the subject tour.
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the
							russant to the workgage coan sale ain an Assignment Agreement, he latitude to the subject to an one under written in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the Value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
							Certification of the Control of the
8 00124692948	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	,,,,,,,, .
							loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 90%Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.28% Debt to
							Income Ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 05/24/2007, in the loan file.
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d)	Failure to Obtain	Failure to Verify	2 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application that they owned their current residence for 4 years with a monthly payment of \$1,495.
			SAS 2007-	VOM/VOR	Housing History		
	1	1	BC4_Underwriting		1		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan
			Methodology -				employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.
	1	1	Deemed MnA Pool				
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a
			[reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
	1	1					The loan file contained a verification of mortgage, dated 05/22/2007, which reflected a private lien in the amount of \$10,807 with a
	1	1					
							monthly payment of 5180 per month. The loan file contained no evidence of payment or 12 months canceled checks to confirm the
							Borrower's housing payment.
	1	1					Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain verification of the Borrower's housing payment history; and as such, there
							is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.
	1	1					is no estudine in the material according of the bottown stream profile was committeed.
	1	1					Discurpt to the Martagra Long Cale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject long to be underwritten in assaying a with the
	1	1					Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the
	1	1					represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the
	1	1					Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
wen US Bank Final Version 13

OR 13EEE mg. Dog 46080 7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/

Cowen_US Bank_Final_V	ersion_13			08-1	<u> 13555-m</u>	n Doc 460	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment			
9 0121335111	2nd	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		The subject logniclosed on 06/02/2006, in the amount of £119,600 as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a State of mount of the lognic of	\$119,600.00	2846128	
							was approved as a States income/Verified Asset (STVA) boan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.71% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/02/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Failure to Obtain	Failure to Verify	2 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application that she rented her current residence for 2 years; however, the Borrower's monthly payment	\$0.00	2846128	
l l			SAIL 2006-	VOM/VOR	Housing History		was not disclosed.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2010120	
			BNC3_Underwriting	Į.						
			Methodology -				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan			
			Deemed MnA_Pool				employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.			
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							reasonable ability to make timery payments on the subject toan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there			
							is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
							Certificateholders.			
ı ::			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006-	Misrepresentatio		3 3	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. A review of the Mortgage Electronic Registration System and an audit credit report		2846128	
			BNC3_Underwriting	n of Debt	n of Debt Obligations		revealed 2 undisclosed mortgages tied to 1 undisclosed property located in Oakland, CA. The first undisclosed mortgage closed on 06/18/2006 with a loan amount of \$392,000 and a monthly payment of \$2,409. The second undisclosed mortgage closed on 06/07/2006			
			Methodology -	With Red Flags	- DilgutiOII3		ov/19/2000 with a loan amount of 598,000 and a monthly payment of 51,113. The audit credit report also revealed 6 undisclosed student loans. The			
			Deemed MnA_Pool	_			first undisclosed student loan was opened on 08/1999 with a loan amount of \$2,625 and a payment of \$20. The second undisclosed			
			1				student loan was opened on 08/2000 with a loan amount of \$1,280 and a payment of \$9. The third undisclosed student loan was opened			
							on 01/2001 with a loan amount of \$1,345 and a payment of \$10. The fourth undisclosed student loan was opened on 09/2001 with a loan			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL				amount of \$1,750 and a payment of \$13. The fifth undisclosed student loan was opened on 10/2003 with a loan amount of \$2,750 and a			
			2006-BNC3_No				payment of \$21. The sixth undisclosed student loan was opened on 06/2004 with a loan amount of \$1,499 and a payment of \$11. The			
			Fraud				subject loan closed on 06/02/2006.			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan			
			2006-BNC3_No				me sene represented and wan antee, in part, that the methodology used in didefiniting the extension of credit for the subject to an employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability.			
			Event of Default							
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a			
							reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
							documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
							and that, no trade was committee in connection with the origination of the subject toan.			
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed			
							of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose \$3,606 in monthly debt, which			
							constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's			
							reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
							and the state of t			
10 0122230527	2nd	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/12/2006, in the amount of \$78,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan Stated	\$78,000.00	2844886	
							was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a			
							48.70% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/02/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC		Failure to	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Quality Assurance Specialist for 2 years, earning \$8,000 per month.	\$0.00	2844886	
			2006-	Determine	Determine		brown of 69,000 per month for a Quality Arguance Specialist is uncorrectly and it a said flor for a charling ariseness states. The states			
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	Reasonable Ability to Repay	Reasonable Ability to Repay		Income of \$8,000 per month for a Quality Assurance Specialist is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The United States Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Quality Assurance Specialist in 2006 and in the			
			Deemed MnA_Pool		, which to Kepay		states buted of Ladou statistics reported the average satary at the 75th percentile for a Quality Assurance Specialist in 2000 and in the same geographic region was \$5,830 per month.			
			1	,,			7 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -			
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan			
							employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.			
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a			
							reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the			
							Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
							Certificateholders.			

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 08-13555-mg Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 The Borrowen stated on the loan application that the rented have current residence for 5 years with a monthly payment of \$1,200. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Obta 2844886 2006-VOM/VOR Housing History 2 Underwriting The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's Methodology housing history, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in Deemed MnA Pool determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file of the Borrower's housing payment history. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Improper nproper The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 2844886 Calculation of Calculation of employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. 2 Underwriting Dehts Methodology -The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a Deemed MnA_Pool reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$3,896 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). A review of the loan approval indicated the Borrower was qualified with a monthly payment of \$2,048 for the first mortgage; however, the mortgage note revealed the actual monthly payment was \$2,208. The correct recalculation results in a monthly debt of \$4,057. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts. The naccurately calculated debt significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Quality Assurance Specialist earning \$8,000 2844886 2006 n of Income - Red n of Income per month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment and income was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which 2 Underwriting Flags Present revealed the Borrower's income was \$3,247 per month. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidenc The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 2006-2 No Fraud reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC

2006-2 No Event of

Default

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such

and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Certificateholders.

documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Quality Assurance Specialist earning \$8,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The Borrowennisrepresented her ownership of assist. The long-file contained a Verification of Deposit for the Borrower's savings account dated 07/21/2005, which elected an ending balance or \$1,615 and bank statements for the Borrower's checking account dated 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2844886 2006-2 No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Assets 5/24/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$1,497. The loan file also contained a Verification of Deposit for the Borrower's checking - With No Red 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC Flags Present account dated 07/21/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$6,488; however, an audit verification was sent to the financial institution 2006-2 No Event of which revealed the Borrower's checking account balance was \$1,202. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that she had \$9,000 down payment and 2 month's reserves in assets when in fact she had \$3,714, meaning the amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$5,286, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 11 0122230691 BNCMT 2006-2 \$420,000,00 284488 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 07/31/2006, in the amount of \$420,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, 2-unit property. The loan was Stated approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 88.74% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/31/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC Asset The Borrower misrepresented his ownership of assets. The loan file contained a Verification of Deposit for the Borrower's checking account 2844887 Misrepresentatio 2006-2_No Fraud n of Assets dated 07/25/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$17,419. An audit verification of deposit was obtained, which revealed the - With No Red Borrower's actual balance was \$7,419. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC Flags Present 2006-2 No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. The Borrower falsely disclosed that he had \$17,419 down payment and 2 month's reserves when in fact he had \$7,419, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. Despite the Seller's representations, the amount of assets verified was misrepresented by \$10,000. 12 0122236581 3NCMT 2006-2 \$82,000.00 2844896 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 08/03/2006, in the amount of \$82,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The Stated loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and 43.79% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/03/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Failure to The Origination credit report dated 07/14/2006, reflected 3 credit inquiries from 05/13/2006 to 07/07/2006. 2844896 nvestigate Credit nvestigate 2 Underwriting Credit History The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. eemed MnA_Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

application for the subject loan.

Certificate holders

Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the credit inquiries for the previous 90 days were investigated so as to determine whether such inquires resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application, such that an accurate credit profile was verified. Loan inquiries give a lender insight as to any potential loans a Borrower may be in the process of acquiring at the time of

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The Borrower stated on the base application that she rented be current residence for 1 year with a monthly payment of \$1,300. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Obtai 2844896 2006-VOM/VOR Housing History 2 Underwriting The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan file contained evidence of a verification of the Borrower's housing history; however, the landlord was a private individual and 12 months canceled checks were required when landlord was not an institution or management company, and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's housing history was not properly verified. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations, Public Records and the Audit Credit Report indicated the Borrower purchased an 2844896 2006n of Debt n of Debt undisclosed property on 07/11/2006, 22 days prior to the subject loan closing, and acquired a first mortgage in the amount of \$337,600 2_Underwriting Obligations -Obligations with a calculated monthly payment using the same interest rate as the subject's first mortgage loan transaction, in the amount of \$2,584. Methodology -With Red Flags The Borrower also acquired a second mortgage on 07/14/2006, in the amount of \$84,400 with a calculated monthly payment using the same interest rate as the subject loan transaction, of \$907. Deemed MnA_Pool Present The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the 2006-2 No Fraud underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-2 No Event of documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose \$3,491 in monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the

Certificateholders.

represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 The Borrowennisrepresented has employment on the loan anglication as a Self-employed Party Planner for 2 years with secondary employment as an Assistant supervisor for 9 years. The barmoptey Petition filed 09/22/2008, indicated in Section 18 of the Statement of 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentati 2844896 2006n of Employment in of Employment Financial Affairs, that the Borrower was not self-employed. The Bankruptcy schedules indicated the Borrower was employed with the With Red Flags 2 Underwriting Methodology employer listed as a secondary source of income; however, there was no indication of being self-employed as a Party Planner as stated on Present Deemed MnA Pool the loan application. The Borrower's position with the secondary employment was stated as an Assistant Supervisor of a hotel; however, Schedule I of the Bankruptcy petition indicated the Borrower was a Housekeeper for 15 years. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 2006-2 No Fraud employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-2 No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Self-employed Party Planner for 2 years with secondary employment as an Assistant Supervisor for 12 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2844896 The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Party Planner earning \$5,200 2006 n of Income - Red n of Income per month with secondary employment as an Assistant Supervisor for a hotel, earning an additional \$4,000 per month on the loan 2 Underwriting Flags Present application. The Bankruptcy Petition filed 09/22/2008, indicated in Section 18 of the Statement of Financial Affairs, that the Borrower was Methodology -Same Year not self-employed. The Bankruptcy schedules indicated the Borrower was employed with the employer listed as a secondary source of Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence ncome; however, there was no indication of being self-employed as a Party Planner as stated on the loan application. The Borrower's position with the secondary employment was stated as an Assistant Supervisor of a hotel; however, the Schedule I of the Bankruptcy petition indicated the Borrower was a Housekeeper for 15 years. The Bankruptcy records obtained revealed the Borrower's monthly 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC earnings for 2006, the year of the subject loan closing as \$2,171. 2006-2 No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income 2006-2 No Event of Default The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Party Planner earning \$5,200 per month with secondary employment as an Assistant Supervisor of a hotel, earning \$4,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Certificateholders

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The Borrowen misrepresented he intented occupy the subject groperty. Public records, the Bankruptcy Petition filed 09/22/2008 and the Audit Credit Report revealed the Borrower and not occupy the subject property after the subject loan closing date of 08/03/2006. The 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC Occupancy 2844896 n of Occupancy 2006-2_No Fraud Misrepresentatio Borrower purchased an undisclosed property on 07/11/2006, 22 days prior to the subject loan closing, and occupied the undisclosed - With No Red property as her primary residence from 07/2006 to 01/2008. Public records indicated numerous other individuals occupied the subject 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC Flags Present 2006-2 No Event of property from 11/2001 to 02/2007. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 13 0122237399 BNCMT 2006-2 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 08/15/2006, in the amount of \$286,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence Stated \$286,000.00 284489 (SFR). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 65% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 29.66% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/15/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Automobile Painter earning \$5,800 per 2844897 n of Income - Red n of Income month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed the 2 Underwriting Flags Present -Borrower earned \$3,003 per month for 2006, the year of the subject loan closing. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 2006-2 No Fraud reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-2 No Event of documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Automobile Painter earning \$5,800 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 14 0122240716 BNCMT 2006-2 oan Summary Loan Summary he subject loan closed on 07/20/2006, in the amount of \$88,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The Stated \$88,000.00 2844898 oan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and 46.22% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/09/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that he rented his current residence for 4 years with a monthly payment of \$2,200. 2844898 2006-VOM/VOR Housing History 2_Underwriting The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan Despite the Seller's representations, 12 months canceled checks were required to confirm the housing payment history and were not

provided, and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 Per the final HUP-1 Settlement statement the Barrower rear set required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$6,760 for the subject property. In total, assets of 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Verif 2844898 2006-\$6,760 were required to be verified. The loan file did not contain any asset documentation. 2 Underwriting Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$6,670 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Sales Manager earning \$10,000 per month on 2844898 n of Income - Red n of Income the loan application. The Bankruptcy petition filed 10/28/2008 indicated the Borrower earned \$3,204 per month for 2006, the year of the Flags Present -2 Underwriting subject loan closing. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 2006-2 No Fraud reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-2 No Event of documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Sales Manager earning \$10,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC Occupancy Misrenresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. Public records and the Bankruptcy petition filed 10/28/2008, 2844898 2006-2 No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy indicated the Borrower continued to occupy the proposed departure residence indicated on the loan application, from 03/2002 to 01/2013 With No Red and did not occupy the subject property after the subject loan closing date of 07/20/2006 as disclosed. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC Flags Present 2006-2 No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The subject loan closed on 09/25/2006, in the anyognt of \$114,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full becumentation loan, with a 20.351% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 15 0123004863 SASC 2006-BC6 \$116,000.00 2846875 53.8% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 09/25/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Cash Out Exceeds Cash Out Per the final HUD-1, dated 09/30/2006, the Borrower received \$8,193 cash back at closing. The loan file contained a final loan approval 2846875 SAS 2006dated 10/10/2006, which reflected the loan was approved as a rate and term refinance, which limits the cash to the Borrower to the Maximum mount Exceeds BC6 Underwriting Allowable Guideline greater of 2% of the loan amount or \$2,000. Methodology Maximum Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's cash out received at closing exceeded the maximum allowable and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 16 0123005787 SASC 2006-BC6 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 10/12/2006, in the amount of \$255,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. \$255,000.00 2846876 The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.792% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/31/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) 2846876 Failure to Failure to The Borrower indicated that he was a Transportation Security officer with a government agency for 4 years, earning \$4,250 per month. The SAS 2006-Co-Borrower indicated that she was an Accountant with a religious organization for 5 years and 3 months, earning \$4,100 per month. Determine Determine BC6 Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay Incomes of \$4,250 per month for a Transportation Security Officer and \$4,100 per month for an Accountant are unreasonable and are red Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) flags for potential misrepresentation. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Transportation Security Officer in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$2,041 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income were unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The Borrowers misrepresented their displayed in order. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Transportation Security Officer earning \$4,250 per month on the dah application. The Co-borrower isset whome of \$4,100 per month as an Accountant. An audit verification of 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) 2846876 SAS 2006n of Income - Red in of Income employment, obtained through The Work Number, revealed the Borrower's income for 2006, the year the subject loan closed, was \$3,192 BC6_Underwriting Flags Present -Same Year per month. An audit verification of employment, obtained through the Co-Borrower's employer, revealed the Co-Borrower's income for Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (c) (v) SAS employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 2006-BC6 No Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2006-BC6 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Event of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated incomes as a Transportation Security Officer earning \$4,250 per month and an Accountant earning \$4,100 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage ind/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 17 0123007304 SASC 2006-BC6 Loan Summary Loan Summary the subject loan closed on 10/11/2006, in the amount of \$258,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family \$258,000,00 2846878 residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 78.18% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 4.072% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 09/29/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Cash Out Exceeds Cash Out Per the final Hud-1 dated 10/24/2006, the Borrower paid off an auto installment loan in the amount of \$12,898, a home equity line of 2846878 SAS 2006-Maximum Amount Exceeds credit that was opened on 08/2006 in the amount of \$27,421, and received cash back in the amount of \$3,201, which exceeds the BC6 Underwriting Allowable Guideline maximum allowable cash out of the lesser of either 2% of the loan amount or \$2,000. Methodology **Maximum** Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower received cash back in excess of the maximum allowable amount and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 18 0123007668 SASC 2006-BC6 oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 09/05/2006, in the amount of \$138,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied, singe family \$138,000.00 2846879 esidence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with an 77% loan-to-value/combined loan-to-value, and a 50.30% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 10/17/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Cash Out Exceeds Per the final HUD-1, dated 09/18/2006, the Borrower received \$39,257 cash back at closing, which exceeds the maximum allowable cash 2846879 Cash Out SAS 2006-Maximum Amount Exceeds back of 2% or \$2,000 for a rate and term refinance. BC6 Underwriting Allowable Guideline Methodology -The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Maximum Deemed MnA Pool employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower received excessive cash back at closing and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen_US	Bank_Final_Version	on_13			08-1	<u> L3555-m</u>	a D	oc 46080	D-7 Filed 08/22/14		
			1	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006-	Failure to Determine	Failure to Determine	2	2 3	The BorroweNteted on the loan application employmentes a House Cleaner for 5 years, earning \$4,150 per month.		2846879
				BC6_Underwriting	Reasonable	Reasonable			Income of \$4,150 per month for a House Cleaner is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The United States		
			1	Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Ability to Repay (Stated)	Ability to Repay			Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a House Cleaner in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$1,720 per month.		
				1					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.		
									The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version 13 The subject loan closed on 11/13/2006, in the amount of \$93/180 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development: The boan was approved as a stated income/verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to 19 0123008740 SASC 2006-BC6 \$98,400,00 2846881 value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50.543% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/23/2006, in the oan file The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Supervisor with a public transportation company for 6 years, earning \$8,420 2846881 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Failure to SAS 2006-Determine per month. BC6 Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay Income of \$8,420 per month for a Supervisor is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The Bureau of Labor Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Supervisor in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$5,508 per The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 2846881 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that he rented his current residence for 7 years with a monthly payment of \$1,700. SAS 2006-VOM/VOR Housing History BC6 Underwriting The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan file contained a loan approval dated 10/23/2006, which conditioned for a 12 month rental payment history confirmed through a verification of rent (VOR) or 12 months canceled checks, which were not provided.

s no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.

required to be verified. The loan file did not contain asset documentation.

employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.

reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Certificateholders.

Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. The Borrower was required to verify 2

months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$7,620 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$7,620 were

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan

The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a

Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$7,620 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

2846881

1.04 (b) (xviii) (d)

BC6 Underwriting

Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool

SAS 2006-

Failure to Verify Failure to Verify

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

owen US Bank Final Version 13	08-	12555-m	a Doc 4608	80-7 Éiled 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	
owen_US Bank_Final_Version_13	BCG_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2006-BC6_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2006-BC6_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2006-BC6_No Event of Metault 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2006-BC6_No Event of Metault	Misrepresentatio	g Doc 4608	The Borrower prise presented by disclosed in come 3 and 15 and 15 and 15 and 15 and 15 and 16	
	2006-BC6_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2006-BC6_No Event of Default			by the Borrower with the Central District of California Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy case dated 04/01/2008, the Borrower never occupied the subject property. The Borrower continued to reside at his departing address located in Los Angeles, CA. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	
20 0123011488 2nd SASC 2006-BC6	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 10/16/2006, in the amount of \$91,980, as a purchase money second mortgage of an owner occupied detached single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50.031% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/25/2006, in the loan file.	
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay (Stated)	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Medical Assistant for 6 years, earning \$7,880 per month. 2846892 The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen US Bank Final Version 13

OR-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 1

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US Bank_Final_V	ersion_13			08-1	L3555-m	a Do	oc 4608	0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment			
21 0123019168	1st	SASC 2006-BC6		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0)	The subject logniclosed on 10/12/2006, in the amount of \$553,600 as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied detached single family residence. The loan was approved as a State Income/State of Section, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 41.558% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/25/2006, in the loan file.	\$567,000.00	2846911	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Manager for a Parcel Service for 12 years, earning \$10,350 per month. Income of \$10,350 per month for a Manager is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The US Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 90th percentile for a Manager for a Parcel Service in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$6,376 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		2846911	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2006-BC6_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2006-BC6_No Event of Default	Near Year		2 3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Manager of a Parcel Service earning \$10,350 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed the Borrower's actual income for the year 2007 was \$5,429 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Manager of a Parcel Service earning \$10,350 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failur		2846911	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
wen US Bank Final Version 13

OP 12555 mg Dog 46090 7 Filed 09/22/14 Entered 09/22

Cowen_US	Bank_Final_Ver	sion_13			08-1	L3555-m	a D	oc 4608	0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment		
22	0123024424	2nd	SASC 2006-BC6		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0	The subject loan closed on 14/19/2006, pay second lies in the proport of \$80,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The odd was approved as a stated income/verified Asset Ioan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 44.76% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/30/2006, in the Ioan file.	\$80,000.00	2846925
				1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS 2006- BC6_Compliance with Applicable Law- - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xviii) (g) SAS 2006-BC6_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xviii) (h) SAS 2006- BC6_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2006- BC6_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	1	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	\$0.00	2846925
				1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2006-BC6_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2006-BC6_No Event of Default		Misrepresentatio n of Employment	4	3	The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. The origination loan application indicated the Borrower was employed as a Multiple Store Manager for 5 years, 6 months, earning \$9,400 per month. An audit verification of employment was obtained from The Work Number, which indicated the Borrower was employed as of 11/22/2005 with a current job title as Sales Associate. The subject loan closed on 10/19/2006; therefore, the Borrower was with her current employer for 11 months and not 5 years, 6 months, as indicated on the loan application. Furthermore, the Borrower's annual earnings were provided from 2008 through 2013, of which the greatest amount of income was \$16,991 in 2008. The current rate of pay is indicated as \$9.25 hourly. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Multiple Store Manager for 5.6 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.		2846925
23	0123539363	1st	BNCMT 2007-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 12/04/2006, in the amount of \$352,500, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.15% Debt to	\$352,500.00	2845025
				1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2007-1_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n - With No Red Flags Present	Misrepresentatio n of Assets	2	3	Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 12/04/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented her ownership of assets. The loan file contained a bank statement, dated 10/19/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$3,359; however, an audit Verification of Deposit (VOD) was obtained, which reflected an ending balance of \$754 on 10/19/2006. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that she had \$3,359 month's reserves when in fact she had \$754. The amount of assets verified was misrepresented by \$2,605, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	\$0.00	2845025

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

OB-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 At

n_US Bank_Final_Version_13	1	1.04 (6) (.3555-m	אַר עַ	oc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	
		1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2007-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2007-1_No Event of Default	n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	Misrepresentatio n of Income	3	The Borrower misrepresented beg disclosed income: The Borrower dasely stated income as a Health Care Assistant earning \$6,000 per month on the loan application. The loan files on tamed 12 months bank statements with average deposits of \$7,593 per month; however, the post closing W-2 form obtained through the modification/foreclosure process, for 2006 the year of the subject loan closing, reflected the income for the Borrower was \$2,399 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
						and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Health Care Assistant earning \$6,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.	
24 0123545253 1st	BNCMT 2007-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	The subject loan closed on 12/27/2006, in the amount of \$55,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 40.98% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 12/26/2006, in the loan file.	
		1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file.	
		1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2007-1_Written	:			The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.	
		Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool				Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to	
		1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-1_Origination Practices				materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
		1.04 (b) (xii) BNC	Failure to Provide	Failure to	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 2845034	
		2007-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA	the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL		executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,	
		1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2007-1_Written	:			state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.	
		Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
		1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-1_Origination Practices					

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13		<u> 13555-mg</u>	<u>Doc 46080</u>	<u>-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmen</u>	ţ	
	1.04 (b) (xl) (l) BNC 2007- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Verify Housing History	1 6 7 7 1 1 1	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the epresented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		2845034
	1.04 (b) (xxxiv) BNC Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal Appraisal	e Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	(a	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.		2845034
25 0123546608 1st BNCMT 2007-1	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	(C	the subject loan closed on 12/11/2006, in the amount of \$488,750, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence SFR). The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50.70% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect	Full \$488,750.00	2845038
	1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC 2007-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-1_Origination Practices	e Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3 F	at the time of application in the loan file. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		2845038
	1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xi) (h) BNC 2007-1_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-1_Origination Practices	e Failure to Provide Final TIL	, s s E	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		2845038

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

The subject loan did not comply with approaches betterment Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Bordward maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2845038 2007-1_Compliance the Subject Note Provide Subject with Applicable Law Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-1_Origination Practices The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided. Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xxxiv) BNC Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2845038 2007-1_Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal appraisal was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_13 08-13555-ma The subject loan closed on 01/17/2007, in the amount of \$499,867 as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence.
The loan was approved as a stated income/sermed Asset (SKA) loan, with an 85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and 26 0123866600 SASC 2007-BC3 \$408,000.00 2847023 47.781% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/24/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Failure to The Borrower indicated that she was an Administrative Assistant with a government agency for 5 years, earning \$6,200 per month and 2847023 SAS 2007received supplemental income in the amount of \$1,241 in Social Security benefits for her son and daughter. Determine Determine BC3 Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay Income of \$6,200 per month for a Administrative Assistant is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The Bureau of Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for an Administrative Assistant in 2007 and in the same geographic region was \$4,183 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated employment income as an Administrative Assistant 2847023 SAS 2007n of Income - Red n of Income earning \$6,200 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment was conducted through The Work Number, which BC3_Underwriting Flags Present revealed the Borrower earned \$43,143, or \$3,596 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 2007-BC3 No reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 2007-BC3 No and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Administrative Assistant earning \$6,200 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 13

en_US Bank_Finai_\					<u>.3555-m</u>	<u>g Do</u>	<u>C 46080</u>	<u> </u>			
27 40370504	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00		residence. The loan was approved as a State Income/verified 2set (SIVA) loan, with a 98.90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value	Stated	\$226,500.00	2845650
								(LTV/CLTV), and a 44.61% Debt to Income. There was a manual approval dated 03/26/2007, in the loan file.			
			. , . ,	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3		The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the			2845650
			2007-8H_DTI					Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrowers' verified income results in an increase from 44.61% to 71.80%,			
								which exceeds the represented DTI of 60%.			
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio		2 3		The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed incomes. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Head Waitress earning \$4,500 per month		\$0.00	2845650
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Income - Red	n of Income			and the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Detailer earning \$3,600 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post-			
				Flags Present -				closing 2008 tax returns and the Borrower's 2008 W-2 form, which revealed the Borrower earned \$15,390, or \$1,282 per month as a			
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS	Near Year				Waitress and in 2008 the Co-Borrower earned \$1,368 per month in self employment, which was not disclosed on the loan application. It is			
			2007-8H_No Fraud	Income Evidence				unlikely the Borrowers' incomes would have decreased considering the Borrowers were employed in the same line of work.			
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
			2007-8H_No Event					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
			of Default					and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed			
								of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
								Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Head Waitress earning \$4,500 per month and the Co-Borrower			
								falsely stated income as a Detailer earning \$3,600 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under			
								the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust, it also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the			
								subject loan.			
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	43		The Co-Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as a Detailer for a car wash for 19 years. The loan file contained		\$0.00	2845650
			2007-8H No Fraud		n of Employment	, ,		post-closing 2008 tax returns which reflect a 2-year tax history for 2007 and 2008. The tax return for 2008 reflects the Co-Borrower was self-		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20.5050
				- With No Red				employed with the same employer at the same address as listed on the 1003. The Co-Borrower's final loan application did not reflect any			
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H No Event	Flags Present				self employment.			
			of Default					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
								documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
								and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed			
								of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
								Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Co-Borrower falsely stated employment as a Detailer for a car wash for 19 years, which constituted			
								an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			
								Grand Total of Repurchase Demand		\$5,559,030.00	

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

	Loan Number	First or Second	Deal Name	Section of the	Issue Description	Breach	Breach	Rating	Breach Descrity/on part 3 Pg 60 of 256	Document Type	Original Balance	Fracking Number	Comments
1	000000031273485	1st	LXS 2005-4	MLSAA Breached	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	Count	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/15/2005, in the amount of \$485,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied multi family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 64.67% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 37% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/14/2005, in the loan file. The Hud-1, revealed the Borrower	Stated	\$485,000.00	2845362	
									received \$57,573 at closing.				
				1.04 (c) (v) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio)	1 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income in General Maintenance earning \$9,500 per			2845362	
				2005-4_No Fraud	n of Income - No				month on the loan application. The subject loan file contained post closing documentation, which contained the Borrower's 2006 tax				
				_	Red Flags Present	t			returns, which revealed the Borrower's verified income was \$1,078 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have				
				1.04 (c) (vii) LXS	- Near Year				decreased considering the Borrower was self employed in the same line of work.				
				2005-4_No Event o	Income Evidence								
				Default					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated				
									therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
									and the state of t				
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or				
									Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to				
									Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the				
									Mortgage.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income in General Maintenance earning \$9,500 per month on the loan				
									application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
2	000000033737024	1st	LXS 2007-5H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0 0	The subject loan closed on 12/27/2006, in the amount of \$67,400, as a purchase of a non owner occupied single family residence. The	Full	\$67,400.00	2845584	
				1				1	loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45% Debt To				
			+	1.04 (c) (-) 1.75	Microprocents*'-	Microprocents		1 3	Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 12/26/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. A review of the audit credit report revealed the Borrower obtained an undisclosed			2845584	1
				1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-5H No Fraud	Misrepresentatio n of Debt	n of Debt	'[1 3	mortgage prior to the subject loan closing of 12/27/2006. In 07/2006, the Borrower obtained an undisclosed mortgage prior to the subject loan closing of 12/27/2006. In 07/2006, the Borrower obtained an undisclosed mortgage with a loan			2845584	1
				2007 511_140 11444	Obligations - No				amount of \$80,000 and a monthly payment of \$712 on an undisclosed property.				
				1.04 (c) (vii) LXS	Red Flags Present								
				2007-5H_No Event					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
				of Default					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated				
									therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or				
									Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to				
									Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the				
									Mortgage.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose an \$712 monthly debt, which				
									constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				
3	000000033769118	1st	LXS 2007-5H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0 0	The subject loan closed on 01/30/2007, in the amount of \$370,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied detached single family	SISA	\$370,000.00	2845589	
J	300000033703110	130	2.0 2007 311		zoun summar y	Louis Summary			residence located in a Planned Unit Development (PUD). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset loan, with a 100% Loan	5.57 (ψ57 0,000.00	20 13303	
									to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 37.532% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/30/2007, in the				
									loan file.				
Ţ				1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%		1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt To Income (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's			2845589	1
				2007-5H_DTI				1	representations, a re-calculation of Debt To Income (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 37.55% to				
									122.09%, which exceeds the represented Debt To Income (DTI) of 60%.				
				1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio)	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Commercial Driver earning \$9,000 per		\$0.00	2845589	
				2007-5H_DTI	n of Income - Red				month on the loan application. The Borrower provided post closing income documentation for the purpose of obtaining a home				
					Flags Present -				modification loan. The Borrower provided his W-2 form for the subject year loan closing, which verified the Borrower's actual income				
				1.04 (c) (v) LXS	Same Year				was \$2,823 per month.				
				2007-5H_No Fraud	income Evidence				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
				1.04 (c) (vii) LXS					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated				
				2007-5H_No Event					therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
				of Default									
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or				
									Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to				
									Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
									то цьорс.				
									A recalculation of Debt To Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a Debt To Income (DTI) of 122.09%, which				
									exceeds the Seller's represented 60% Debt to income ratio.				
									exceeds the Seller's represented 60% Debt to income ratio.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The subject low closed an expansion of 33 2002, p.m. a 60 1nt of \$29.5 6 as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). Stated 4 00014241574 SASC 2003-GEL1 2846501 , with a 99.75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value LTV/CLTV, and a 48.52% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/16/2002, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2846501 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application as a self-employed Real Estate Investor for 2.75 years. The n of Employment in of Employment 2003-GEL1 No Bankruptcy petition records filed 07/30/2005 in Section 18 of the Statement of Financial Affairs did not reflect the Borrower as self-With Red Flags Fraud employed as stated on the loan application. Present 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2003-GEL1_No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated Event of Default therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self-employed Real Estate Investor for 2.75 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Partner of a real estate 284650 2003-GEL1 No n of Income - Red n of Income company earning \$9,500 per month on the loan application. The Bankruptcy petition records filed 07/30/2005 in Section 18 of the Fraud Flags Present -Statement of Financial Affairs did not reflect self employment for Borrower. Same Year 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS Income Evidenc The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2003-GEL1 No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated Event of Default therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Partner of a real estate investment company earning \$9.500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of 2845946 0120893045 SAIL 2006-BNC3 oan Summary The subject loan closed on 05/18/2006, in the amount of \$123,300, as a purchase of an owner occupied single-family residence. The \$123,300,00 Loan Summary loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 7.3% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 05/31/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that they rented their current residence for 1 year with a monthly payment of \$750. The 2845946 SAIL 2006-VOM/VOR Housing History loan file contained a verification of rent dated 4/30/2006, which reflects a housing history for the Borrower from 03/03/2005 through BNC3 Underwriting 04/30/2006. However, due to the property owner being a private party, 12 months canceled checks front and back were required as Methodology evidence of payment, which were not required. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

the Certificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg Per the final Hyb-1 Settlement Satement in the 15 Per the final Hyb-1 Settlement Satement in the Borrower was required to verify \$14,000 in gift funds for the subject property. In total, assets of \$29,673 were required to be verified. The loan file 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify SAIL 2006-Assets BNC3_Underwriting contained a \$14,000 gift letter from the Borrower's nephew. Accompanying the gift letter, were bank statements, dated 04/01/2006 Methodology through 04/29/2006, reflecting a balance of \$32,138. The bank statements reflect large deposits; however, none in the amount of Deemed MnA Pool \$14,000 being transferred to or from the nephew's account. Further, the Borrower provided bank statements dated 03/31/2006 with a The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2845946 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as Owner and Operator of a Transportation SAIL 2006n of Income - Red n of Income Company earning \$20,539 per month on the loan application. According to the Statement of Financial Affairs on the Bankruptcy petition BNC3_Underwriting Flags Present filed 09/05/2007, by the Borrower with the Eastern District of Wisconsin Bankruptcy Court, the Borrower's income for the year of the Methodology -Same Year subject loan closing of 2006 was listed as \$35,000 or \$2,916 per month. Further, the Borrower's income for the year 2005 was listed as Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence \$15,000 or \$1,250 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 2006-BNC3 No Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such vent of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated herein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner and Operator of a Transportation Company earning \$20,539 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 6 0120897384 SAIL 2006-BNC3 284596 The subject loan closed on 05/15/2006, in the amount of \$184,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family \$184,000.00 oan Summary Loan Summary residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset documentation loan, with a 75.10% loan to value/combined loan to

value ratio, and a 41.33% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval, dated 05/23/2006, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1, dated 05/24/2006, the Borrower paid off two other debts, totaling \$8,383 and received cash proceeds in the amount of \$18,431.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2 3	
	The Borrower's frepresented In the Borrower's 2008 W-2 form, obtained post-closing, the Borrower's actual 2008 income was \$3,000 per
SAIL 2006- n of Income - Red n of Income	
	month, the subject loan closed 05/15/2006. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was
· ·	with the same employer in the same line of work.
Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence	
1	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan
	employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.
1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL	
2006-BNC3_No	The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a
Fraud	reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
, , , ,	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such
_	documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated
Event of Default	therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.
	In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or
	Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to
	Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the
	Mortgage.
	Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Office Manager, earning \$5,300 per month on the loan
	application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortrage and pole of Trust and has a significant impact on the
	Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.
	Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with
	the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of
	the Certificate holders.
	BNC3_Underwriting Flags Present - Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The subject long closed on pt 23 2006, Dung a 60 4nt of \$1.500 Gs purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 20%/100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 7 0121285712 SAIL 2006-BNC3 Loan Summary 2846016 48.260% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/01/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Drywall Specialist for 14 years, earning \$12,897 per month. 2846016 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Failure to SAIL 2006-Determine Determine BNC3 Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Ability to Repay Methodology -Ability to Repay employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. Deemed MnA Pool (Stated) The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Research conducted through the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics revealed that a Drywall Specialist located in the same area in 2006 could expect to earn a monthly income of \$6,528 in the 90th percentile. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. The origination credit report and the Mortgage Electronic Registry System report 2846016 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio SAIL 2006n of Debt reflected that the Borrower opened two undisclosed mortgages in the amount of \$624,000 and \$156,000 on 06/06/2006, which was 12 BNC3 Underwriting Obligations days after the subject loan closing date on 05/25/2006. The undisclosed mortgages secured a property located in Salinas, California and Methodology -With Red Flags resulted in an undisclosed monthly mortgage payment of \$3,708 for the undisclosed mortgage in the amount of \$624,000 and resulted Deemed MnA_Pool Present in an additional undisclosed monthly mortgage payment of \$837 for the undisclosed mortgages in the amount of \$156,000. The Borrower's undisclosed mortgage resulted in a total undisclosed mortgage of \$780,000 and resulted in an undisclosed monthly mortgage 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Fraud documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Event of Default Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose \$4,545 in monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

the Certificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 The Borrower visreprograph in disclospinic Control of the Borrower as a Drywall Specialist earning \$12,897 per month on the loan application. An audit verbal verification of employment and income was obtained from the Borrower's employer 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio SAIL 2006n of Income - Red n of Income loyment and income was obtained from the Borrower's employer, BNC3_Underwriting Flags Present which revealed that the Borrower actually earned a monthly income of \$6,327 per month Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 2006-BNC3 No reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated 2006-BNC3 No therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Drywall Specialist earning \$12,897 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Occupancy Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. Research conducted through Accurint and the address history 2846016 2006-BNC3_No n of Occupancy Misrepresentatio listed on the audit credit report revealed that there were no occupancy history by the Borrower at the subject property and did not list Fraud - With No Red any occupancy history at the subject purchase of an owner occupied property. A utility report was obtained through Accurint, which lags Present ndicated that the Borrower opened utilities in the Borrower's name at the Borrower's departing address on 11/30/2006, which was only 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL months after the subject loan closing date. No records could be found to support that the Borrower ever occupied the subject 2006-BNC3 No property. Research conducted through public records indicated that in 2006 and 2007 the Borrower continued to occupy the proposed Event of Default departure address and did not occupy the subject property after the closing date of 05/25/2006, as disclosed. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 0121287676 SAIL 2006-BNC3 oan Summary The subject loan closed on 06/09/2006, in the amount of \$744,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan Full \$744,000.0 284602 .oan Summarv was approved as a Full Documentation/Bank Statement loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 45.41% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/09/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was 284602 SAIL 2006required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$13,934 for the subject property. In total, BNC3 Underwriting assets of \$13,934 were required to be verified. Methodology Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$13,934 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

the Certificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment 08-13555-mg The Borrower viscep (Space) the intention of the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy with the Northern District of California on 04/17/2007. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Occupancy SAIL 2006-Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy n 04/17/2007. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, BNC3_Underwriting n - With Red which required the Borrower to report any previous addresses for the prior 3 years. The Borrower reported his current address as a Methodology -Flags Present property he purchased in 11/2006. 5 months after the subject loan closing on 06/09/2006, and reported his previous address as an Deemed MnA Pool address located in Oakland. CA, which matched the verification of rent and bank statements located in the loan file for the Borrower's departing address. It is important to note, the loan application did not list the Oakland, CA property as the Borrowers current address at closing, but listed the Borrower's rental property located in Stockton, CA as his current or departing residence. The discrepancy on the 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL loan application for the Borrower's departing address was not addressed at origination. 2006-BNC3 No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL herein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented his self employment on the loan application. Per the loan application, the Borrower indicated that he was 2846022 2006-BNC3 No n of Employment in of Employment self employed as a National Production Manager for 3 years. The loan file contained a letter of explanation from the Borrower, a Fraud With No Red business card and a reference letter as verification. Research of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy with Flags Present the Northern District of California on 04/17/2007. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which required the Borrower to 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL report any self employment for the previous addresses for the prior 6 years; however, the Borrower did not report any self employment. 2006-BNC3 No vent of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self employed as a National Production Manager for 3 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed as a National Production 2846022 2006-BNC3_No n of Income - No In of Income Manager for 3 years, earning \$19,000 per month, and had secondary employment as a Production Manager for a music company for 2 years, earning \$7,000 per month on the loan application. Research of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy Red Flags Presen Fraud Same Year with the Northern District of California on 04/17/2007. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which required the 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL Income Evidence Borrower to report any self employment for the previous addresses for the prior 6 years; however, the Borrower did not report any self 2006-BNC3_No employment and reflected report wages from various employers in the amount of \$45,000, or \$3,750 per month, in 2006 the year the Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated herein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed as a National Production Manager for 3 years, earning \$19,000 per month and secondary employment as a Production Manager for a music company for 2 years, earning \$7,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The subject long closed on Ft 13 2006, Date a Grynt of F3 9 ED Gas a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value 0121288468 SAIL 2006-BNC3 2846025 (LTV/CLTV), and a 40% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval ncluded in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to 2846025 the Right of Provide Right of the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Right of BNC3_Compliance Rescission Rescission Rescission was missing from the loan file. with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAIL Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. BNC3 Origination Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Obtain The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 284602 SAIL 2006-Credit Report Valid Credit employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. BNC3 Underwriting Report Methodology -The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a Deemed MnA Pool reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of a valid credit report; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that she owned her current residence for 9 years with a monthly payment of \$1.678. 2846025 SAIL 2006-VOM/VOR BNC3_Underwriting The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify The Borrower stated self employment as a home based Interior Designer for 2 years on the loan application. 284602 SAIL 2006-Employment ' Employment BNC3 Underwriting Self-Employed The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology -Borrower employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain any verification of self-employment documentation, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

the Certificateholders

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12

<u> </u>	1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 6 3	The Borrower Wisrepresaret in Semple Profit (1907) The loan file did not contain any documentation of self-employment and the Bankruptcy petition records filed 03/20/2008 indicated the Borrower was not self-employed in Section 18 of the	2846025
	SAIL 2006- n of Employment n of Employment	employment and the Bankruptcy petition records filed 03/20/2008 indicated the Borrower was not self-employed in Section 18 of the	
	BNC3_Underwriting - With Red Flags	Statement of Financial Affairs.	
	Methodology - Present Deemed MnA Pool	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan	
	1	employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.	
	1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL	The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a	
	2006-BNC3_No Fraud	reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
	rraud	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
	1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL	documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated	
	2006-BNC3_No	therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
	Event of Default	In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or	
		Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to	
		Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the	
		Mortgage.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self-employed Interior Designer for 2 years, which	
		constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of	
		the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
		Durwant to the Martegae Lan Cale and Assignment Argament the failure of the subject land to be underwritten in accordance with	
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of	
		the Certificateholders	
	1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 7 3 SAIL 2006- n of Income - Red n of Income	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a home based self-employed Interior Designer earning \$6,200 per month on the loan application. The Bankruptcy petition records filed 03/20/2008, indicated the total	2846025
	BNC3 Underwriting Flags Present -	besigner earning 30,200 per month for the observable state of the subject learning and the non-signing spouse was \$3,209 per month for 2006, the year of the subject loan closing, it could not be	
	Methodology - Same Year	determined what percentage of earnings belonged to the Borrower or the source of the earnings; however, the total amount of earnings	
	Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence	was much less than the \$6,200 monthly income stated on the loan application which confirmed overstatement of income. The	
	1	Bankruptcy records obtained through Pacer indicated on Section 18 of the Statement of Financial Affairs that the Borrower was not self-	
	1 04 (b) (wi) CAII	employed; therefore, the income source for the Borrower was ineligible.	
	1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan	
	Fraud	employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.	
	1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No	The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
	Event of Default	reasonable ability to make unlery payments on the subject toall.	
		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
		documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated	
		therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
		In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or	
		Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to	
		Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the	
		Mortgage.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a home based self-employed Interior Designer earning \$6,200	
		per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and also	
		significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with	
		the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of	
		the Certificateholders	
40.0424202502	OC DNG3	The white the selection of /22 /2005 in the selection of /22 /2005	0.00
. 10 0121292692 1st SAIL 200	06-BNC3 Loan Summary Loan Summary 0 0	The subject loan closed on 05/23/2006, in the amount of \$228,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV),	0.00 2846034

wen_US Bank_Final_Version_12	08-13555-mg Doc 46	6080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	
	1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	The origination of redition at the description of the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the credit inquiries for the previous 90 days were investigated so as to determine whether such inquires resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application, such that an accurate credit profile was verified. Loan inquiries give a lender insight as to any potential loans a Borrower may be in the process of acquiring at the time of application for the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	2846034
	1.04 (b) (xii) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	The Borrower stated on the loan application that they rented his current residence for 6 months with a monthly payment of \$3,000. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	2846034
	1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	3 Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$4,691 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$4,691 were required to be verified. The loan file contained no documentation of assets. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$4,691 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	2846034

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The Borrower Visreprograph 10 debt @project. Author Section 10 debt and the Borrower purchased 2 undisclosed properties in 06/2006, the morth after the subject loan closing on 05/23/2006. The Borrower acquired a first mortgage 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio SAIL 2006n of Debt of Debt the subject loan closing on 05/23/2006. The Borrower acquired a first mortgage and BNC3_Underwriting Obligations -Obligations second mortgage on 06/01/2006, 8 days after the subject closing on 05/23/2006, in the amounts of \$396,000 and \$99,000 with With Red Flags payments of \$2,858 and \$9691 on 1 property. The Borrower also acquired a first and second mortgage in 06/2006 in the amounts of Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool Present \$560,000 and \$140,000 with payments of \$4,234 and \$1,456 on the second property. The Borrower also acquired an undisclosed automobile loan in 04/2006, the month prior to the subject loan closing in the amount of \$56,952 with a calculated payment at 0% for 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Fraud employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 2006-BNC3 No reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose \$9,649 in monthly debts, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as a self-employed Real Estate Investor for 2 years. The business 2846034 SAIL 2006n of Employment in of Employment license and audit business search indicated the Borrower was self-employed for 1 year and not 2 years as reflected on the loan BNC3_Underwriting - With Red Flags application. Methodology -Present Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 2006-BNC3 No reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated 2006-BNC3 No therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self-employed Real Estate Investor for 2 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of

the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

the Certificateholders

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The Borrower viscep 15 and Bintent in Group The office Figure Perty. Public records indicated the Borrower did not occupy the subject property after closing on 05/23/2006. Public records indicated other individuals occupied the subject property from 01/19 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Occupancy 2006-BNC3_No Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy ed other individuals occupied the subject property from 01/1989 to Fraud - With No Red 02/2013. Public records indicated the Borrower occupied the business address of the Borrower's self-employment. Flags Present 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-BNC3 No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated Event of Default therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to ender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 11 0121303846 SAIL 2006-BNC3 The subject loan closed on 06/13/2006, in the amount of \$244,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, condominium. The loan was \$244,000.00 2846055 oan Summary oan Summary approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 46.16% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/26/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) 284605 Failure to Failure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Executive Manager of a retail store for 6 months, earning \$8,000 per SAIL 2006-Determine Determine BNC3 Underwriting Reasonable easonable Ability to Repay Ability to Repay The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology -Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$8,000 per month for a Retail Manager is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of abor Statistics reported a Retail Manager in the 75th percentile in the same geographic ares would earn an average of \$3,818 per. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that he rented his current residence for 5 months with a monthly payment of \$920. 2846055 SAIL 2006-VOM/VOR Housing History BNC3 Underwriting The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan file contained a Verification of Rent, dated 06/20/2006, which indicated the Borrower rented that property from 11/01/2005 through the present paying \$920 per month. The verification was completed by the Resident Manager. A second Verification of Rent, dated 06/23/2006 was also provided to complete the required 12 month housing history for the Borrower. However, the second verification indicted the Borrower rented that property from 06/01/2005 through 11/01/2005 paying \$500 per month, which was completed by the Landlord. Any Verification completed by a private party was required to be supported by canceled rent checks. The oan file did not contain the required canceled rent checks. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such. there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12

	<u> </u>			-3333-III	g DUC 4000	0-7 Filed 06/22/14 Efficied 06/22/14 15.54.16 Attachment		
·		1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Failure to Verify	,	4 3	The Borrowen system on the police of the police of the Borrowen of the Borrowe	28460	55
1		SAIL 2006-	Employment	Employment				
1		BNC3_Underwriting	5			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan		
1		Methodology -				employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.		
1		Deemed MnA_Pool						
1		1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a		
1						reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
1						Despite the Seller's representations, there was no evidence in the file that the Borrower's employment was verified and significantly		
1						impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		
						impacted the determination of the borrower's reasonable during to repay the subject roun.		
1						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with		
1						the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of		
						the Certificateholders.		
		1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	5 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Executive Manager for a retail store,	28460	55
		SAIL 2006-	n of Income - Red	n of Income		earning \$8,000 per month on the loan application. Per an audit Verification of Employment conducted through The Work Number, the		
		BNC3_Underwriting	Flags Present -			Borrower's actual earnings for the year of the subject loan closing in 2006 was \$65,800, or \$5,483 per month. The verification indicated		
		Methodology -	Same Year			the Borrower was employed as an ETL Logistics Overnight with a hire date of 08/03/2005. The Borrower was inactive with this employer		
		Deemed MnA_Pool	Income Evidence			as of 06/19/2006, 6 days after the subject loan closing on 06/13/2006.		
		1						
						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan		
		1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL				employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.		
		2006-BNC3_No Fraud				The Seller further represented and warranted in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Perceiver had a		
		i i duu				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
		1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL				reasonable during to make timely payments on the saugest roan.		
		2006-BNC3 No				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such		
		Event of Default				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated		
						therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		
						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or		
						Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to		
						Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the		
						Mortgage.		
						Despite the Called's representations the Description fields etable income as an Eucoption Manager countries (0.000 as months as the leav		
						Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Executive Manager earning \$8,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the		
						apprication, minin constitutes an event of ureast under the executed workings of Deed of 113st and has a significant impact of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		
						borrower steasonable during to repay the subject roun.		
						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with		
						the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of		
						the Certificateholders.		
42 0424204002	CAU 200C DNC2		Lana Communication		000	The shirt lead of CO (2005) is the second of AMO 200 and when the second of the facility of the AMO 200 and the second of the se	¢240,200,00 20450	50
12 0121304802 1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3	1	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 06/01/2006, in the amount of \$349,200, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan Stated was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 90%/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 46.36%	\$349,200.00 28460	30
1 1		1		1		was approved us a Stated informer Verified Asset tolarl, with a 90%/95% Loan to Value/Continued Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.30% Debt to income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 05/26/2006, in the loan file.		
<u> </u>		1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Failure to Obtain	Failure to Verify	3 3	The Borrows stated on the loan application that they rented their current residence for 2 months with a monthly payment of \$1,500.	\$0.00 28460	58
		SAIL 2006-	VOM/VOR	Housing History		The Borrowers also stated on the loan application that they rented their previous residence for 2 years. Rental history was required to be	20400	
		BNC3_Underwriting		,		verified with either a verification of rent from a management company or 12-month canceled checks.		
		Methodology -						
		Deemed MnA_Pool				The loan file contained a letter from the Borrowers' current landlord, dated 05/30/2006, which reflected the Borrowers paid on time in		
		1				Cash. The loan file contained a letter from the Borrowers' previous landlord, dated 05/29/2006, which reflected the Borrowers paid on		
						time in Cash as well.		
						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan and the subjection mathematical existence in the state of including the subject to mathematical existence in the state of including the subject to mathematical existence in the state of including the state of i		
						employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.		
						The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a		
						reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
						Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrowers' housing history; and as such,		
						there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.		
						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with		
						the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of		
						the Certificateholders.		
						the certificatenoiders.		

Cowen US Bank Final Version 12

13 0121305437	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	LOan Summary	0 0	46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject look closes at Pt/08/2006, Decrease Office of the subject look of closes at Pt/08/2006, Decrease Office of the subject look of closes at Pt/08/2006, Decrease Office of the subject look of the s	
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Investigate Credit History	Failure to Investigate Credit History	2 3	46.43% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/06/2006, in the loan file. The loan file contained the Borrower's origination credit report, dated 06/05/2006, which reflected a collection account. 2846060 The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.	
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that a letter of explanation was obtained for derogatory credit as required, and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of	
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	VOM/VOR	Failure to Verify Housing History	3 3	the Certificateholders. The Borrower stated on the loan application that she rented her current residence for 1 year with a monthly payment of \$1,000. Twelve months of canceled checks are required to be provided if the landlord is a private party. The loan file contained a verification of rent, dated 06/19/2006, which reflected the landlord was a private party. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.	
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing payment history with twelve months canceled checks as required; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Verify Assets	Failure to Verify Assets	4 3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$7,978 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$7,978 were required to be verified. Assets were required to be verified with two consecutive account statements. The loan file contained the first page of a bank statement for the Borrower's checking account, dated 04/20/2006 through 05/18/2006, which reflected ending balance of \$20,866; however, the statements were incomplete.	
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.	
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$7,978 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	

Cowen US Bank Final Version 12

OR 12555 mg Doc 46080 7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 1

ven_US Bank_Final_Version_12		08-1	L3555-mg	Doc 4608	0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	
		Asset Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	6 3	The Borrower Visrep Capet 1 (0) owne Dipol 1 (18) (2006, which reflected ending balances of \$20,866. The Borrower was required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$7,978 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$7,978 were required to be verified. Assets were required to be verified with two consecutive account statements. An audit verification of deposit was obtained, which revealed the Borrower had \$259 in ending balances on 05/18/2006, the ending date of the provided bank statements. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that she had \$20,866 in assets when in fact she had \$259, meaning the amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$20,607, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the B	2846060
					the Certificateholders.	
14 0121306047	2006-BNC3	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 06/21/2006, in the amount of \$304,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 44.71% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 35.06% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/21/2006, in the loan file.	0 2846063
	1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay (Stated)	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Owner of a home-based child care center for 5 years, earning \$11,500 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.	2846063
					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
					income of \$11,500 per month for an Owner of a home-based child care center is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation.	
					Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
					Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The subject logic closed an per/07/2006, Dure and unto 1552 ESG as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan Stated was approved as a stated income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and 15 0121320139 SAIL 2006-BNC3 2846091 a 46.03% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/02/2006, in the loan file 2846091 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Failure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Advertising Sales Director for 3.8 years, earning \$13,500 per month and SAIL 2006-Determine Determine the Co-Borrower was employed as a Medical Biller for 6 months, earning \$4,700 per month, BNC3 Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$4,700 per month for a Medical Biller is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The US Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for an Advertising and Promotions Manager in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$9,575 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers' stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 2846091 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrowers' funds required for closing were \$2,549. In total, assets of \$2,549 were SAIL 2006required to be verified. BNC3 Underwriting Methodology The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Deemed MnA_Pool employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan file contained one month bank statement for the Borrower's checking account, dated 05/24/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$41,010; however, the Borrower did not provide an additional month bank statement to properly source and season the Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,549 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Asset Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his ownership of assets. The loan file contained a one month bank statement for the Borrower's checking 2846091 SAIL 2006-Misrepresentatio account, dated 05/24/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$41,010. The balance and total deposit balance were in different fonts. BNC3_Underwriting n - With Red In addition, the description section was blacked out. An audit verification of deposit was obtained which indicated the actual balance of Methodology -Flags Present the Borrower's checking account on 05/24/2006 was \$21,010. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a raud reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-BNC3 No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated Event of Default therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that he had \$41,010 in assets when in fact he had \$21,010, meaning the amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$20,000, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

the Certificateholders.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 12

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

wen_US Bank_Finai_	version_12			08-1	.3555-m	g Doc	46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud	n of Debt	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	4 3	The Borrower in isrems and the Borrower's obtained an undisclosed installment loan in 05/2006, 1 month prior to the subject loan closing on 06/02/2006, in the amount of \$46,025 with a monthly payment of \$1,095. The Borrowers' paid off a disclosed auto installment loan with a monthly payment of \$662, which resulted in an undisclosed increase in payment of \$433.
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$433 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.
	4 .	SAU 2005 PM82					
16 0121320295	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 06/14/2006, in the amount of \$183,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 67.78% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50.73% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/27/2006, in the loan file.
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Asset	Misrepresentatio	1 3	The Borrower misrepresented his ownership of asset. The Borrower provided 12 months bank statements. The statement dated 2846092
			SAIL 2006-	Misrepresentatio	n of Assets		02/14/2006 reflected total deposits on the first page of the bank statement in the amount of \$6,500; however, the second page of the
			BNC3_Underwriting				bank statement under branch/ATM deposits reflected total deposits in the amount of \$3,500. The Lender failed to properly review the
			Methodology -	Flags Present			bank statements in their entirety. In addition, an audit verification of deposit was obtained for the bank statement dated 04/17/2006,
			Deemed MnA_Pool				which verified the actual balance was \$2,689; however, the bank statement in the loan file dated 04/17/2006, reflected a balance of \$5,889.
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.
			Tradu				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No				reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
			Event of Default				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such
							documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated
							therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or
							Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to
							Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the
							Mortgage.
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that he had \$5,889 in assets when in fact he had \$2,689, meaning the
							amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$3,200, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or
							Deed of Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with
							the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of
							the Certificateholders.

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 08-13555-mg Attachment

	,	1	1	4 04 // 1 / 11 / 11		1	9 20	, 1000			1	25	
				1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006-	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red		2	3	The Borrowenty srepres a the Midisclo Ping of The for Pus Galsely stated income as a Construction Worker earning \$6,100 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment was obtained from the employer, which verified the Borrower's			2846092	
				BNC3 Underwriting		in or income			month on the loan application. An audit vernication of employment was obtained from the employer, which vernied the Borrower's actual income for the subject year loan closing 2006 was \$396 per month.				
				Methodology -	Same Year								
				Deemed MnA_Pool					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan				
				1					employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
				1 04 (b) (c-i) CA!!					The Celler freshed against and represented in part that the ready with a section of the section				
				1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
				Fraud					reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
									The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
				1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated				
				2006-BNC3_No					therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
				Event of Default									
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to				
									Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the				
									Mortgage.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Construction Worker earning \$6,100 per month on the loar				
									application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the				
									Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with				
1									the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of				
									the Certificateholders.				
17	0121322002	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0.0)	The subject loan closed on 06/05/2006, in the amount of \$472,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied detached Planned Unit	SISA	\$472,000.00	2846095	
1									Development (PUD). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to		·		
									Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50.628% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/13/2006, in the loan file.				
				1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006-	Failure to Determine	Failure to Determine	1 3	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Owner of a Painting business for 5.11 years, earning \$10,700 per month			2846095	
					Reasonable	Reasonable			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan				
				Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay			employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
				Deemed MnA_Pool									
				1					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a				
									reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
									language of \$10,700 per month for an approx of a Deinting hydrogeric processorable and it a red flor for notantial missary acceptation. The				
									Income of \$10,700 per month for an owner of a Painting business is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The US Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Painter in 2006 and in the same geographic region				
									was \$3,496 per month.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of th	2			
									Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
									Downstands Manager Long Colored Assistance & Assessment Alexander Colored Colo				
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of				
									the Certificateholders.				
18	0121322200	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0)	The subject loan closed on 05/31/2006, in the amount of \$595,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loa	n Stated	\$595,000.00	2846096	
									was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 70%/100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and				
									a 48.38% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/07/2006, in the loan file.				
-				1 04 (b) (vli) (d)	Failure to	Failure to	1 1	2	The Co-Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Insurance Agent Owner for 30 years, earning \$20,000 per month.	+		2846096	
	·			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006-	Determine	Determine	1 3	٠	The Co-borrower stated on the loan application employment as an insurance Agent Owner for 30 years, earning \$20,000 per month.			2840096	
				BNC3_Underwriting		Reasonable			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan				
				Methodology -	Ability to Repay				employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
				Deemed MnA_Pool	(Stated)								
				1					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a				
									reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
	1								Income of \$20,000 per month for an Insurance Agent is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. CBSalary.com				
			ı	1					reported the average salary for an Insurance Agent in the same geographic region in the 90th percentile was \$9,167 per month.				
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with				
									the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of				
									the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of				
	Copyright 2013, Digita	al Bick II C	rnal 9. Confidence	al Do Not Surlier	Dum 2/27/200	12 13:50:53 PM			the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg V - part 3 Pg 78 of 256 loan closed on 06/06/2006, in the amount of \$145,900, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family 19 0121324867 SAIL 2006-BNC3 oan Summary \$145,900.0 284610 Loan Summary esidence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 20%/100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.98% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/16/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to 2846102 the Right of Provide Right of the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Right of BNC3 Compliance Rescission Rescission Rescission was missing from the loan file. with Applicable Law Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAIL Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. BNC3_Origination Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$18,166. In addition, the Borrower was 2846102 SAIL 2006-Assets required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$10,782 for the subject property. In total, BNC3 Underwriting assets of \$28,949 were required to be verified. The loan file did not contain any asset documentation. Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$28,949 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Occupancy Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. A public records search conducted through Accurint, verified 2846102 SAIL 2006-Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy the Borrower did not occupy the subject property. Accurint revealed the Borrower had occupied her rental property in Soledad, Ca. BNC3_Underwriting n - With Red Methodology -Flags Present The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable Deemed MnA Pool ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated 2006-BNC3 No therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Fraud In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to 2006-BNC3 No Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Event of Default Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with

the Certificateholders.

the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg Attachment The subject log closed a pt/22 2006, The another fig. as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan Full 20 0121328009 SAIL 2006-BNC3 2846107 n To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 39.58% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 05/31/2006, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was 284610 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify required to verify earnest money of \$3,166 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$3,166 were required to be verified. The loan file SAIL 2006-BNC3 Underwriting did not contain asset documentation. Methodology Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$3,166 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of he Certificateholders 21 0121328058 SAIL 2006-BNC3 \$418,500.0 284610 oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 05/22/2006, in the amount of \$418,500, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 90% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 34.80% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/02/2006, in the loan file, 2846109 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. The Borrower falsely stated self employment as a self employed 2006-BNC3_No n of Employment n of Employment Owner of a house cleaning business for 3 years. The Borrower filed a chapter 13 bankruptcy on 11/08/2007 with the Central District of Fraud With No Red California, which revealed the Borrower did not earn income or own a business during the near year of 2007, Additionally, the Pacer Flags Present report reflected that the Borrower was a self-employed home care provider for 14 years prior to the subject loan closing date of 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 05/22/2006, which covers the subject closing date; therefore, the Borrower was not employed in the same business as indicated on the 2006-BNC3 No loan application. Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated herein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as Owner of a house cleaning business for 3 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Owner of a house cleaning 2846109 2006-BNC3 No of Income - No In of Income business earning \$8,500 per month on the loan application. The Borrower filed a chapter 13 bankruptcy on 11/08/2007 with the Central Fraud Red Flags Preser District of California, which revealed the Borrower did not earn any income or own a business during the year of 2007. Additionally, the Same Year report reflected that the Borrower was a self-employed home care provider for 14 years prior to the subject loan closing date of 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL Income Evidence 05/22/2006, which covers the subject closing date; therefore, the Borrower was not employed in the same business as indicated on the 2006-BNC3 No loan application. Additionally, the Pacer report did not report any income earned in the prior two years, which covers the subject loan Event of Default closing date of 05/22/2006; therefore, no income could be used to qualify the Borrower. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated herein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a as a self-employed Owner of a house cleaning business

Trust.

earning \$8,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The subject low closed an owner occupied property. The loan 22 0121328488 SAIL 2006-BNC3 2846111 alue/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 35.42% Debt to ncome Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/02/2006, in the loan file. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2846111 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application. Research conducted through Accurint revealed that the 2006-BNC3_No n of Employment n of Employment Borrower owned 4 additional businesses that were opened from 1997 through the present that was not disclosed at origination, which With No Red Fraud covered the subject loan closing date of 05/25/2005 and could have had a negative impact on the Borrower's business income stated. Flags Present 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-BNC3_No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated Event of Default therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self-employed Owner/Accountant Manager of an insurance business for 9 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 23 0121328876 SAIL 2006-BNC3 \$600,000.0 284611 oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 06/14/2006, in the amount of \$600,000, as a purchase of a second home single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% loan-to-value/combined loan-to-value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.79% debt-to-income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/22/2006, in the loan file, 2846114 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify The loan application reflected the Borrower had secondary employment as an Operations Manager of a retail store for 2 years. SAIL 2006-Employment BNC3 Underwriting The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. Deemed MnA Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was no evidence in the file that the Borrower's employment was verified for the second employer and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. A review of the audit credit report revealed an undisclosed mortgage obtained on 2846114 SAIL 2006n of Debt n of Debt 04/1999 in the amount of \$9,314 and a monthly payment of \$73. The subject loan closed on 06/22/2006. BNC3 Underwriting Obligations -Obligations With Red Flags Methodology -The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Deemed MnA Pool Present employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2006-BNC3 No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$73 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

he Certificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The Borrower viscep graph Memplo part & the logical parts from Per the loan application, the Borrower indicated primary employment as a Chief Executive Officer of an accounting business for 6 years and secondary employment as an Operations Manager 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio SAIL 2006n of Employment in of Employment accounting business for 6 years and secondary employment as an Operations Manager for BNC3_Underwriting - With Red Flags retail store for 2 years. The bankruptcy documentation filed by the Borrower on 03/31/2008 with the United States Bankruptcy Court Methodology -Present Northern District of California revealed the Borrower was actually the Owner of the first employer and the Owner of a company located Deemed MnA Pool at the same address as the second employer from 1998 to 2007. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 2006-BNC3 No Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated primary employment as a Chief Executive Officer of an accounting business for 6 years and secondary employment as an Operations Manager for a retail store for 2 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income with his primary employment as a Chief 2846114 n of Income - Red n of Income SAIL 2006-Executive Officer of an accounting business for 6 years, of \$18,750 per month and secondary employment as an Operations Manager for BNC3 Underwriting Flags Present a retail store for 2 years, of \$14,500 per month. The bankruptcy documentation filed by the Borrower on 03/31/2008 with the United States Bankruptcy Court Northern District of California revealed the Borrower was actually the owner of the 1st employer and the owner Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence of a company located at the same address as the 2nd employer from 1998 to 2007. Furthermore, the bankruptcy documentation ndicated the Borrower had no employment income the year of the subject loan closing. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 2006-BNC3_No employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income with his primary employment as a Chief Executive Officer of an accounting business for 6 years, of \$18,750 per month and secondary employment as an Operations Manager for a retail store for 2

the Certificateholders

years, of \$14,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of

Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12

Part Continue Co	COWEIT_03 Balik_1 IIIai_version_12		<u> </u>	13222-111 <u>0</u>		<i>3-7</i> Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15.34.18 Attachmen	IL		
Selection of the control of the cont		2006-BNC3_ Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii 2006-BNC3_	SAIL Asset No Misrepresentation - With No Red Flags Present No	Misrepresentatio	7 3	The Borrower's represented 19 owner Dipol 8.2s. of 12.55 contained bank statements for the Borrower's savings account, dated 05/19/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$1,259. The loan file contained bank statements for the Borrower's checking account, dated 05/19/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$31,012 and the previous bank statement, dated 04/19/2006, indicated an account balance of \$31,012. An audit verification of deposit was obtained from the financial institution, which revealed the Borrower's checking account balance as of 04/19/2006 was \$1,024. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that he had \$32,271 down payment and 2 month's reserves when in fact he had \$2,283, meaning the amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$29,988, which constitutes an event of default under		50.00	2846114
See Springed as a Selection one-Operation Aces (SWA) (SWA) and a selection of the Comment of the									
The derivative contact of the same of the contract security and the co	24 0121330682 2nd	SAIL 2006-BNC3	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a	Stated	\$67,000.00	2846118
SAL 2006— BNCX_Lorderwriting* BNCX_Lorderwriting* SAL 2006—BNC3_No an olf Housing indicated and a company of the state of the subject ioan monetary exchange between the landlord and Borrowers. Red Flags Present Demend MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAL 2006—BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAL 2006—BNC3_No Event of Default 1.05 (xxi) SAL 2006—BNC3_No Event of Default 1.06 (xxi) SAL 2006—BNC3_No Event of Default 1.07 (xxi) SAL 2006—BNC3_No Event of Default 1.08 (xxi) SAL 2006—BNC3_No Event of Default 1.09 (xxi) SAL 2006—BNC3_No Event of Default 1.00 (xxi		SAIL 2006- BNC3_Under Methodology	VOM/VOR writing y -			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan file contained a verification of rent, dated 05/08/2006, which reflected the Borrowers were tenants since 1994 and paid rent in the amount of \$1,500 per month. The discrepancy in the payment was not addressed at origination. The verification of rent was completed by a private party. Twelve months canceled checks are required when the Borrowers are renting from a private party; however, the canceled checks were not provided. It should be noted, the Borrowers' landlord was also the Borrower's employer. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrowers' housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrowers' credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of		\$0.0	2846118
		SAIL 2006-BNC3 Under Methodology Deemed Mn/ 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) 2006-BNC3_Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxii) 2006-BNC3_	n of Housing History - With y - A_Pool SAIL No) SAIL No	n of Housing History		residence for 12 years with a monthly payment of \$1,450. An audit verbal verification of rent revealed the Borrowers did rent from the landlord; however, the Borrowers' cared for the landlord's Aunt in lieu of paying rent. There was no monetary exchange between the landlord and Borrowers. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated they paid rent in the amount of \$1,450 per month on the loan application , which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and			2846118
Conviolet 2013 Digital Dick LIC Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate - Pure 2/27/2013 12:50:52 PM									

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_12 08-13555-mg The subject long classons of 22006, De a Right of 32 De as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% loan-to-value/combined loan-to-value (LTV/CLTV 25 0121334940 SAIL 2006-BNC3 2846127 loan, with an 80%/100% loan-to-value/combined loan-to-value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.32% debt-to-income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/02/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2846127 Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her ownership of assets. The loan file contained bank statements for the Borrower's sayings account 2006-BNC3 No Misrepresentatio in of Assets dated 05/17/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$7.879. An audit verification of deposit was sent to the financial institution. Fraud - With No Red which revealed the Borrower's actual balance was \$878. Flags Present 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-BNC3 No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated Event of Default therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that she had \$7,879 in assets when in fact she had \$878, meaning the amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$7,001, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. The Borrower stated employment as an owner of a cleaning 284612 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No n of Employment of Employment service for 5 years on the loan application. The loan file contained 3 letters of reference to confirm the above information. A re-Fraud With No Red verification of one of the reference letters was obtained, which indicated that the individual did not write the reference letter, dated Flags Present 05/09/2006, and that the Borrower had never worked for the individual. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Event of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as Owner of a cleaning service for 5 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2846127 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a cleaning service earning 2006-BNC3 No n of Income - No In of Income \$7,500 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained 3 letters of reference to confirm the above information. A re-Fraud Red Flags Presen verification of one of the reference letters was obtained, which indicated that the individual did not write the reference letter, dated Same Year 05/09/2006, and that the Borrower had never worked for the individual 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL Income Evidence 2006-BNC3 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Event of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to

Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the

the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a cleaning service earning \$7,500 per month on

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen US Bank Final Version 12

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attach

26 0122253735	1st	BNCMT 2006-2	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loty closerom 14/03/2006, Dry a 8/24nt of \$13.56 as a cash out refinance of a non-owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full occumentation loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 37.22% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/16/2006, in the loan file.	\$136,000.00	2844918
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Verify 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Verify Assets	2 3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. The Borrower was required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$2,074 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$2,074 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,074 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with		2844918
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC Misrepresentation of Debt obligations - No	o Misrepresentatio n of Debt	3 3	the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Per the audit credit report and public records obtained from the Mortgage Electronic Registry System, the Borrower refinanced his current primary residence on 08/25/2006, 22 days after the subject loan closing on 08/03/2006. The Borrower obtained a new mortgage in the amount of \$172,000 and increased his monthly payment from \$453 to \$986.	\$0.00	2844918
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC Red Flags Preser 2006-2_No Event of Default			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to		
						Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose an increase of \$533 in monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.		

Copyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 3/27/2013 12:50:53 PM Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule Repo

															Top obline	
Loan Number	First or Second	Deal Name	Section of the MLSAA Breached	Issue Description	Breach	Breach Count	Rating	Breach Description	V - part 3 P	g 85 of 256			Document Type	Original Balance	Tracking C Number	comments
1 000000019584457	1st	SARM 2005-6XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	loan, with an 80%/100% Loan	07/2005, in the amount of \$207,200, To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LT				Full	\$207,200.00	2846192	
	-		1.04 (c) (v) SARM	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentation	0 1	2	the loan file.	d their debt obligations. An audit cred	lit raport rayaalad the Parrawars	ananad two undisclosed mortage	gos for a different property purchases			2846192	
			2005-6XS_No Fraud		n of Debt	1	3		ge was obtained in the amount of \$19						2040132	
			2003 0/0_110 11000	Obligations -	Obligations				10. A public records search conducted							
			1.04 (c) (vii) SARM	With Red Flags	0			same day as the subject loan of				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
			2005-6XS_No Event													
			of Default					The Seller represented and wa	rranted, in part, that no document su	bmitted for loan underwriting wa	s falsified; neither did such docum	nentation contain any untrue or				
									erial fact or omit to state a material fa	ct required to be stated therein;	and that, no fraud was committed	in connection with the origination of				
								the subject loan.								
								· ·	esentation and warranty regarding ev			-				
									the delivery of any false, misleading of of default under the Mortgage.	r maccurate statements to Lende	er by Borrower or any agent thereo	or in connection with the approval or				
								Describe the Celled's second	the Demonstrated	Ab -i- d-ba -bliai b. f-ilia	- dil (4 440 i					
								under the executed Mortgage	ations, the Borrowers misrepresented and or Deed of Trust.	their debt obligations by failing to	o disclose \$1,410 in monthly debt,	, which constitutes an event of defaul	1			
2 00033410036	1ct	SASC 2007-BC2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject lean closed on 11/	13/2006, in the amount of \$220,800,	as a cash out refinance of an own	or occupied condeminium. The le	an was approved as a Stated	Stated	\$220,800.00	2847007	
2 00033410030	130	3A3C 2007-BC2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	U	U		oan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Comb				Stateu	3220,800.00	2847007	
								dated 11/10/2006, in the loan		, , ,		. ,				
·	1		1.04 (c) (v) SAS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentation	0 1	3		her disclosed income. The Borrower f						2847007	
	1		2007-BC2_No	n of Income -	n of Income				the loan application. The loan file cont							
	1		Fraud	Red Flags					168, or \$14 per month from her self-	employment. It is unlikely the Bor	rower's income would have decre	eased by 97% considering the				
1	1		1.04 (c) (vii) SAS	Present - Near Year Income				Borrower was self-employed in	i the same line of work.							
			2007-BC2_No	Evidence				The Seller represented and wa	rranted, in part, that no document su	hmitted for loan underwriting wa	s falsified: neither did such docum	nentation contain any untrue or				
			Event of Default	Evidence					erial fact or omit to state a material fa							
								the subject loan.			,					
								1								
									esentation and warranty regarding ev							
									the delivery of any false, misleading of	r inaccurate statements to Lende	r by Borrower or any agent there	of in connection with the approval of				
								the Loan constitutes an event	of default under the Mortgage.							
								Despite the Seller's representa	ations, the Borrower falsely stated inc	ome as a self employed Owner of	a antique/collectible sales busine	ess earning \$5,500 per month on the				
								· ·	ations, the Borrower falsely stated incurrence an event of default under the ex			ess earning \$5,500 per month on the				
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	loan application, which constit		ecuted Mortgage and/or Deed of	Trust.		Stated	\$443,400.00	2846377	
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	loan application, which constit	tutes an event of default under the ex	ecuted Mortgage and/or Deed of as a purchase of an owner occupi	Trust. ed single family residence. The loa	an was approved as a Stated	Stated	\$443,400.00	2846377	
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2		ŕ	·	0	0	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) lo Automated Underwriting Syste	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the	ecuted Mortgage and/or Deed of as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file.	Trust. ed single family residence. The loa V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	1.04 (c) (v) SARM	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentation	0 0	0	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) id Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, poan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower fa	ecuted Mortgage and/or Deed of as a purchase of an owner occupi slue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. slsely stated income as a Sales Ma	Trust. ed single family residence. The loa V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an an any earning \$9,500 per month on the	Stated	\$443,400.00	2846377 2846377	
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income -	·	0 0	0	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower	utes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viem approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower fir r provided post closing income docum	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. slsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtai	Trust. ed single family residence. The loa V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an an any earning \$9,500 per month on the	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags	Misrepresentation	0 1	0	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, poan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower fa	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. slsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtai	Trust. ed single family residence. The loa V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an an any earning \$9,500 per month on the	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same	Misrepresentation	0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) Id. Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua	or total an event of default under the extended in the extended in the amount of \$443,400, boan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont	as a purchase of an owner occupi lue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. slisely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtain.	Trust. ed single family residence. The load V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Incoming a short sale. The Borrower printing a short sale. The Borrower printing a short sale.	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income	Misrepresentation	0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) It Automated Underwriting Syst The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont trranted, in part, that no document su	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. alsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docurr	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an nany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which nentation contain any untrue or	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same	Misrepresentation	0 1	0	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) It Automated Underwriting Syst The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa	or total an event of default under the extended in the extended in the amount of \$443,400, boan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. alsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docurr	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an nany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which nentation contain any untrue or	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income	Misrepresentation	0 1	0	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) It Automated Underwriting Syst The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject Ioan.	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To V. em approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far r provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont rranted, in part, that no document su erial fact or omit to state a material fa	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Issely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein;	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income	Misrepresentation	0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repre	utes an event of default under the ex- 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the insi disclosed income. The Borrower fir ir provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont virranted, in part, that no document su perial fact or omit to state a material faces	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. alsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. b bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration,	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp ining a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an any earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income	Misrepresentation	o 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) It Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repre the subject loan provides that	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To V. em approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far r provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont rranted, in part, that no document su erial fact or omit to state a material fa	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. alsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. b bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration,	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp ining a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an any earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income	Misrepresentation	0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repre the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event	outes an event of default under the ex 107/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the inst disclosed income. The Borrower fir re provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont stranted, in part, that no document sue perial fact or omit to state a material fa esentation and warranty regarding eventhe delivery of any false, misleading of of default under the Mortgage.	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. alsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp ining a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De ir by Borrower or any agent thereo	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an any earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of	Stated	\$443,400.00		
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income	Misrepresentation	0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repre the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event	utes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To V. em approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont virranted, in part, that no document suerial fact or omit to state a material fa essentation and warranty regarding eventhe delivery of any false, misleading cof default under the Mortgage.	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. alsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp ining a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De ir by Borrower or any agent thereo	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an any earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of	Stated	\$443,400.00		
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentation of Income	0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repre the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mr	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the is disclosed income. The Borrower fir provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont income for 2007 was	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. alsely stated income as a Sales Mi entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an many earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of discation, which constitutes an event of the content of th			2846377	
3 00040864381	1st	SARM 2008-2	2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income	Misrepresentation	0 0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) in Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject Ioan. In addition to the Seller's repre the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mi	orgage and vent of default under the extended and the ext	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Issely stated income as a Sales Mi- nentation for the purpose of obtai h. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning \$ as a rate and term refinance of ar	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo 19,500 per month on the loan appl	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of ilication, which constitutes an event of the containing the collateral for the collater		\$443,400.00 \$472,000.00		
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentation of Income	0 1	3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) It Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mi-	outes an event of default under the ex 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the is disclosed income. The Borrower fir provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont income for 2007 was	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file.) loan file.) sistley stated income as a Sales Misely stated income as a Sales Misentation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wat trequired to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, in inaccurate statements to Lender ome as a Sales Manager earning states as a rate and term refinance of aid bined Loan to Value, and a 42.79	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent theree 9,500 per month on the loan app n owner occupied, single family re % debt-to-income ratio. There wa	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of illication, which constitutes an event of the content of t			2846377	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentation of Income	0 0 1	0	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject Ioan. In addition to the Seller's repre the subject Ioan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed M. The subject Ioan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2	or to the an event of default under the ex- or/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, boan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To V. em approval dated 08/15/2007, in the inst disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum income for 2007 was \$865 per mont trranted, in part, that no document su erial fact or omit to state a material fa esentation and warranty regarding even the delivery of any false, misleading of of default under the Mortgage. titions, the Borrower falsely stated incortgage and Deed of Trust. 12/2007, in the amount of \$472,000, loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Con	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. lissley stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning states as a rate and term refinance of a libined Loan to Value, and a 42.79 loan file did not contain a loan a	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent there 19,500 per month on the loan app owner occupied, single family re % debt-to-income ratio. There wa proval for the a Stated Income lo	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an samy earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which nentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of side contains, which constitutes an event of the contains of the			2846377	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income -	Misrepresentation of Income	0 1	0	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repret the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mr. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file conte	or, 2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View provided post closing income the Borrower far provided post closing income document income for 2007 was \$865 per mont income for 2007	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Joan file. J	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent theree 9,500 per month on the loan app owner occupied, single family re % debt-to-income ratio. There wa pproval for the a Stated Income lo Ranager of a restaurant earning 8 tax return, which revealed the B	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or I in connection with the origination of in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of sidence. The loan was approved as a is a Desktop Underwriting in. \$10,800 per month on the loan forower's income for the year after			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 0 0 0 3	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan In addition to the Seller's repre the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mr The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file cont application. The loan file cont the subject loan closing was Si	outes an event of default under the ex- 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, boan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the in sidsclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont reanted, in part, that no document su erial fact or omit to state a material fa essentation and warranty regarding eve the delivery of any false, misleading co default under the Mortgage. stitons, the Borrower falsely stated inco ortgage and Deed of Trust. 12/2007, in the amount of \$472,000, loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Con 14/2007, in the loan file; however, the his disclosed income. The Borrower fa lised post closing income documenta 26,750 or \$2,229 per month. The loan	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file.) sisely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, ir inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning (as a rate and term refinance of a bined Loan to Value, and a 42.79 loan file did not contain a loan a issely stated income as a Regiona issely stated income as a Regiona listely stated income as a Regiona file also contained the Borrower's 200 file also contained the Borrower's	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docurr and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent there is 5,9500 per month on the loan appl owner occupied, single family re 6, debt-to-income ratio. There wa poroval for the a Stated Income Io Manager of a restaurant earning 18 tax return, which revealed the B s 2009 W-2 form, which confirmed	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which nentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of in connection with the origination of of in connection with the approval of ilication, which constitutes an event of as a besktop Underwriting san. \$10,800 per month on the loan sorrower's income for the year after d the Borrower was still employed			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (v) SARM	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) in Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject Ioan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject Ioan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's represented default under the executed Moreon to the subject Ioan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Intelligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The Ioan file contact the subject Ioan closing was St. with the same employer. It is a with the same employer.	or, 2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View provided post closing income the Borrower far provided post closing income document income for 2007 was \$865 per mont income for 2007	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file.) sisely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, ir inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning (as a rate and term refinance of a bined Loan to Value, and a 42.79 loan file did not contain a loan a issely stated income as a Regiona issely stated income as a Regiona listely stated income as a Regiona file also contained the Borrower's 200 file also contained the Borrower's	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docurr and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent there is 5,9500 per month on the loan appl owner occupied, single family re 6, debt-to-income ratio. There wa poroval for the a Stated Income Io Manager of a restaurant earning 18 tax return, which revealed the B s 2009 W-2 form, which confirmed	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which nentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of in connection with the origination of of in connection with the approval of ilication, which constitutes an event of as a besktop Underwriting san. \$10,800 per month on the loan sorrower's income for the year after d the Borrower was still employed			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan In addition to the Seller's repre the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mr The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file cont application. The loan file cont the subject loan closing was Si	outes an event of default under the ex- 07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, boan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To Viern approval dated 08/15/2007, in the in sidsclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont reanted, in part, that no document su erial fact or omit to state a material fa essentation and warranty regarding eve the delivery of any false, misleading co default under the Mortgage. stitons, the Borrower falsely stated inco ortgage and Deed of Trust. 12/2007, in the amount of \$472,000, loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Con 14/2007, in the loan file; however, the his disclosed income. The Borrower fa lised post closing income documenta 26,750 or \$2,229 per month. The loan	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file.) sisely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, ir inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning (as a rate and term refinance of a bined Loan to Value, and a 42.79 loan file did not contain a loan a issely stated income as a Regiona issely stated income as a Regiona listely stated income as a Regiona file also contained the Borrower's 200 file also contained the Borrower's	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docurr and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent there is 5,9500 per month on the loan appl owner occupied, single family re 6, debt-to-income ratio. There wa poroval for the a Stated Income Io Manager of a restaurant earning 18 tax return, which revealed the B s 2009 W-2 form, which confirmed	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which nentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of in connection with the origination of of in connection with the approval of ilication, which constitutes an event of as a besktop Underwriting san. \$10,800 per month on the loan sorrower's income for the year after d the Borrower was still employed			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (v) SARM	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 3 3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syst The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repre- the subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed M. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file cont the subject loan closing was \$2 with the same employer. It is t work.	outes an event of default under the extended of the control of the	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Issely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtain. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning (as a rate and term refinance of ar bined Loan to Value, and a 42.79 loan file did not contain a loan al issely stated income as a Regiona listely stated income as a Regiona file also contained the Borrower's 200 file also contained the Borrower's have decreased considering the I	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent there 19,500 per month on the loan appl owner occupied, single family re 6 debt-to-income ratio. There wa proval for the a Stated Income lo Manager of a restaurant earning 18 tax return, which revealed the B s 2009 W-2 form, which confirmed sorrower was employed with the se	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an any earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of in connection with the origination of of in connection with the approval of disconnection with the approval of sidence. The loan was approved as a is a Desktop Underwriting wan. \$10,800 per month on the loan borrower's income for the year after d the Borrower was still employed same employer in the same line of			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 3 3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) in Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's represented default under the executed Mr. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Intelligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file conte the subject loan closing was \$\frac{1}{2}\$ with the same employer. It is a work. The Seller represented and was	ortes an event of default under the ex (07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, aan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To V. em approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont rrranted, in part, that no document suerial fact or omit to state a material facesentation and warranty regarding eventee delivery of any false, misleading of default under the Mortgage. Authors, the Borrower falsely stated incortgage and Deed of Trust. 12/2007, in the amount of \$472,000, loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Con Hold 12/2007, in the loan file; however, the his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income and the second of the seco	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT Joan file.) Issely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtai h. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning state of a loan file did not contain a loan jul loan file did not contain a loan jul sely stated income as a Regiona ioline islucontained the Borrower's 200 file isluco contained the Borrower's have decreased considering the Isluminative Market Sales in the surface of a have decreased considering the Isluminative Sales in Sa	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo 19,500 per month on the loan appl 10 owner occupied, single family re- 10 debt to-income ratio. There wa 10 manager of a restaurant earning 11 st 20 red to 12 stated Income to 12 Manager of a restaurant earning 13 ta 20 red W. 21 form, which confirmed 15 arone W. 2 form, which confirmed 16 store W. 2 form, which confirmed 17 store was employed with the store was	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of each of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of disconnection with the approval of disconnection with the approval of sidence. The loan was approved as a sa Desktop Underwriting san. 1,510,800 per month on the loan corrower's income for the year after the Borrower was still employed same employer in the same line of mentation contain any untrue or			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 3 3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) in Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's represented default under the executed Mr. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Intelligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file conte the subject loan closing was \$\frac{1}{2}\$ with the same employer. It is a work. The Seller represented and was	outes an event of default under the extended of the control of the	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT Joan file.) Issely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtai h. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, r inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning state of a loan file did not contain a loan jul loan file did not contain a loan jul sely stated income as a Regiona ioline islucontained the Borrower's 200 file isluco contained the Borrower's have decreased considering the Islumited for loan underwriting was bmitted for loan underwriting was	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo 19,500 per month on the loan appl 10 owner occupied, single family re- 10 debt to-income ratio. There wa 10 manager of a restaurant earning 11 st 20 red to 12 stated Income to 12 Manager of a restaurant earning 13 ta 20 red W. 21 form, which confirmed 15 arone W. 2 form, which confirmed 16 store W. 2 form, which confirmed 17 store was employed with the store was	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of each of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of disconnection with the approval of disconnection with the approval of sidence. The loan was approved as a sa Desktop Underwriting san. 1,510,800 per month on the loan corrower's income for the year after the Borrower was still employed same employer in the same line of mentation contain any untrue or			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 3 3	loan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) in Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject loan provides that the Loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's represented default under the executed Mr. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Intelligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file conte the subject loan closing was \$\frac{1}{2}\$ with the same employer. It is a work. The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan.	outes an event of default under the ex (07/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, aan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To V. em approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income docum I income for 2007 was \$865 per mont rrranted, in part, that no document su erial fact or omit to state a material fa esentation and warranty regarding eventhe delivery of any false, misleading to default under the Mortgage. Actions, the Borrower falsely stated incortgage and Deed of Trust. (12/2007, in the amount of \$472,000, loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Com Loan file; however, the his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income and the second of the Borrower falsely stated income and the second of the Borrower falsely stated income. The Borrower falsely stated income and the second of the Borrower falsely stated income and the second of the Borrower falsely stated income and the second of the Borrower falsely stated income and the Borrower falsel	as a purchase of an owner occupi as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT Joan file.) Issely stated income as a Sales Mi- entation for the purpose of obtai h. bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein; ents of defaults and acceleration, or inaccurate statements to Lende ome as a Sales Manager earning states of artificial control of a loan file did not contain a loan jul sely stated income as a Regiona ioline ialuo containe as Regiona ioline ialuo containe de Borrower's and have decreased considering the I bmitted for loan underwriting wa ct required to be stated therein;	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco anager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo 19,500 per month on the loan appl 10 owner occupied, single family re- 10 debt to-income ratio. There wa 10 manager of a restaurant earning 11 sa zore Urv. which revealed the B 12 sore Urv. which revealed the B 13 core urv. and the sorrower was employed with the st 14 stafficial specific provides of the staffing of the staffing start of the staffing star	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of each of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of disconnection with the approval of disconnection, which constitutes an event of sidence. The loan was approved as a sa Desktop Underwriting san. 1,510,800 per month on the loan sorrower's income for the year after the Borrower was still employed same employer in the same line of the inconnection with the origination of the connection with the con			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 0 3 3	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented default under the executed Mr. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2. The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file conta the subject loan closing was 52 with the same employer. It is t work. The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's repre	ortics an event of default under the extension of 2443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View provided the delivery of any total comment of 5443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View provided post closing income document income for 2007 was \$865 per mont incomed and incomed default under the Mortgage. 12/2007, in the amount of \$472,000, loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Comed/2007, in the loan file; however, the his disclosed income. The Borrower fained post closing income documenta \$86,750 or \$2,229 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan file \$865 per month incomed for 2007 per month. The loan file \$865 per month incomed for 2007 per month incomed for 20	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Joan file. J	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De or by Borrower or any agent thereo sp.500 per month on the loan appl owner occupied, single family re debt-to-income ratio. There wa sproval for the a Stated income lo Manager of the a Stated income lo Sa zoog W-2 form, which confirmed sorrower was employed with the s s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral foof in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of inconnection with the approval of inconnection with the approval of its state of the san beautiful to th			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 3 3	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mr. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file contact the subject loan closing was \$2 \text{work}. The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject loan.	or to the same event of default under the extended of the same event of default under the extended of the same event of seven approval dated 08/15/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income document income for 2007 was \$865 per mont income for 2007	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Joan file. J	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De or by Borrower or any agent thereo sp.500 per month on the loan appl owner occupied, single family re debt-to-income ratio. There wa sproval for the a Stated income lo Manager of the a Stated income lo Sa zoog W-2 form, which confirmed sorrower was employed with the s s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral foof in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of inconnection with the approval of inconnection with the approval of its state of the san beautiful to th			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 0 1	0 3 3	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented loan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject loan constitutes an event Despite the Seller's representa default under the executed Mr. The subject loan closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The loan file contact the subject loan closing was \$2 \text{work}. The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's represented subject loan.	ortics an event of default under the extension of 2443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View provided the delivery of any total comment of 5443,400, pan, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View provided post closing income document income for 2007 was \$865 per mont incomed and incomed default under the Mortgage. 12/2007, in the amount of \$472,000, loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Comed/2007, in the loan file; however, the his disclosed income. The Borrower fained post closing income documenta \$86,750 or \$2,229 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan unlikely the Borrower's income would incomed for 2007 was \$865 per month. The loan file \$865 per month incomed for 2007 per month. The loan file \$865 per month incomed for 2007 per month incomed for 20	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Joan file. J	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De or by Borrower or any agent thereo sp.500 per month on the loan appl owner occupied, single family re debt-to-income ratio. There wa sproval for the a Stated income lo Manager of the a Stated income lo Sa zoog W-2 form, which confirmed sorrower was employed with the s s falsified; neither did such docum and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral foof in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of in connection with the approval of inconnection with the approval of inconnection with the approval of its state of the san beautiful to th			2846377 2846382	
	1st		2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence Loan Summary Misrepresentation of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year Income	Misrepresentation of Income Loan Summary Misrepresentation	0 1	0 3 3	Ioan application, which constit The subject loan closed on 08/ Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) In Automated Underwriting Syste The Borrower misrepresented Ioan application. The Borrower revealed the Borrower's actua The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject Ioan. In addition to the Seller's represented feault under the executed Mr. The Seller to an closed on 10/ Stated Income/Verified Assets Approval/Ineligible dated 09/2 The Borrower misrepresented application. The Ioan file conta the subject Ioan closing was \$2 with the same employer. It is to work. The Seller represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject Ioan. In addition to the Seller's represented and wa misleading statements of mate the subject Ioan. In addition to the Seller's represented the subject Ioan provides that the Loan constitutes an event	or to the same event of default under the extended of the same event of default under the extended of the same event of seven approval dated 08/15/2007, in the amount of \$443,400, ban, with a 79.18%/89.89% Loan To View approval dated 08/15/2007, in the his disclosed income. The Borrower far provided post closing income document income for 2007 was \$865 per mont income for 2007	as a purchase of an owner occupi alue/Combined Loan To Value (LT loan file. Joan file defaults and acceleration, or inaccurate statements to Lender Joan file did not contain a loan ay a state of the file. Joan file did not contain a loan	Trust. ed single family residence. The loc V/CLTV), and a 41.8% Debt to Inco sinager for a Medical Supply comp. ning a short sale. The Borrower pr s falsified; neither did such docur and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo 39,500 per month on the loan appl nowner occupied, single family re % debt-to-income ratio. There wa pproval for the a Stated income lo Manager of a restaurant earning 8 tax return, which revealed the B s 2009 W-2 form, which confirmed sorrower was employed with the s s falsified; neither did such docur and that, no fraud was committed the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo the executed Mortgage and or De r by Borrower or any agent thereo	an was approved as a Stated ome Ratio (DTI). There was an sany earning \$9,500 per month on the rovided 2007 tax returns, which mentation contain any untrue or d in connection with the origination of eed of Trust securing the collateral for of in connection with the approval of disciplance. The loan was approved as a sangle and the same line of the Borrower's income for the year after d the Borrower was still employed same employer in the same line of the connection with the origination of the connection with the origination of the connection with the origination of the connection with the approval of the connection with the connection wit			2846377 2846382	

5 00047994033	1st	SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0.0)	The subject loan closed on 01/22/2009, in the appoint of \$524,000 as a rate and period from one occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated	\$524,000.00	2846435	
				,				Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 85% Court of alue/Comb get Comb			
			1.04 (c) (v) SARM	Misrepresentatio		1 3	3	The Co-Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed Midwife for 2 years and 6 months earning \$7,300 per		2846435	
			2008-2_No Fraud	n of Income - Red Flags	n of Income			month on the loan application. The loan file contained post closing income documentation including the Co-Borrower's 2009 tax return, which revealed the Co-Borrower's self employment income for the business listed on the loan application was \$283 per month. It is unlikely the Co-Borrower's income would have decreased considering the			
			1.04 (c) (vii) SARM					sen employment introduct of the bosiness stated on the total approach was \$285 per month. It is unimely the Co-borrower's incomine would have decleased considering the Co-borrower was self employed in the same line of work.			
			2008-2_No Event o								
			Default	Evidence				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or			
								misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for			
								the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed Midwife earning \$7,300 per month on the loan application, which constitutes			
								an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.			
6 00121828826	1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0)	The subject loan closed on 06/22/2006, in the amount of \$378,000, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Stated	\$378,000.00	2844794	
								Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.93% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 07/06/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC		Failure to	1 3	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Cabinet Maker for 30 years, earning \$4,500 per month with secondary employment as a Cook for 22 years,		2844794	
			2006- 1 Underwriting	Determine Reasonable	Determine Reasonable			earning \$2,800 per month.			
			Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical			
			Deemed MnA_Pool		, , ,			principles which relate to income.			
								The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
								Income of \$7,300 per month for a Cabinet Maker and a Cook is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported			
								the average salary at the 90th percentile for a Cabinet Maker in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$3,039 per month and for a Cook in the same environment is \$2,371 per month for a total of \$5,410 per month.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	2 3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated primary income as a Cabinet Maker earning \$4,500 per month. An audit verification of	\$0.00	2844794	
			2006-	n of Income -	n of Income			employment was completed by the original employer for the Borrower's primary employment, which reflects the Borrower's 2006 income as \$27,459 or \$2,288 per month.			
			1_Underwriting Methodology -	Red Flags Present - Same				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical			
			Deemed MnA_Pool	Year Income				principles which relate to income.			
			1	Evidence				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC					payments on the subject loan.			
			2006-1_No Fraud					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC					misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of			
			2006-1_No Event or Default	f				the subject loan.			
			Delauit					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for			
								the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated primary income of \$2,288 as a Cabinet Maker earning \$4,500 per month on the loan application, which			
								constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to			
								repay the subject loan.			
								repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting			

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen US Bank Final Version 2573 11 7 00121829451 BNCMT 2006-1 The subject loan closed on 07/21/2009, in the amount of 105,000 sea conjugate of 105,000 owner-occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 98.33% bear of value complete same value (LTV/CETV), and a 27.57% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual Approval \$105,000.00 2844795 oan Summary dated 07/28/06, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application as a self-employed Owner of a home-based jewelry business for 6 years. The loan file contained the 2844795 n of Employment in of Employme Borrower's 2006 tax returns as part of a request for modification, which revealed the Borrower had an additional self-employment as a Realtor, which was not disclosed on Underwriting With Red Flags the origination application. The Borrower also wrote a hardship letter in 2010, which also revealed that she had been Real Estate Agent for the last 4 years. In addition, Methodology according to a Bankruptcy, filed by the Borrower with the Eastern District of California Division Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 7 bankruptcy case dated 03/05/11, Deemed MnA_Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC principles which relate to income 2006-1_No Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC payments on the subject loan 2006-1_No Event o Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as self-employed Owner of a home-based jewelry business for 6 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting nethodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a home-based jewelry business earning \$4,000 per month on the 2844795 of Income n of Income loan application. The loan file contained the Borrower's 2006 tax returns as part of a request for modification, which revealed the Borrower's total income for the same year Underwriting as the subject closing was \$1,030. It should be noted, the income included another business not on origination application as well as unemployment. Red Flags Methodology resent - Same Deemed MnA_Pool Year Income The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical vidence principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely 2006-1_No Fraud payments on the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or 2006-1_No Event o misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of Default the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a home-based jewelry business earning \$4,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting nethodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

00121845374	1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	<u>555-mg [</u>	The subject loan closed on 07/21/2007, in the appoint of \$335,000 pass a crayout refigurance of powner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Stated \$335,000.00	2844815	
				,	,		Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan closed on 07/21/2409, in the angular of 335,000-33 a collection of the control of the subject loan with a 65% loan of the control of t		
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Failure to	Failure to	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Bookkeeper/Accountant for 3 years, earning \$6,247 per month.	2844815	
			2006-	Determine	Determine				
			1_Underwriting	Reasonable	Reasonable		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical		
			Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay		principles which relate to income.		
			Deemed MnA_Pool	(Stated)					
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely		
							payments on the subject loan.		
							Income of \$6,247 per month for a Bookkeeper/Accountant is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the		
							average salary at the 75th percentile for a Bookkeeper/Accountant in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$3,548 per month.		
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to		
							repay the subject loan.		
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting		
							russant to the wortgage Loan Java and assignment agreement, the rainer of the Surject tool to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwritting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Misrepresentation	Misrepresentatio	3 3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Bookkeeper/Accountaterionuers. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Bookkeeper/Accountaterionuers.	2844815	
			2006-	n of Income -	n of Income		verbal audit re-verification of employment and income was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed the Borrower was never employed with the employer		
			1_Underwriting	Red Flags			listed on the loan application.		
			Methodology -	Present - Same					
			Deemed MnA_Pool	Year Income			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical		
			1	Evidence			principles which relate to income.		
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely		
			2006-1_No Fraud				payments on the subject loan.		
			1 04 (b) (:::) perc				The College proposed and unprovided in cost, these and accompany to the control of the control o		
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-1_No Event of	f			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of		
			Default	1			misleading statements or material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		
			Cidan				in surject tour.		
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for		
							the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of		
							the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.		
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Bookkeeper/Accountant earning \$6,247 per month on the loan application, which constitutes		
							an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the		
							subject loan.		
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwriten in accordance with the represented underwriting		
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC	Misrepresentation	Misrepresentatio	43	methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. A verbal audit re-verification of employment and income was obtained from the Borrower's	2844815	
-		1	2006-1_No Fraud	n of Employmen		Ĭ	employer, which revealed the Borrower was never employed with the employer listed on the loan application.	20013	
		1		- With No Red			7,7,7		
		1	1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or		
		1	2006-1_No Event of	f			misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of		
	1	1	Default				the subject loan.		
					1	1	In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for		
							the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of		
							the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.		

wen_US Bank_Fi	Final_Version_2	2573_11			08-13	8555-mg [Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment			
9 0012185226	263 1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan closed on 07/21/2009, in the amount of 685,000 sea conjugate from owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) documentation with 593.197% Log 16 Value combined from To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.991% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/31/2006, in the loan file.	\$685,000.00	2844828	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	13	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a as a Manager of an embroidery and screen-printing company for 5 years, earning \$12,000 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Research conducted through the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported that a Borrower in the same position located in the same area in 2006 could expect to earn a monthly income of \$6,033 per month, which was much less than the monthly income stated of \$12,000 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		2844828	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-1_No Event o Default	n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same	Misrepresentation of Income	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Manager of a screen-printing and embroidery company earning \$12,000 per month on the loan application. The Borrower filed a chapter 13 bankruptcies on 03/11/2007, 04/19/2007, 08/19/2007, 01/16/2008 and 02/19/2008, which revealed that the Borrower earned a combined monthly income of \$1,167 per month during the year of the subject loan closing in 2006. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Manager of a screen printing and embroidery company earning \$12,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	50.00	2844828	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
10 0012186768	683 1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 06/29/2006, in the amount of \$328,500, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Istated income/Verified Asset (SIVA) documentation loan, with a 90% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49.84% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/10/2006, in the loan file.	\$328,500.00	2844865	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Sales Person with a computer service and repair company for 3.3 years, earning \$5,862 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$5,862 per month for a Sales Person with a computer service and repair company is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for the same position in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$4,745 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		2844865	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			

Cowen US Bank Final Version 2573 11

n_US Bank_Final_\					<u>08-1355</u>	<u>s-ing Do</u>	<u> 00 40080-7 Fileu 08/22/14 Enlereu 08/22/14 15.34.18 Attachment</u>			
11 00121872758	2nd	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 07/05/2009, in the amount of 70,400 ps output of 370,400 ps output	\$70,400.00	2844876	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Failure to	Failure to	1 3	The original credit report, dated 6/16/2006, reflects 7 inquiries from 4/10/2006 through 5/17/2006.		2844876	
			2006-	Investigate	Investigate					
				Credit History	Credit History		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical			
			Methodology -				principles which relate to liabilities.			
			Deemed MnA_Pool				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely			
			1				he selection in the subject loan.			
							payment of the suspect data.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that a letter of explanation was obtained for inquiries as required, and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Failure to Obtain	Failure to Verify	2 3	mentioning to define to find the loan application that they cented their current residence for 2.5 and mentioning to the Certification of \$1,500. The loan file contained a verification	\$0.00	2844876	
			2006-	VOM/VOR	Housing History		of rent, dated 6/26/2006. The verification was completed by a private party requiring 12 months canceled checks to verify the rental payment history; however, the			
			1_Underwriting				documentation was not provided.			
			Methodology -							
			Deemed MnA_Pool 1				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.			
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely			
							payments on the subject loan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that			
							the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting			
							methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC			3 3	The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Per the audit credit report the Borrower had acquired a loan in the amount of \$20,990 on 7/2006 with a monthly		2844876	
			2006- 1_Underwriting	n of Debt Obligations -	n of Debt Obligations		payment of \$237. The subject loan closed on 7/05/2006.			
			Methodology -	With Red Flags	Obligations		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical			
			Deemed MnA_Pool				principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a			
			1				reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC							
			2006-1_No Fraud				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC				misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
			2006-1_No Event of	:			the suspect tour.			
			Default				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for			
							the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of			
							the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting			
	1			1			methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
	1			1			Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by falling to disclose a \$237 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default			
							under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			
12 00122742919	1st	SASC 2007-BNC1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 08/18/2006, in the amount of \$455,200, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Full	\$455,200.00	2847144	
12,00122,42313	130	3A3C 2007-BNCI		Esan summary	Esan Summary	ا	Documentation loan, with an 80%/100% to an to Value/Combined Loan to Value (CTV/CLTV), and a 46.36% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a driver a Manual Approval dated	34JJ,200.00	204/144	
_1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>1 </u>		08/18/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) SAS	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	1 3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify a earnest money		2847144	
	1	1	2007-	Assets	Assets		deposit of \$8,052 along with 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance payments (PITI) totaling \$8,686 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$16,738			
	1		BNC1_Underwritin g Guidelines	1			were required to be verified. The subject loan file contained copies of the earnest money deposit checks in the total amount of \$8,052; however, seasoning of the funds was			
	1	1	g duiueiines	1	1		not documented.			
			1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten pursuant to the Originator's underwriting guidelines.			
	1		BNC1_Underwritin	1			The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical			
			g Methodology -				principles which relate to assets.			
	1		Deemed MnA_Pool	1						
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$16,738 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonability to repay the subject loan.			
	1			1						
			1		1	1	Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting		ı	
						1	methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_2573_11

Attachment

	1.04 (b) (xl) SAS 2007- BNC1_Underwritin g Guidelines 1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SA 2007- BNC1_Underwritin g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Improper Calculation of Income	Improper Calculation of Income	23	The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan approval required that the Borrower provide the most recent 12 months personal bank statements to reflect income used to qualify; however, there were no income documents provided in the loan file. As a result, the income could not be calculated due to the missing income documentation. A monthly income of \$10,650 was used to determine the Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file reveals the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's income, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting	\$0.0	2847144	
	1.04 (b) (xl) SAS 2007- BNC1_Underwritin g Guidelines 1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SA 2007- BNC1_Underwritin g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Poo	Incomplete Income Documentation	Incomplete Income Documentation	3 3	methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten pursuant to the Originator's underwriting guidelines. The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The loan approval required that the Borrower provide the most recent 12 months personal bank statements to reflect income used to qualify; however, there were no income documents provided in the loan file. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file of a verification and review of the Borrower's income documentation. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		2847144	
13 00124269002 1st	SASC 2007-BNC1	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 03/26/2007, in the amount of \$188,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence (SFR). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 41.94% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/26/2007. in the loan file.	\$188,000.00	2847166	
	1.04 (b) (xl) SAS 2007- BNC1_Underwritin g Guidelines 1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SA 2007- BNC1_Underwritin g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Poo	Ability to Repay (Stated)	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	13	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a self-employed Photographer for 6 years, earning \$5,000 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten pursuant to the Originator's underwriting guidelines. The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$5,000 per month for a Photographer is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 90th percentile for a Photographer in 2007 and in the same geographic region was \$4,044 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		2847166	

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_2573_11

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Ver	sion_2573_1	1			08-13555-	-ma D	oc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	nt			
			1.04 (b) (xl) SAS	Misrepresentation	Misrepresentatio	3 3	OC 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower misrepresented his di			2847166	
			2007-	n of Income -	n of Income		The loan file contained a post closing document and Loss Statement for the				
			BNC1_Underwritin g Guidelines	Red Flags Present - Near			self-employment income indicated the Borrower earned \$690 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self employed in the same line of work.				
			g duideillies	Year Income			employed in the same line of work.				
			1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten pursuant to the Originator's underwriting guidelines.				
			2007-								
			BNC1_Underwritin				The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical				
			g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool				principles which relate to income.				
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely				
							payments on the subject loan.				
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAS								
			2007-BNC1_No				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or				
			Fraud				misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of				
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAS				the subject loan.				
			2007-BNC1 No				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for				
			Event of Default				the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of				
							the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Photographer earning \$5,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
							default under the executed wortgage and/or beed of must and has a significant impact on the borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
				1			Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting				
							methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
14 00124439373	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 03/27/2007, in the amount of \$291,550, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a States	Stated	\$291,550.00	2847064	
				· ·	'		Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49.23% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated				
							04/02/2007, in the loan file.				
•			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007-	Occupancy Microproceptation	Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy	1 3	The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. The loan application Schedule of Real Estate Owned indicated the Borrower owned an additional property located in San Leandro, CA. The property was not listed as an investment property nor was rental income stated on the application. Per public records obtained			2847064	
			BC4_Underwriting		n or Occupancy		property located in San Leanurg, CA. The property was not instead as an investment property not was remain income stated on the application. For point records obtained from Accurrint, the Borrower occupied this property from 06/2004 through 01/2013 instead of the subject property as indicated at origination. This same property does not				
			Methodology -	Flags Present			have history of any other occupants other than the Borrower and the Borrower's family members, per public records. In addition, the subject property's appraisal reflected				
			Deemed MnA_Pool				occupancy as a Tenant by the appraiser. No additional explanation or clarification was provided at origination. Further, the loan file contained the Borrower's driver's				
			1				license, which reflected the other address in San Leandro, CA as her current address. The origination credit report, dated 03/21/2007 reflected the Borrower's current				
							address as the San Leandro, CA location. The audit credit report is indicating the Borrower's current address as the San Leandro, CA property, and all previous addresses do				
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS				not include the subject property. Furthermore, post-closing documentation including the Borrower's pay stub, dated 03/06/2008, was still reflecting the San Leandro, CA				
			2007-BC4_No				address.				
			Fraud				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on				
			1.04 (c) (vii) SAS				the subject loan.				
			2007-BC4_No								
			Event of Default				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or				
							misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of				
							the subject loan.				
							landing the first of the control of				
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of				
							the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
							Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed				
							Mortgage and or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
				1			Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting				
							resistant of the workingset count and an arrangement, are larger in the following state of the Certificate holders. methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Morteage Loan and interest of the Certificate holders.				
				_	-	-1-			4		
15 00124798331	ıst	SASC 2007-BNC1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	UU	The subject loan closed on 06/15/2007, in the amount of \$274,500, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset loan, with a 90% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 44.46% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated	SISA	\$274,500.00	2847203	
				1			Income/Stated Asset loan), with a 90% Loan to value/Combined Loan to value (LTV/CLTV), and a 44.46% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a vianual approval dated O6/22/2007, in the loan file.				
		1	1.04 (b) (xl) SAS	Failure to	Failure to	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Owner of a carpet business for 7 years, earning \$7,300 per month.			2847203	
			2007-	Determine	Determine						
			BNC1_Underwritin		Reasonable		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten pursuant to the Originator's underwriting guidelines.				
			g Guidelines	Ability to Repay (Stated)	Ability to Repay		The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical				
			1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS	(Statea)			The sener also represented and warranted, in part, that the mentiourlogy used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.				
			2007-	1							
			BNC1_Underwritin				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely				
			g Methodology -	1			payments on the subject loan.				
			Deemed MnA_Pool				Income of \$7,300 per month for the Owner of a carpet business is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. A salary search conducted through				
			1	1			Income of 57,300 per month for the Owner of a carpet business is unreasonable and is a red high for potential misrepresentation. A salary search conducted through (CBSalary, come reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for the Borrower's accupation in the same geographic region was \$53,333 per month.				
				1			, which was a second of the second of				
				1			Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to				
							repay the subject loan.				
							Durrupat to the Martage Logo Cale and Agrimmont Agrammont the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo to be underwritten in accordance with the programming the failure of the rubicet logo.				
				I			Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
		•		-		-		•			

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_2573_11

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

1.04 (b) (xl) SAS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio Progression (and the contained nost object loan file contained n

	00 10000	ing boo 40000 i inda oo/ee/14 entorea oo/ee/14 10.04.10 iitaaniinent
. 1.04 (b) (xl) SAS M	1isrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower fisles to great income as movement of a carpet business earning \$7,300 per month on the loan application. The subject loan file contained post doosing in the documentation including the borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed his income was \$13,680 or
2007- n	of Income - n of Income	The subject loan file contained post closing in commentation in during the borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed his income was \$13,680 or
BNC1_Underwritin Ro	ed Flags	\$1,140 per month.
g Guidelines Pr	resent - Same	
Ye	ear Income	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten pursuant to the Originator's underwriting guidelines.
1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS E	vidence	
2007-		The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical
BNC1_Underwritin		principles which relate to income.
g Methodology -		
Deemed MnA_Pool		The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely
		payments on the subject loan.
1.04 (b) (xxi) SAS		In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for
2007-BNC1_No		the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of
Fraud		the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.
1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAS		Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a the Owner of a carpet business earning \$7,300 per month on the loan application, which
2007-BNC1_No		constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.
Event of Default		
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting
		methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 2573 11

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 The subject loan closed on 04/20/2004, in the amount of \$256,000 as a croix of reference of the subject loan closed on 04/20/2004, in the amount of \$256,000 as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with an \$0% loan 60 and 2955% lieb to income retire there was a Manual approval dated 04/12/2004, in the loan file. Per the final 16 0017753740 \$256,000.00 oan Summary 2845786 HUD-1, the Borrower received a disbursement of \$12,216 at closing. 1 04 (c) (v) SAII The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Pricing Analyst earning \$4,000 per month on the loan application. An audit 2845786 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati 2004-8 No Fraud n of Income - No in of Income Verification of Employment was conducted through The Work Number, which revealed the Borrower's actual income was \$39,769, or \$3,314 per month in the year the Red Flags 1.04 (c) (vii) SAIL Present - Same 2004-8_No Event of Year Income The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or Default misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination o In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Pricing Analyst earning \$4,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 17 0018239558 AIL 2004-11 Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 08/09/2004, in the amount of \$442,400, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Stated oan Summary ncome/Verified Assets loan, with an 80% loan-to-value, and a 33.18% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 07/21/2004, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1, the Borrower received a disbursement of \$31,352 at closing. .04 (c) (v) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati he Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as the Owner of a construction company or years. The bankruptcy documentation filed by the 2845746 2004-11_No Fraud n of Employment in of Employme prrower on 05/20/2008 with the United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California revealed the Borrower did not have an ownership interest in a business in the 1.04 (c) (vii) SAIL Flags Present 2004-11 No Event The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or of Default misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Owner of a construction company for 5 years, which constituted an event of default nder the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a construction company earning \$7,500 per month on the loan 2845746 2004-11_No Fraud n of Income - No n of Income application. The bankruptcy documentation filed by the Borrower on 05/20/2008 with the United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California revealed the Red Flags Borrower did not have an ownership interest in a business in the prior 6 years (from 2003-2008) and the Borrower reported no income the year of the subject loan closing in 1.04 (c) (vii) SAIL Present - Same 2004-11_No Event Year Income of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination oi In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a construction company earning \$7,500 per month on the loan application, which onstitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 18 0036774495 SARM 2005-23 Loan Summary he subject loan closed on 11/01/2005, in the amount of \$648,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to \$648,000.0 definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note. Hazard Insurance. Title Commitment, HUD-1 Settlement Statement, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical ocumentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and Provide the Final Provide Final TI maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. 23_Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Law - Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 23_Origination Practices ..04 (c) (xxiv) SARN 23_Compliance with Applicable 1.04 (c) (xviii) ailure to Failure to Obtai he subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum 2846176 SARM 2005rovide the Qualified he appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal was missing from the loan file 23_Qualified Origination Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination Appraisal Appraisal ppraisal complied with the Act.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 2573 11

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18

19 0038277315 The subject loan closed on 05/30/2006, in the amount of \$825,000 as a critique refinance of mover occupied single family residence located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a State of normal verified Asset loan with a 75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 35.74% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). \$825,000.00 2846336 oan Summary There was a Manual approval dated 06/05/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. Research conducted through the Mortgage Electronic Registry System and an audit credit report revealed the 2846336 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentat 2006-7 No Fraud n of Debt n of Debt Borrowers purchased a property in Bella Vista, AR on 06/16/2006, within 30 days of the subject loan closing on 05/30/2006. The Borrowers obtained a mortgage in the Obligations - No Obligations amount of \$148,410 with a monthly payment of \$988. 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Red Flags 2006-7_No Event of Present The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or Default misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$988 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. .04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentat The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a window shade installation company for 2 years, earning 2846336 2006-7_No Fraud n of Income -\$32,000 per month and the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed Hairdresser for 20 years, earning \$6,500 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post closing income documentation including the Borrowers' 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned a negative \$18,717, or a negative \$1,559 per 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Present - Same month and revealed the Co-Borrower earned \$37,343, or \$3,111 per month in the month the subject loan closed. 2006-7 No Event of Year Income The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated income as the Owner of a window shade installation company earning \$32,000 per month and the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed Hairdresser earning \$.6500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed he subject loan closed on 04/25/2006, in the amount of \$290,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development. The oan Summary .oan Summary oan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 38.593% Debt to Income (DTI). The was a Manual approval dated 05/01/2006, in the loan file 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Owner of an Auto Detail Business for 6 years, earning \$4,950 per month. The Borrower also stated on the ailure to ailure to SAIL 2006loan application additional employment as a General Worker at an automotive dealership for 4 years, earning \$3,500 per month. BNC3 Underwritin Reasonable easonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical eemed MnA_Pool The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely Incomes of \$4,950 per month for a Owner of an Auto Detail Business and \$3,500 per month for a General Work at an automotive dealership are unreasonable. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for an Owner of an auto detail business in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$1,923 Additionally, the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a General Worker at an automotive dealership in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$1,703 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

The loan application reflected the Borrower was a renter at his current address for 4 years. The loan file contained a letter from the Borrower indicating he was living with 1 04 (h) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verif 2845888 SAIL 2006-/OM/VOR Housing History his mother in law the previous 4 years and paid monthly rent in the amount of \$750. Twelve months canceled checks must be provided when the Borrower is renting from a BNC3 Underwriting private party g Methodology Deemed MnA Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_2573_11

Deemed MnA_Pool Year Income

n of Income -

Present - Same

Red Flags

Evidence

Failure to

Reasonable

Ability to Repay

Misrepresentatio Misreprese

of Income

ailure to

Reasonable

Ability to Repay

1.04 (b) (xli) (d)

BNC3_Underwritin

g Methodology -

1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL

1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL

2006-BNC3 No Event of Default

1.04 (b) (xli) (d)

Methodology -Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated)

SAIL 2006-BNC3_Underwritin

SAIL 2006-BNC3

21 0120867809

2006-BNC3_No

SAIL 2006-

principles which relate to income.

Manual approval dated 05/30/2006, in the loan file.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed secondary income. The Borrower that Borrower misrepresented his disclosed secondary income as a General Worker earning \$3,500 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment, botained through the Borrower's escondary employer, revealed the Borrower's income for 2006, the year the subject loan The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical

2845888

2845893

The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated secondary income as a General worker earning \$3,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting nethodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

The subject loan closed on 05/23/2006, in the amount of \$500,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset (SISA) loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.81% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI), There was a

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical

The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Supervisor/Manager for 3 years, earning \$11,000 per month.

The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely

Income of \$11,000 per month for a Supervisor is unreasonable. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Manager in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$8,688 per month.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to

ursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting ethodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders

Cowen US Bank Final Version 2573 11

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 22 0120877923 The subject loan closed on 04/26/2009, in the amount of 415,195 as a postuse of an expression of the subject loan closed on 04/26/2009, in the amount of 415,195 as a postuse of an expression of the subject loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.754% Debt to Income (DTI). There \$415,192.00 2845914 oan Summary was a Manual approval dated 05/02/2006, in the loan file 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Banquet Service Coordinator for 15 years, earning \$12,000 per month. 2845914 Failure to SAIL 2006etermine Determine BNC3 Underwritin Reasonable Reasonable The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical g Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay principles which relate to income Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely Income of \$12,000 per month for a Banquet Service Coordinator is unreasonable. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 90th percentile for a Banquet Service Coordinator in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$3,570 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting nethodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Banquet Service Coordinator earning \$12,000 per month on the loan 2845914 SAIL 2006application. The loan file contained post closing income documentation including the Borrower's W-2 form for 2006, which was provided by the Borrower for loss mitigation RNC3 Underwritin The W-2 form revealed the Borrower income for 2006, the year the subject loan closed, was \$2,574 per month Red Flags g Methodology -Present - Same Deemed MnA Pool Year Income The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely 2006-BNC3_No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Banquet Service Coordinator earning \$12,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting nethodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 23 0120889993 SAIL 2006-BNC3 \$295,920.0 284594 oan Summary he subject loan closed on 05/17/2006, in the amount of \$295,920, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development. The SISA oan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset (SISA) loan, with a 79.98% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 34.96% Debt to Income (DTI). Then was a Manual approval dated 05/25/2006, in the loan file .04 (b) (xli) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati he Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Financial Planner earning \$7,700 per month on the loan application. The Co-SAIL 2006of Income of Income orrower falsely stated income as a Medical Assistant earning \$3,500 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained the Borrower's federal income tax return BNC3 Underwritin Red Flags for 2006, which was provided by the Borrower for loss mitigation, which revealed the Borrower's total income for 2006, the year the subject loan closed, was \$324 or \$27 per month. An audit verification of employment ducted through The Work Number, revealed the Co-Borrower's income for 2006 was \$3,017 per month. g Methodology -Present - Same Deemed MnA_Pool Year Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. .04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or 2006-BNC3_No misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of Event of Default the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Financial Planner earning \$7,700 and the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Medical Assistant earning \$3,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust and has a significant mpact on the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting nethodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

US Bank Final	Varsian 10			00	10555		Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
Loan Number	First or Second	Deal Name	Section of the	Issue Description	3-13555-	- MQ Breach	DOC 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Enfered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Affachment Rating Breach Description Comments Document Type Original Balance Tracking Number Comments
Louis realises	This of Second	Dear Name	MLSAA Breached	issue Description	Breach	Count	Rating Breach Description V - part 3 Pg 98 of 256 Document Type Original Balance Tracking Number Comments
1 00011815750	1st	SASC 2003-39EX		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	The subject loan closed on 12/04/2003, in the amount of \$240,000. There was neither an automated underwriting system (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file contained no origination documentation for the subject transaction. There was no critical documentation provided for the file and was not represented on the data tape by the Seller.
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003- 39EX_Compliance with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Mortgage File 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Subject Note		1	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003- 39EX_Compliance with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003- 39EX_Compliance with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3	The seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
			1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	5	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

2 00030520175	1st	SAIL 2005-HE3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00 The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the amount of \$564,000. The subject loan close-gion 04/01/2005-in the subject loa
2 00030320173	131	JAIL 2003-11E3		Louis Juninally	Louis Julillary	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment O
						of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different
						property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.
			1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL	Failure to Provide	Failure to	3 3 The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject 2845860
			2005-	the Subject Note	Provide Subject	transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note.
			HE3_Compliance		Note	The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state,
			with Applicable			and federal laws.
			Law - Deemed MnA			
						The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not
			1.04 (c) (xii) SAIL 2005-			limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).
			HE3_Mortgage File			Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there
	1			1		evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.
	1		1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL	1		
	1		2005-	1		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially
	1		HE3_Origination			and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
	1		Practices			
	1					
				ĺ		
			1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL	Failure to Provide	Failure to	43 The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 settlement 2845860
			2005-	Final HUD-1	Provide Final	statement to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of
			HE3_Compliance		HUD-1	compliance. The loan file did not contain a Final HUD-1 statement.
			with Applicable			
			Law - Deemed MnA			The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state,
						and federal laws.
			1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL			
			2005-			Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
			HE3_Origination			
			Practices			Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially
						and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
			1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL	Failure to Provide	Failure to	5]3 The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by 2845860
	1		2005-	the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a Truth in Lending statement.
	1		HE3_Compliance			
	1		with Applicable	1		The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state,
	1		Law - Deemed MnA	1		and federal laws.
	1					
	1		1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL			Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
	1		2005-			
	1		HE3_Origination			Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially
	1		Practices	I		and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
	1					
		1		1		
		_	4.04(-)()(5-7	Fellow to Obtain	
			1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL			63 The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) 2845860
			2005-HE3_Qualified	-	Qualified	required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did not contain an original required that a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did not contain an original required that a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did not contain an original required that a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
			Appraisal	Appraisal	Appraisal	origination appraisal.
						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.
						The Senter represented and warranted, in party triat the approisal compiled with Finite A.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 The subject loan classed on 07/12/2005 in the prount of 6728,000 as a purebase of an owner occupied condominium. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assir Gah, while an 80% Logn to Walbe/Confoince does not value, and a 48.231% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a 00121824726 BNCMT 2006-1 \$228,000.00 2844788 Manual approval dated 07/25/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Medical Assistant for a medical center for 3 years, earning \$6,000 per month. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Failure to 2844788 etermine Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs Ability to Repay Methodology -Ability to Repay objective mathematical principles which relate to income. Deemed MnA Pool (Stated) The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$6,000 per month for a Medical Assistant for a medical center is unreasonable. Research conducted through the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Medical Assistant in the same geographic region was \$3,067 per month. The Borrower's stated income exceeded the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' 75th percentile, which is a red flag that the Borrower's income was Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. .04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower paid a \$2,000 earnest money deposit, an additional deposit in the amount of \$58,921 and 2844788 received \$2,064 cash back at closing. In total, assets of \$58,857 were required to be verified, per the loan approval. The loan application reflected a 1 Underwriting \$2,000 earnest money deposit and a bank account was listed with no balance reflected. The loan file contained a copy of a cashier's check drawn Methodology from the bank listed on the loan application in the amount of \$2,000; however, the account or account balance was not verified to source the Deemed MnA_Poo funds. The loan application also reflected a retirement account balance in the amount of \$5,000, which was not verified and proceeds from the sale of the Borrower's departing residence were listed on the loan application in the approximate amount of \$75,757, which was to be used for closing funds. A copy of a cashier's check in the amount of \$58,921 was provided; however, the final HUD-1 for the sale was not provided to verify the source of funds. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$58,857 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the

represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

owen_US Bank_Final_'	Version_10			30	3-13555-	ma	Doc 46	Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan classed on 07/11/2005 in the prount of 6412,000 as a pure base of an owner occupied single family detached residence. The loan was approved as a Stated leader Verified Asset 1911, 4 it was 800/1009 2001 To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.377% Debt Stated \$412,000.00		
4 00121827331	1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan classed on 07/11/2006 in the properties of an owner occupied single family detached residence. The loan Stated \$412,000.00	2844790	
								was approved as a Stated Interfet (Verified Asset Interfet) 100% to Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.377% Debt		
			1.04 (b) (vl) (d) PNC	Enilura to	Failure to	1	2	To Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/31/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Superintendent for a Landscaping business for 7 years, earning \$11,000 per month.	2844790	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006-	Determine	Determine	1	3	The Borrower's housing payment was increasing from \$2,688 to \$4,575, which results in a payment shock of 70.20%. The Borrower's housing payment was increasing from \$2,688 to \$4,575, which results in a payment shock of 70.20%. The Borrower's credit profile	2844790	
			1_Underwriting	Reasonable	Reasonable			reflects 6x3 day late payments and 1x60 day late payment on a mortgage account. In addition, a review of the Borrower's origination credit report		
				Ability to Repay				reflected 4x30 day late payment on an installment account of which 1x30 was within 12 months of the subject loan closing. The Borrower had no		
			Deemed MnA_Pool		nome, to nepa,			revolving credit. The loan file did not contain asset documentation; therefore, a determination of the Borrower's ability to accumulate reserves		
			1	(212122)				could not be ascertained.		
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.		
								The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the		
								Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the		
								represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC			2	3	The Borrower stated on the loan application that he rented the current residence for 7 years with a monthly payment of \$2,690. The loan file	2844790	
			2006-	VOM/VOR	Housing History			contained a Verification of Rent from the Borrower's relative. Per the underwriting guidelines, the Borrower was required to provide twelve month		
			1_Underwriting					of canceled checks. The loan file did not contain evidence of the 12 month canceled checks.		
			Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.		
								Software installation and the control of the contro		
								The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated on the loan application that he rented the current residence for 7 years, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the		
								represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$13,768. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify	2844790	
			2006- 1_Underwriting	Assets	Assets			earnest money deposit in the amount of \$1,000 along with 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$22,918 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$22,918 were required to be verified.		
			Methodology -							
			Deemed MnA_Pool					The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's assets, so as		
			1					to confirm the Borrower's strength of savings patterns, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject		
								loan.		
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.		
				1						
								The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
				1						
								Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$22,918 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		
				1				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the		
				1				represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the		
				<u></u>				Certificateholders.		
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC		Improper	4	3	The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's debt, so as to	2844790	
			2006-	Calculation of	Calculation of			confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's		
				Debts	Debts			reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.		
			Methodology -					The College consequented and unserported in part that the methodology used in undergoing the substance of earlief for the substance and the substance of earlief for the substance and the substance of earlief for earlief		
		Dec 1	Deemed MnA_Pool					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.		
								The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.		
								Resolve the fall of a constant in a constant in the last file and a fall of a constant in the last file and a constant in the		
								Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay		
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 5 00121828701 The subject loan classed on 06/30/2006 in the prount of 6187, 200 ras a pure base of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Docume have by with an \$9%/\$60% coar to value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.10% Debt to Income Ratic BNCMT 2006-1 \$187,200.00 2844793 DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/07/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify 2844793 The Borrower stated on the loan application that he rented his current residence for 2 years with a monthly payment of \$550. The loan file /OM/VOR Housing History contained a private Verification of Rent, dated 07/05/2006 which reflected monthly rent paid by the Borrower from 05/01/2004 through 07/2006. Underwriting The loan file also contained 4 months bank statements, dated 03/15/2006 through 06/15/2005 reflecting checks paid in the amount of \$550; Methodology owever, the copy of the canceled check was not included nor did the loan file include all 12 months rental payment history. Deemed MnA Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the housing history was not properly verified, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowei reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. However, the Borrower was required to verify 2 2844793 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$4.132 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$4.132 were required to _Underwriting be verified. Methodology -Deemed MnA Poo The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's assets, so as o confirm the Borrower's strength of savings patterns, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subjec The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,994 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 00121856181 RNCMT 2006-1 oan Summary oan Summary The subject loan closed on 07/13/2006, in the amount of \$205,200, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family dwelling. The loan was \$205,200.00 2844841 pproved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 90% loan to value/combined loan to value (LTV/CLTV), and a 34,29% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 07/18/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Improper he subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. 2844841 Calculation of Calculation of Underwriting ebts The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs Methodology bjective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting eemed MnA Po nethodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$2,142 were used by the Lender to determine the Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). Per origination credit report, an automobile loan payment of \$472 and 3 revolving credit accounts with a combined monthly payment of \$84 were excluded from the calculation of the Debt to ncome Ratio (DTI). The correct recalculation results in a monthly debt of \$2,698, which resulted in a recalculated DTI of 50.05%. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

ertificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

00121862007	ersion_10	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summarv	nig o	10 The subject loan classed on 07/20/2006, in the amount of \$53,500, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was stated \$53,500.0	0 2844856
3121302007	1	5 2000-1			220.1 30.1111101 y		approved as a State Incompatified Asset docured in the Asset docured in	2044030
	_		1.04 (b) (.1) (-1) 8::-	Failura t	Failure t		42.33% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/26/2007, in the loan file.	2044056
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC		Failure to	1	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Accounting Manager for 3.6 years, earning \$6,000 per month.	2844856
			2006-	Determine	Determine			
			1_Underwriting	Reasonable	Reasonable		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs	
			Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay		objective mathematical principles which relate to income.	
			Deemed MnA_Pool	(Stated)				
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
							Research conducted through The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics for the Borrower's position as an Account Manager position located in the same area in 2006 indicated a monthly income of \$3,315 per month in the 75th percentile.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the	
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
							Cerunideniulers.	
8 00124780909	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	The subject loan closed on 06/11/2007, in the amount of \$220,500, as a purchase of a non owner occupied single family residence. The loan was Full \$220,500.0	0 2847085
]	approved as a full documentation (12 months bank statements) loan, with a 90% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a	
	- 1						48.48% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/14/2007, in the loan file.	
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	1	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed Financial Advisor earning \$18,342 per	2847085
			2007-BC4_No	n of Income - No	n of Income		month on the loan application. The subject loan file contained post closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which	
			Fraud	Red Flags Present			revealed the Borrower earned negative income, the year the subject loan closed.	
				- Same Year				
			1.04 (c) (vii) SAS	Income Evidence			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation	
			2007-BC4_No Event				contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was	
			of Default				committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust	
							securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any	
							agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self employed Financial Advisor earning \$18,342 per month on the	
							loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.	
	4	CACC 2227 25			6			20.7707
9 00124832676	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	The subject loan closed on 06/22/2007, in the amount of \$309,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan Full \$309,000.0	0 2847097
	- 1						was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 82% loan-to-value, and a 50.84% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated	
	1						06/27/2007, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1, the Borrower received a disbursement of \$4,626 at closing.	
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS	Occupancy	Misrepresentatio	2	The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. Per public records, the Borrower occupied the subject property from	2847097
ľ	1		2007-BC4 No	Misrepresentatio	n of Occupancy	[]	03/1999 to 06/2007 and occupied an undisclosed property located Richmond, CA from 06/2007 to 01/2013. The subject loan closed as an owner	
	- 1		Fraud	n - With No Red			occupied property on 06/22/2007. The loan file contained post closing 2008 tax transcripts and 2009 tax returns, which confirmed the Borrower	
	1			Flags Present			occupied the undisclosed property and the subject property was an investment property. Research conducted through SiteX.com indicated the	
	- 1		1.04 (c) (vii) SAS]			undisclosed property located in Richmond, CA was owned by the Borrower's relative. The post closing documentation also included a hardship	
	- 1		2007-BC4_No Event				letter, which indicated the subject loan was for an investment property. Furthermore, an Accruint search revealed the Borrower had utilities	
	1		of Default				connected at this undisclosed property on 06/01/2007.	
	- 1							
	- 1						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation	
1	1						contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was	
1	1						committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
	- 1							
1	1						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust	
	- 1						securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any	
	- 1						agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
	- 1							
	1						Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of	
							default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	

	Bank_Final_Ver	sion_10			08	<u> 3-13555-</u>	ma	Doc 46	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	•		
10 0	00124864166	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0	Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan classed on 06/25/2003 in the prount of 519,000 as yearly out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a will Dock metalation loan, with by 38% to 31-to 410¢, 300 48.14% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 08/07/2007, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1, the Borrower received a disbursement of \$39,190 at closing.	\$348,000.00	2847101	
·				1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS 2007- BC4_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Right of Rescission	Failure to Provide Right of Rescission	1	. 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.		2847101	
				Law - Deemed MnA					Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain a Right of Rescission, which is evidence of the subject loan's noncompliance with applicable law.			
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
11 0	0121282735	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0	The subject loan closed on 06/21/2006, in the amount of \$437,600, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset (SISA) documentation loan, with an 80%/100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.429% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/28/2006, in the loan file.	\$437,600.00	2846011	
				1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting	Failure to Determine Reasonable	Failure to Determine Reasonable	1	. 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a self-employed Owner of lawn landscaping service for 3.8 years, earning \$12,000 per month.	\$0.00	2846011	
				Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1		Ability to Repay			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.			
									The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
									Income of \$12,000 per month for a as a self-employed Owner of lawn landscaping service is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a as a self-employed Owner of lawn landscaping service in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$4,753 per month. The Borrower's stated income is more than 2.5 times the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' at the 75th percentile, which is a red flag that the Borrower's income was overstated.			
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
				1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No	Misrepresentatio n of Employment - With No Red Flags Present		3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application. The Borrower falsely stated self-employment as the Owner of a lawn landscaping service company for 3.8 years. It should be noted, no additional employment or self employment was disclosed. However, research conducted through Accurint revealed that the Borrower owned 2 additional businesses that were not disclosed at origination. The Borrower owned a trucking company since 1966 to the present and an additional landscape business from 2004 to the present, which covers the subject loan closing date of 06/21/2006 and could have resulted in a negative impact on the Borrower's current business.	50.00	2846011	
				Event of Default					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self-employed Owner of a lawn landscaping service company for 3.8 years as his sole source of employment, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				
12 0	0121287262	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0	The subject loan closed on 06/02/2006, in the amount of \$510,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 85% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 46.293% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/02/2006, in the loan file.	\$510,000.00	2846020	
-				1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting	Failure to Investigate Credit History	Failure to Investigate Credit History	1	.]3	The origination credit report dated 05/05/2006, reflected 7 inquiries reported from 02/06/2006 through 05/02/2006, including an inquiry on 03/23/2006, which was affiliated with a creditor resulting in undisclosed debt.		2846020	
				Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.			
									The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
									Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that a letter of explanation was obtained for inquiries as required and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrowers' credit profile was confirmed.			
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_10

| 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) | SAIL 2006 | BNC3_Underwriting | Documentation | Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool | 1
| 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) | SAIL 2006 | BNC3_Underwriting | Documentation | SAIL 2006 | SA

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Claims Dental Processor earning \$6,900 per mon the loan application An aukit to verification of englishment and the way obtained through The Work Number, which revealed that the .04 (b) (xli) (d) AII 2006of Income - Rec Borrower earned \$2,960 per month during the year of the subject loan closing in 2006. BNC3_Underwriting Flags Present -Methodology -Same Year eemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No an addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust ecuring the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or ar agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAII 2006-BNC3 No Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Claims Dental Processor earning \$6,900 per month on the loan Event of Default application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the etermination of the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially nd adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 14 0121296784 SAIL 2006-BNC3 oan Summary oan Summar The subject loan closed on 06/05/2006, in the amount of \$84,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was \$84,000.00 284603 approved as a Full Documentation/6-months of bank statement loan program, with a 20%/100% loan-to-value/combined loan-to-value, and a 41.93% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 06/13/2006, in the loan file. L.04 (b) (xli) (d) The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. 2846039 AIL 2006-Calculation of Calculation of BNC3_Underwriting Debts The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs Methodology objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting Deemed MnA_Poo nethodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$3,234 were used by the Lender to determine the debt-to-income ratio (DTI). The Underwriter failed to use the correct qualifying nterest rate to calculate the qualifying mortgage payment for the first mortgage. According to the note in the loan file, the correct qualifying rate was 6.8%. A monthly principal and interest payment of \$2,191 should have been used to qualify the Borrower. The correct recalculation results in a Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts. The naccurately calculated debt significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the The Borrower misrepresented her ownership of assets. The loan file contained bank statements for the Borrower's checking account dated L.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Misrepresentati 2846039 2006-BNC3_No /lisrepresentatio of Assets 05/12/2006, which reflected an ending balance of \$10,667. An audit verification was sent to the financial institution, which revealed the Borrow - With No Red actual account balance as of 05/12/2006 was \$345. Fraud lags Present 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAII The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation 2006-BNC3 No contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was Event of Default ommitted in connection with the origination of the subject loan. n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or ar agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that she had a \$10,667 down payment and/or month's reserves when in fact she had \$345, meaning the amount of assets verified was misrepresented by \$10,322, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Assembly Clerk earning \$4,300 per month and self-2846039 2006-BNC3 No of Income - No of Income employed as a Seamstress earning \$3,000 on the loan application. The loan file contained 6 months bank statements confirming the Borrower's raud ed Flags Presen otal combined income. An audit verification of deposit completed on 02/26/2013 indicated the balances on the bank statements dated Same Year 04/14/2006 and 05/12/2006 were incorrect. The loan file contained a bank statement dated 04/14/2006 which reflected an ending balance of 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL ncome Evidence \$10.212; however, the audit Verification of Deposit revealed the actual balance was \$2.741 and the loan file contained a bank statement dated 2006-BNC3 No 05/12/2006 which reflected an ending balance of \$10,667; however, the audit Verification of Deposit revealed the actual balance was \$345. Event of Default Therefore, the bank statements used to calculate the Borrower's income was misrepresented The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation

Copyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 3/21/2013 3:31:53 PM
Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule

gent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was

in addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Assembly Clerk earning \$4,300 per month and self-employed as a Seamstress earning \$3,000 on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 15 0121304224 The subject loan classed on 06/19/2005 in the prount of 67-77,000 ras 5 gas bout refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Sated life of the Verned Asset 1991, with an 80% Loan 30 Police/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 34.1% Debt to Income SAIL 2006-BNC3 \$272,000.00 2846056 Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/29/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed 2846056 2006he Final TIL rovide Final TIL by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. BNC3_Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xli) (g) SAII Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 2006-BNC3 Fees Disclosed - Deem Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially MnA_Pool 1 and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xli) (h) SAIL 2006-BNC3 Written Disclosure -Deemed MnA Pool 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAIL BNC3_Origination Practices 16 0121305593 SAIL 2006-BNC3 \$259,120.00 2846061 oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 06/12/2006, in the amount of \$259,120, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in a Stated ondominium. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.55% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/12/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Manager with a parking services company for 4 years, earning \$6.491 per month. 2846061 Failure to SAIL 2006-BNC3 Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$6,491 per month for a Manager is unreasonable. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Manager in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$5,000 per month. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the

represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

n_US Bank_Final_Ve	rsion_10		1		<u> 3-13555-</u>	·mg L	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14			
17 0121313803	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan classed on 05/21/2005 in the propunt of 327,000 as a subject loan owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated InfeMyStaTed Assets 1001, 4:100-2009 in the propunt of 327,000 alue/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/ CLTV) and a 47.529% Debt To	\$370,000.00	2846078	
					1		was approved as a Stated Income (Stated Assets Income Ratio Value) (LTV/ CLTV) and a 47.529% Debt To Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/28/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Misrepresentatio	Misrenresentatio	13	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Foreman earning \$6,500 per month on the loan	\$0.00	2846078	
·			SAIL 2006-	n of Income - Red			application. An audit verification of employment was obtained, which verified the Borrower's actual income for the subject year loan closing in	90.00	2010070	
			BNC3_Underwriting				2006 was \$4,089 per month.			
			Methodology -	Same Year						
			Deemed MnA_Pool	Income Evidence			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs			
			1				objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting			
							methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL							
			2006-BNC3_No				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation			
			Fraud				contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was			
			1 04 (6) (committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust			
			Event of Default				securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any			
			Event or Bendant				agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. The Borrower's credit profile did not			
							support the ability to repay the subject mortgage.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Foreman earning \$6,500 per month on the loan application, which not			
							only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the			
							Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
							Certificaterioriers.			
18 0121318562	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 6/09/2006, in the amount of \$216,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was Stated	\$216,000.00	2846088	
							approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 48.54% Debt to Income Ratio.			
							There was a manual approval dated 6/09/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Failure to	Failure to	1 3	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Driver for 2 months, earning \$6,000 per month and receiving \$332 in net rental	\$0.00	2846088	
			SAIL 2006- BNC3 Underwriting	Determine	Determine Reasonable		income.			
			Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs			
			Deemed MnA Pool		Ability to Repay		objective mathematical principles which relate to income.			
			1	(Stated)			oriente natienaties principes and relate to medical			
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable			
							ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							Income of \$6,000 per month for a Driver is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics			
							reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Driver/Delivery in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$2,930 per month.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
							Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)		Misrepresentatio	3 3	The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as a Driver for 2 months. An audit verification of employment was	\$0.00	2846088	
			SAIL 2006-		n of Employment		completed by the Borrower's original employer which reflects the Borrower's employment position as a Merchandiser earning \$9 per hour. Further,			
				- With Red Flags			the Borrower was hired on 5/22/2006 and was terminated on 6/02/2006. The subject property closed on 6/09/2006.			
			Methodology -	Present			The Seller represented and warranted in part, that the methodology used in underseiting the extension of credit for the subject land or all as			
			Deemed MnA_Pool				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.			
			1				објесате посленацев уписрјез упистенас то после.			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable			
			2006-BNC3_No				ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
			Fraud							
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL				contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was			
			2006-BNC3_No				committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
			Event of Default							
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust			
							securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any			
							agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Driver for 2 months, which not only constituted an event of			
							Despite the senier's representations, the sorrower laisery stated employment as a univer 10.2 months, which not only constituted in event on default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust, but allos significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability			
							and a second of the sound of th			
							to repay the subject loan.			
							to repay the subject loan.			
							to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			

Copyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 3/21/2013 3:31:53 PM

Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule
Repor

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 The Borrower misrepresented his disclased income. The parawer falsely stated income as a Driver earning \$6,000 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment was completed by the Borrower's original employer, which reflects the Borrower's employment .04 (b) (xli) (d) n of Income - Red AII 2006position as a Merchandiser earning \$9 per hour. Further, the Borrower was hired on 5/22/2006 and was terminated on 6/02/2006. The subject BNC3 Underwriting Flags Present property closed on 6/09/2006. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3 No The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAII The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation 2006-BNC3 No contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was Event of Default ommitted in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Driver earning \$6,000 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. Per public records the Borrower's original phone number as it reflects on 2846088 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Occupancy Misrepresentati 2006-BNC3_No Misrepresentatio of Occupancy the final 1003 is still registered to the Borrower's departing residence. Further, research through Accurint reflects a large number of individuals - With No Red maintained a residence at the subject property other than the Borrower since the subject loan closing on 06/09/2006. A search using the Fraud Borrower's social security number reflects the Borrower's original property as the Borrower's residence and not the subject property. lags Present 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAII The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was ommitted in connection with the origination of the subject loan. n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 19 0121321988 SAIL 2006-BNC3 he subject loan closed on 6/27/2006, in the amount of \$201,450, as cash out refinance of an investment property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets Ioan, with an 83,24% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 37,46% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to ailure to he Borrower stated on the loan application self-employment as a home based Home Inspector for 2 years and 8 months, earning \$8,000 per 2846094 SAIL 2006etermine Determine NC3 Underwritin Reasonable easonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs eemed MnA Pool (Stated) objective mathematical principles which relate to income The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan

Income of \$8,000 per month for a home based Home Inspector is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Home Inspector in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$4,810 per month. The Borrower's stated income is more than 1.5 times the Bureau of Labor Statistics' 75th percentile, which is a red flag that the

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the epresented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the load application. The Borrower stated on the loan application self-employment as a home pased Home Inspector for Alests and months. According to the Statement of Financial Affairs on the Bankruptcy petition filed 06/04/2008 by the .04 (b) (xli) (d) of Employment AII 2006forrower with the District of Arizona Bankruptcy Court, the Borrower's employment for 2006 was as a retired individual with a total earning of BNC3 Underwriting - With Red Flags \$16,035 or \$1,336 per month. Further, the Bankruptcy petition reflects no evidence the Borrower was self-employed or owned and operated a Methodology eemed MnA Poo husiness for the closing year of 2006 The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 2006-BNC3_No The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAII 2006-BNC3 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation Event of Default contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was ommitted in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage, Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Home Inspector for 2 years and 8 months, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the L.04 (b) (xli) (d) 2846094 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati he Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner Operator of a home based Home Inspectior SAIL 2006of Income - Rec arning \$8,000 per month on the loan application. According to the Statement of Financial Affairs on the Bankruptcy petition filed 06/04/2008 by BNC3 Underwriting Flags Present the Borrower with the District of Arizona Bankruptcy Court, the Borrower's employment for 2006 was as a retired individual with total earnings of Methodology -Same Year \$16,035 or \$1,336 per month. Further, the Bankruptcy petition reflects no evidence the Borrower was self-employed or owned and operated a Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence ousiness for the closing year of 2006. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting 2006-BNC3 No methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAI contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was 2006-BNC3_No ommitted in connection with the origination of the subject loan Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage, Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner Operator of a home based Home Inspection Business earning \$8,000 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan, Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the epresented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 20 0121333512 SAIL 2006-BNC3 oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 5/31/2006, in the amount of \$176,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was \$176,000.00 2846124 pproved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 31,26% Debt to Income, There was a nanual approval dated 5/26/2006, in the loan file 1.04 (b) (xli) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify he Borrower stated on the loan application that she rented her current residence for 2 years with a monthly payment of \$1,250. The loan file 2846124 SAIL 2006-VOM/VOR Housing History contained a verification of rent dated 5/26/2006 which was signed and completed by a private party. The loan file is missing the required 12 BNC3 Underwrit nonths canceled checks from the Borrower's account confirming the Borrower's housing payments, as required. Methodology eemed MnA Po The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities

ability to make timely nayments on the subject loan

Certificateholders.

Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's housing history was not verified, which significantly impacted the determination of the

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

						-	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan closed on particles at the most 1311-100 s. 2556 refinance of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was stated \$196,000.00 2846125
1 0121333850	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	The subject loan closed on State of the Amount at 104,000 as a 104,000 as a 104,000 as 104,000 as 104,000 as 104,000 as 104,000 as 104,000 approved as a 104,000 as 104,000 approved as a 104,000 as 104,000 approved as 104,000 as 104,000 as 104,000 approved as 104,000 as 104,0
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Obtain VOM/VOR	Failure to Verify Housing History	2	The Soller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.
			1				The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Due to the Borrower filing for bankruptcy and previous foreclosure status, a 24 month payment history was required. The subject loan closed on 6/16/2006 which establishes the Borrower's 24-month period from 6/2004 to 6/2006. Borrower's original credit report, dated 6/01/2006, reported
							the Borrower's previous mortgage with an opening date of 06/2003. Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain the Borrower's complete payment history, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
22 0122254444	1st	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0 0 The subject loan closed on 08/17/2006, in the amount of \$297,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 90% LTV/CLTV, and a 50.967% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/17/2006, in the loan file.
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA Pool	Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	1	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Registered Nurse for 13 years, earning \$8,150 per month. 2844921 The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.
			1	(The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$8,150 per month for a Registered Nurse is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor
							Statistics reported the average salary at the 90th percentile for a Registered Nurse in the same geographic region was \$6,520 per month. The Borrower's stated income exceeded the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' 90th percentile which is a red flag that the Borrower's income was overstated. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable, which significantly impacted the determination of the
							Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the
							Certificateholders.
23 0122266372	2nd	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	The subject loan closed on 07/21/2006, in the amount of \$49,980, as a purchase of an owner-occupied, single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development (PUD). The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49.33% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/04/2006, in the loan file.
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Verify Assets	Failure to Verify Assets	1	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$746. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$4,314 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$5,060 were required to be verified. The loan file contained a Verification of Deposit for the Borrower's checking and savings accounts, dated 08/01/2006, which reflected ending balances of \$4,035 and \$45; however, the verification reflected 2-month average balances of \$1,210 for each account; therefore, the seasoned balances were \$1,210 and \$45, which totaled \$1,255 in seasoned assets.
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets.
							The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$3,805 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the
							Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10

he subject loan classed on 08/02/2006, in the grount of \$159,200 as a perchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in Planned Unit Development (1994), the Joan was a proved as a Secretary Overfield Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% Loan To 24 0122266604 BNCMT 2006-2 \$159,200.00 2844945 Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.752% Debt To Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/31/2006, in the 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Failure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Restaurant Manager for 3 years, earning \$4,800 per month 2844945 The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay objective mathematical principles which relate to income. eemed MnA Pool (Stated) The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$4,800 per month for a Restaurant Manager is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Restaurant Manager in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$2,978 per month. The Borrower's stated income is more than 1.5 times the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' 75th percentile which is a red flag that the Rorrower's income was overstated Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 25 0122267057 3NCMT 2006-2 he subject loan closed on 08/15/2006, in the amount of \$140,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan \$140,000.0 284494 was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 69% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 48,64% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 08/22/2006 in the loan file. The origination credit report, dated 08/04/2006, reported 6 inquiries, dated from 07/11/2006 through 08/01/2006. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to ailure to 2844946 nvestigate vestigate Credi Underwriting redit History The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs Methodology objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities eemed MnA Poo The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. qualified credit report includes credit inquiries for the previous 90 days and the origination Underwriter should have determined whether any recent credit inquiries listed on the report resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application. The Underwriter failed to obtain sufficient explanation from the Borrower. Despite these requirements, the Underwriter failed to investigate the Borrower's credit history, and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the .04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation he Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. The Borrower failed to disclose three installment student loans and one installment auto loan 2844946 of Debt obtained prior to the subject closing dated 08/15/2006. The Borrower opened the first undisclosed student loan on 08/1988 in the amount of of Deht 2 Underwriting Obligations -Obligations \$1.500 with a monthly payment of \$42. The Borrower opened the second undisclosed student loan on 09/1988 in the amount of \$2.500 with a Methodology -With Red Flags monthly payment of \$71. The Borrower opened the third undisclosed student loan on 03/1989 in the amount of \$4,000 with a monthly payment o Deemed MnA_Pool Present \$113 and the Borrower opened an undisclosed auto loan on 07/2006 in the amount of \$22,762 with a monthly payment of \$468 The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC objective mathematical principles which relate to liability 2006-2 No Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2006-2 No Event Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose \$694 in monthly debt, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 The subject loan closed on 8/02/2006 in the amount of \$160,648 as a purchase of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Docume read to load, with an \$96/100 to load to Value, and a 43.84% Debt to Income. There was a 26 0122282403 BNCMT 2006-2 \$160,648.00 2844975 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Verify 2844975 Failure to Verify The loan application reflected the Borrower was employed as a Machinist for 1 year and 1 month, had previous employment as a Machinist for 1 mnlovment year and 3 months and previously had been employed as a Machinist for 2 years and 5 months. The application reflected the Co-Borrower had 2 Underwriting been employed as a Postal Worker for 5 months and had previously been a student. The loan file contained a verbal verification of employment for Methodology both Borrowers confirming their current employment; however, the loan file was missing a complete 24-month verification of employment history Deemed MnA Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the employment was not properly verified, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 27 0122282619 BNCMT 2006-2 oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 07/26/2006, in the amount of \$179,775, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan \$179,775.00 284497 was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with a 85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 48.87% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 08/01/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Failure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Store Supervisor/Sale Manager for 2 years and 6 months, earning \$5,120 per month 2844976 etermine _Underwriting Reasonable easonable The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs Methodology Ability to Repay Ability to Repay objective mathematical principles which relate to income. eemed MnA_Pool (Stated) The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$5,120 per month for a Store Supervisor/Sale Manager is unreasonable and is a red flag for potential misrepresentation. The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Store Supervisor/Sale Manager in 2006 and in the same geographic Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the epresented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders .04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Store Supervisor/Sales Manager earning \$5,120 per 2844976 of Income - Rec nonth on the loan application. According to the Statement of Financial Affairs on the Bankruptcy petition filed 02/12/2008 by the Borrower with _Underwriting Flags Present he District of Arizona Bankruptcy Court, the Borrower's income for the year of the subject loan closing of 2006 was \$4,006 or \$333 per month. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable 2006-2 No Fraud ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation 2006-2_No Event o contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. in addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or ar agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Store Supervisor/Sales Manager earning \$5,120 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
08-13555-mg | Doc 46080-7 | Filed 08/22/14 | Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 28 0122283203 The subject loan classed on 08/14/2006 in the prount of 33,000, as a yer chase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Docume reach, with a 20%/109% boar 10 Value / Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.08% Debt To Income Ratio BNCMT 2006-2 \$37,000.00 2844978 DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/29/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Asset The Borrower misrepresented his ownership of assets. The loan file contained a Verification of Deposit (VOD) for the Borrower's checking account. 2844978 Misrepresentation Misrenresentatio of Assets dated 08/17/2006, which indicated a current balance of \$3,818. An audit Verification of Deposit (VOD) was obtained, which revealed the _Underwriting - With Red Borrower's account balance on 08/17/2006 was \$480. Methodology -Flags Present Deemed MnA Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2006-2_No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was 2006-2_No Event o ommitted in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that he had \$3,818 in assets when in fact he had \$480, meaning the amount of assets disclosed was misrepresented by \$3,337, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati he Borrower misrepresented his housing history. The loan application reflected the Borrower rented his current residence for 1 year with a 2844978 of Housing monthly payment of \$675. The loan file contained a Verification of Rent (VOR) from a management company, which indicated the Borrower had n of Housing Underwriting listory - With ented his current residence since 09/08/2005 with a payment of \$675 per month. A verbal audit Verification of Rent was obtained, which revealed Methodology -Red Flags Preser the Borrower's current address was not managed by the management company indicated on the VOR. Additionally, the Verification of Rent was dated 08/08/2007; however, the loan closed on 08/14/2006. This is a red flag that the Borrower's housing history was misrepresented. Deemed MnA Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. 2006-2 No Fraud The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2006-2 No Event o Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage, Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated on the loan application that he rented his current residence from the managemen company that completed the Verification of Rent (VOR), which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Certificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Owen US Bank Final Version 10

OR-13555-mg

Doc 46080-7

Filed 08/22/14

Fintered 08/22/1

n_US Bank_Final_Ve 29 0123023145	1st	SASC 2006-BC6		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan classed on 10/24/2006 in the enquant of \$552,000 cases between term refinance of an owner occupied detached single family SISA S532,000.00	2846923
270123023143	131	3/3C 2000-BC0		Edan Summary	Loan Juninaly	The subject loan classed on 10/24/2006 in the amount of \$532,000 pass acts and term refinance of an owner occupied detached single family residence located in Planed Shill Development Glub. The bash rask open over a sa Stated Income/Stated Asset (SISA) loan, with a 95% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 43.301% Debt To Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/30/2006, in the loan file.	2040323
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Cash Out Exceeds Maximum Allowable	Cash Out Amount Exceeds Guideline Maximum	The subject loan was approved as a rate and term refinance transaction. Per the final HUD-1 settlement statement dated 10/30/2006, the Borrower paid off two revolving accounts in the amount of \$7,540 and received cash out in the amount of \$544, for a total cash out of \$8,084, which exceeds the 2% of the loan amount or maximum \$2,000 cash back threshold for rate and term refinances. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.	2846923
			1			The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
						Despite the Seller's representations, the cash out to the Borrower exceeded the maximum allowable, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
			4.04(1) () (1)	F-1 1 - 14 - 25		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	2045022
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Verify Transaction Type		The subject loan was approved as a rate and term refinance transaction, which allows 2% of the loan amount or maximum \$2,000 cash back at closing. Per the final HUD-1 settlement statement dated 10/30/2006, the Borrower paid off two revolving accounts in the amount of \$7,540 and received cash out in the amount of \$544, for a total cash out of \$8,084, which was not within the rate and term program guidelines. The subject loan was not underwritten under cash out refinance guidelines, which may have had a significant impact on loan requirements and qualifications.	2846923
			1			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable	
						The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject transaction closed as a cash out refinance and not a rate and term refinance.	
						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
30 0123025439	2nd	SASC 2006-BC6		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	The subject loan closed on 10/17/2006, in the amount of \$21,000, as a purchase money second mortgage of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 20%/100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 43.276% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/25/2006, in the loan file.	2846927
			SAS 2006- BC6_Underwriting		Misrepresentatio n of Employment	The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application. The Borrower indicated he was employed as a Foreman for 2 years on the loan application. An audit verbal verification of employment was obtained, which revealed there was no record for the Borrower's employment.	2846927
			Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Present		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income.	
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2006-BC6_No			The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
			Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2006-BC6_No Event			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
			of Default			In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
						Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as Foreman for 2 years, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
						Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	

Cowen US Bank Final Version 10 The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. The Borrower continued to reside in the departing residence disclosed of the loan application Utility and reject the boost were assumed that the source from 06/2005 through 01/2013. In addition, the L.04 (b) (xviii) (d) of Occupancy 3005 Misrepresentation (1984) - With Red Borrower has never had phone service using the subject property address. The Borrower used the departing residence to obtain phone service. It BC6 Underwriting should be noted the departing residence mortgage has never had a late payment and the subject property has had multiple late payments. The Methodology lags Present Deemed MnA Pool loan file contained a money order for evidence of earnest money deposit which was paid by the Borrower's brother. In addition, the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement reflected a different address for the Borrower than the subject property. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to 2006-BC6_No make timely payments on the subject loan. Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was 2006-BC6 No Eve committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. of Default n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust, but also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Foreman earning \$4,450 per month on the loan 2846927 1.04 (c) (v) SAS Misrepresentati 2006-BC6_No n of Income - No of Income application. An audit verbal verification of employment was obtained, which revealed there was no record of the Borrower's employment. Red Flags Present Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation Same Year 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS ncome Evidence ontain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was 2006-BC6 No Even committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. of Default n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or an gent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Foreman earning \$4,450 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 31 0123032849 SASC 2006-BC6 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 10/10/2006, in the amount of \$218,500, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan Stated \$218 500 00 284694 was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with a 95% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 32.374% Debt To ncome Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 10/18/2006, in the loan file. The loan file contained a title commitment, which reflected the Borrower had a judgment in the amount of \$4,551. The closing instructions and the .04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Pay 284694 SAS 2006approval required the Borrower to pay off the judgment in full at closing. The final HUD-1 settlement statement did not reflect the judgment being atisfaction of Open Collection BC6_Underwriting haid and the loan file did not contain evidence of a satisfaction of the debt Derogatory Debt Methodology -Deemed MnA_Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the satisfaction of pre-existing debts was verified prior to closing or

was confirmed.

ertificateholders.

that the loan proceeds were used to pay off the debts; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

	Bank_Final_Version_9			30	-13555-	-mg	Doc 46	<u> 080-7 </u>	nent		
ount L	Loan Number First o	r Second Deal Nam	Section of the MLSAA Breached	Issue Description	Breach	Breach Count	Rating	Breach Description V - part 3 Pg 117 of 256	Document Type	Original Balance	Tracking Number Comments
1	14430086	SASC 2003		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0 0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.	Unknown	\$0.1	2846484
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003-	Failure to Provide the Subject Note			1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the			2846484
			39EX_Compliance with Applicable		Note Subject			subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan life as evidence of compilance. The loan life did not contain the subject Note.			
			Law					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			
			1.04 (b) (xxvii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Mortgage Fil					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).			
			1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices					Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.			
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003- 39EX Compliance	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	:	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain a final HUD-1.		\$0.0	2846484
			with Applicable Law 1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			
			2003- 39EX_Origination Practices					Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAS 2003-	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL		3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement.			2846484
			39EX_Compliance with Applicable Law					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			
			1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS 2003- 39EX_Origination Practices					Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			
				Failure to Provide		,	4 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act		\$0.	2846484
			2003- 39EX_Qualified	the Origination Appraisal	Qualified Appraisal			(FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did not contain an Origination Appraisal.			
			Appraisal					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.			
								Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.			

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

ONE-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18

2 00014669139	SASC 2003-39EX		Loan Summary	Loan Summary nin	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual anacoval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether	nknown	l I	2846485	
2,00014003133	37-30 2003-33EX		20011 Junimary	255 Summary	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) for Manyaleoperval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in Shelene Avitable subtless of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the			2070483	
		1.04 (b) (xi) SAS	Failure to Provide	e Failure to 13	loan file. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the		\$0.00	2846485	
		2003-		Provide Subject	subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the				
		39EX_Compliance		Note	subject Note.				
		with Applicable							
		Law			The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,				
		1.04 (b) (xxvii) SAS			state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).				
		2003-			mortgage that, medaling, but not minimed to, the subject note, as required by the mortgage board assignment regretinent (mesow).				
		39EX_Mortgage File			Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there				
					evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.				
		1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS							
		2003- 39EX_Origination							
		Practices							
		1.04 (b) (xi) SAS	Failure to Provide		The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be			2846485	
		2003- 39EX_Compliance	Final HUD-1	Provide Final HUD-1	provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain a final HUD-1.				
		with Applicable		1100-1	inc do not contain a final note.				
		Law			The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,				
		1			state, and federal laws.				
		1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS							
		2003- 39EX_Origination			Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
		Practices							
		1.04 (b) (xi) SAS	Failure to Provide	e Failure to 3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and		\$0.00	2846485	
		2003-	the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement.				
		39EX_Compliance							
		with Applicable			The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,				
		Law			state, and federal laws.				
		1.04 (b) (xxxii) SAS			Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
		2003-							
		39EX_Origination							
		Practices							
		1.04 (b) (Failure to Descid	- Failure to Obtain 43	The subject leasure subject to a subject to a subject of the Faderal leads to a father Defense December 4.1			2046405	
·		1.04 (b) (xxxiii) SAS 2003-	the Origination	Qualified 43	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did			2846485	
		39EX_Qualified	Appraisal	Appraisal	not contain an Origination Appraisal.				
		Appraisal							
					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.				
					Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.				
3 00031775562 1st	LMT 2005-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary 0 0	The subject loan closed on 10/05/2005, in the amount of \$160,000, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The	INENA	\$160,000.00	2845226	
					loan was approved as a No Documentation (No Income, No Employment, No Asset Verification) loan, with a 64% Loan To Value/Combined				
					Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to				
					definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.				
		1.04 (b) (xii) LMT	Under-Disclosed	Loan Fees Under- 13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the			2845226	
		2005-2_Compliance		Disclosed	Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and				
		with Applicable			evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan				
		Law - Deemed MnA			complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.				
		1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT			The disclosed finance charge (\$192,200.18) is (\$264.89) below the actual finance charge (\$192,465.07). The Truth in Lending Act considers				
		2005-2_Origination			the disclosed finance charge (\$132,260.10) is (\$204.03) below the actual finance charge. (\$12.740.07). The friction in Certaing Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (\$12.740.07).				
		Practices			2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
		1			Despite the Seller's representations, the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the				
					Borrower.				
		1			Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local				
					law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the				
					Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Findings Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 9

wen_US Bank_Final_Version_9			30	3-13555-ma	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment			
4 00032974289 1st	LMT 2006-8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan classed on 07/31/2006 in the propunt of 270,000 as a purebase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Incomposition of the propunt of 270,000 as a purebase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Incomposition of the propunt of 270,000 as a purebase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Incomposition of the loan file. 41.94% debt-to-income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/26/2006, in the loan file.	\$479,000.00	2845261	
		1.04 (c) (xxii) LMT 2006-8_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (xxiv) LMT 2006-8_No Event of	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year f Income Evidence		The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated secondary income as a Registered Nurse, earning \$3,700 per month on the loan application. Additionally, the Borrower falsely stated net rental income for an investment property in the amount of \$370 per month. According to the Borrower's 2006 tax returns with attached W2 Summary, contained in the post-closing loan file as part of a hardship request, the Borrower's earnings from the secondary employment were \$18,973 or \$1,581 per month for the year of the subject loan closing. The Borrower did not report rental income from or ownership of an investment property on the tax returns.		2845261	
		Default			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
					Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated secondary income as a Registered Nurse, earning \$3,700 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.			
						$\overline{}$		
5 00040656704 1st	LXS 2007-15N		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	The subject loan closed on 05/29/2007, in the amount of \$408,000, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with an 80%/90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 33.02% Debt to Income. There was a manual approval dated 05/17/2007, in the loan file. The Borrower's received \$56,418 cash out at closing.	\$408,000.00	2845513	
		1.04 (b) (xxi) (i) LXS 2007- 15N_Underwriting	Determine	Failure to Determine Reasonable	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Carpenter for 3 years, earning \$7,965 per month and the Co-Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Food Service Director for 5 years, earning \$6,839 per month.		2845513	
		Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Ability to Repay		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
		3			Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
					represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
		1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-15N_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-15N_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentatio n of Income	The Borrower and Co-Borrower misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Carpenter earning \$7,965 per month on the loan application and the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Food Service Director earning \$6,839 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post-closing documentation which reflected the Borrowers 2007 tax return which reflected the Borrowers schedule C net income of \$87,725 or \$7,310 per month. In addition, the post-closing documentation revealed the Co-Borrower's 2006 near year W2 from which reflected gross earnings of \$22,354 or \$1,863 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	300.0	2845513	
					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
					Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's falsely stated income as a Carpenter earning \$7,965 per month and as a Food Service Manager earning \$6,839 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.			
6 00040914103 1st	SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 The subject loan closed on 12/20/2007, in the amount of \$573,750, as a rate-term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 85% loan-to-value, and a 44.69% debt-to-income. There was a Desktop Underwriting approval dated 12/18/2007, in the loan file.	\$573,750.00	2846399	
		1.04 (b) (xii) SARM 2008-2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Origination		Loan Fees Under- Disclosed	The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the APR and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.	30.0	2846399	
		1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM 2008-2_Compliance with Applicable Law			The disclosed finance charge (\$876,983.10) is (\$1,267.23) below the actual finance charge (\$878,250.33). For rescission after initiation of foreclosure, the Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$35 below the actual finance charge. (15 USC Sec. 1635(i)(2)).			
					The disclosed finance charge (\$876,983.10) is (\$1,267.23) below the actual finance charge(\$878,250.33). The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d)(1)).			
					Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower.			
Convright 2013 Digital Risk I					627			

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

00121827505	rsion_9	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		The subject loan classed on 07/14/2006, in the emount of 62/62, 000 rassa each out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence.	Stated	\$262,000.00	2844791
		2.10.11. 2000 1			y		Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 6080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachi The subject loan classed on 07/14/2005 in the amount of 6267,000 as a good out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a subded interme/Verified Assertation, with a 45500 Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a		Q202,000.00	201.751
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC	Enilure to	Failure to	1	47.59% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/21/2006, in the loan file. 3 The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a self-employed Certified Massage Therapist for 6 years, earning \$5,500 per		\$0.00	2844791
			2006-	Determine	Determine	1	month. Income of \$5,500 per month for a Massage Therapist is unreasonable. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at		\$0.00	2044/91
			1_Underwriting	Reasonable	Reasonable		the 75th percentile for a Massage Therapist in 2005 and in the same geographic region was \$3,918 per month.			
			Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay		The fact that is a massage metaps at 2005 and in the same geographic region that 45/520 per month.			
			Deemed MnA Pool		,,		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan			
			1				employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the			
							underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the			
							Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
							Certificateholders.			
0121836605	1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/11/2006, in the amount of \$495,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, 2 unit property. The loan was	Full	\$495,000.00	2844805
							approved as a full documentation loan, with a 90% loan to value, combined loan to value (LTV/CLTV), and a 37.24% debt to income (DTI).			
							There was a manual approval dated 07/13/2006, in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC			3 3	The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Per audit credit report the Borrower failed to disclose an automobile loan that was		\$0.00	2844805
			2006-	n of Debt	n of Debt		acquired prior to the subject's loan closing on 07/11/2006. The Borrower acquired an undisclosed automobile loan 05/2006; loan amount of			
			1_Underwriting Methodology -	Obligations - With Red Flags	Obligations		\$14,272, with a monthly payment of \$428, payment was calculated at 3% of loan.			
			Deemed MnA_Pool				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan			
			1	riesent			employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the			
			1				underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC				distribution of the state of th			
			2006-1_No Fraud				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
			_				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC				and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
			2006-1_No Event of	f						
			Default				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of			
							Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$428 monthly debt, which			
							not only constituetd an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
							Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-1_No Fraud	Misrepresentation of Housing	Misrepresentatio n of Housing	4	3 The Borrower misrepresented his housing history. Per loan application, the Borrower owned and resided at current residence for 10 years. The Borrower filed Chapter 7 Backrupter on 06 (04/2010) seconding to the Statement of Figure 14 Affairs statement. Section 15, the Borrower 15 and 15			2844805
			ZOOD-T_IND FLAND	History - With No			The Borrower filed Chapter 7 Bankruptcy on 06/04/2010; according to the Statement of Financial Affairs statement, Section 15, the Borrower occupied a different property located in Riverside, CA from 1999 through 2009. The subject closed on 07/11/2006.			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC				occupied a difference property located in riverside, GA from 1999 tillough 2009. The aduject closed on 07/11/2000.			
			2006-1 No Event of	f	1		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such		1	
			Default				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
							and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of			
							Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by		1	
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage			
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated on the loan application that they resided at their current residence for 10			

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_9

	Dalik_Filiai_veisi	011_5			Uč	<u> - T3555-</u>	my_	DUC 400	<u> 180-7 - Filed 08/22/14 - Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 - Attachr</u>	пепі			
				1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-1_No Event of Default	Occupancy Misrepresentatio n - With No Red	Misrepresentatio	- 5		The Borrower misrepresented his laters to occurry the wheer property. The Borrower filed Chapter 7 Bankruptcy on 06/04/2010; according to the Statement of inancer Mails statement, Section 5, the Borrower despited a different property located in Riverside, CA from 1999 through 2009. The subject closed on 07/11/2006. According to the appraisal, the subject property was a duplex; the living area for unit 1 was 840 square feet and unit 2 was 864 square feet. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.		\$0.00	2844805	
9 0	00121849848	1st	BNCMT 2006-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0		The subject loan closed on 07/13/2006, in the amount of \$375,000, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 42.727% Debt to	Stated	\$375,000.00	2844825	
									Income. There was a manual approval dated 07/27/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower paid off over \$33,600 in debt and received \$20,293 in cash at closing.				
-				1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Investigate Credit History	Failure to Investigate Credit History	1		The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.		\$0.00	2844825	
									The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the following credit inquiries for the previous 90 days were investigated so as to determine whether such inquires resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application, such that an accurate credit profile was verified:				
									Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
					n of Debt Obligations - With Red Flags	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	2		The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Per the audit credit report the Borrower had 1 undisclosed mortgage at the time of the subject property's origination on 07/13/2006. The Borrower obtained a mortgage in the amount of \$16,000 with an estimated monthly payment of \$129 based on an interest rate of 9.050% and amortized over 30 years in 01/2004 with an undisclosed property location. Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			2844825	
				1.04 (b) (xxii BNC 2006-1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-1_No Event of Default					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$129 monthly debt, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				

Cowen US Bank Final Version 9 The Borrower misrepresented her housing history, Perahe and transfer properties Borrower opened a mortgage in 01/2004 in the amou \$16,000 with an estimated worthly prement of \$249 based on an interextrate of 9.050% amortized over 30 years. L.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 284482 n of Housing 2006-1 No Fraud History - With No Red Flags Presen The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-1 No Event of documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Sorrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated on the loan application that they owned their current residence for 18 years with a monthly payment of \$2,489 and an unknown property with and undisclosed mortgage with a monthly payment of \$129, which onstituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 10 00121852438 RNCMT 2006-1 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 07/26/2006, in the amount of \$306,000, as cash out refinance of an owner occupied condominium. The loan was \$306,000.00 2844829 approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets Ioan, with an 89.736% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 47.764% Debt to Income atio. There was a manual approval dated 07/26/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Failure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Owner of a bridal accessory business for 9 years, earning \$7,600 per month. 2844829 Income of \$7,600 per month for an Owner of a bridal accessory business is unreasonable. Salary com reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Wedding Manager in the same geographic region was \$6,215 per month. The Borrower's stated income exceeded Salary.com Underwriting easonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay 75th percentile by \$1,385 per month, which is a red flag that the Borrower's income was overstated. eemed MnA Pool (Stated) he Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan mploys objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the nderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Improper Monthly debts of \$3,630 were used by the Lender to determine the Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). The origination underwriter used a negative 2844829 Calculation of Calculation of rental income of \$472 per month for the Borrower's investment property and the actual negative rental income was \$872 per month. The Underwriting orrect recalculation results in a monthly debt of \$4.049. Methodology -Deemed MnA Por The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan mploys objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts. The inaccurately calculated debt significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. The loan file contained post-closing documentation which reflected a 2844829 of Employment of Employme nardship letter written by the Borrower dated 07/12/2010 and revealed the Borrower has not filed taxes because she has not had a real job Underwriting With Red Flags Methodology eemed MnA Po The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the nderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-1_No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2006-1_No Event o n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by orrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.

Certificateholders

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Owner of a bridal accessory business for 9 years, which

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen US Bank Final Version 9 The Borrower misrepresented his discased income. The Borrower falsely etated income as an Owner of a bridal accessory business earning \$7,600 per month on the load state. The load file contained good-task documentation which reflected a hardship letter written and .04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentation 2844829 of Income - Rec signed by the Borrower dated 07/12/2010 and revealed the Borrower has not filed taxes because she has not had a real job since 2005. Underwriting Flags Present -Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-1_No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2006-1_No Event of n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by orrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a bridal accessory business earning \$7,600 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 11 00121859094 BNCMT 2006-1 The subject loan closed on 07/19/2006, in the amount of \$171,700, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence \$171,700.00 284484 oan Summary oan Summary The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset (SISA) documentation loan, with a 44.597% Loan to Value/Combined Loan To Value LTV/CLTV), and a 46.64% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/29/2006, in the loan file, 1.04 (b) (xii) BNC Under-Disclosed Loan Fees Under The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the APR 284484 2006-1 Compliance Fees / APR and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such disclosure was with Applicable required to be maintained in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in Law - Deemed MnA all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNO The disclosed finance charge (\$536,117.40) is (\$1,280.83) below the actual finance charge (\$537,398.23). For rescission after initiation of 2006-1 Fees foreclosure, the Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$35 below the actual finance charge. Disclosed - Deer MnA_Pool 1 The disclosed finance charge (\$536,117.40) is (\$1,280.83) below the actual finance charge (\$537,398.23). The Truth in Lending Act 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNO considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d) (1)). 2006-1_Written The disclosed finance charge (\$536,117.40) is less than the actual finance charge (\$537,398.23) by (\$1,280.83). For rescission purposes, the Disclosure -Deemed MnA_Poo Truth in Lending Act considers the finance charge inaccurate if it is understated by more than half of 1% of the note amount (\$858.50) or \$100, whichever is greater. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.23(g)).

Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC

2006-1_Originatio

Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the

Cowen US Bank Final Version 9 .04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to 284484 Underwriting Reasonable Reasonable The Borrower owned a home based landscaping business and was approved with a qualifying credit score of 521 and the Borrower's credit Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) profile reflected that the Borrower had excessive late payments on all open lines of credit from 3x30 days late to 3x30 days late on 4 trades as recent as 05/20006, which was only 2 months prior to the subject loan closing date of 07/19/2006. There were also two unpaid collection accounts in the amount of \$155 and \$255. Additionally, the Borrower had just recently refinanced the subject property only 12 months prior to the subject loan closing and received \$47,399 in cash out from the subject; however, the Borrower could only state total assets of \$50,000; therefore suggesting that the Borrower maintained monthly dues from cash out received from the subject property and not from income earned from the business The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 12 00121860670 3NCMT 2006-1 \$35,000.00 284485 oan Summary oan Summan he subject loan closed on 07/13/2006, in the amount of \$35,000, as cash out refinance transaction. The loan was approved as a stated ncome, verified asset (SIVA) loan, with a 20%/100% loan to value/combined loan to value (LTV/CLTV), and a 41.56% debt to income (DTI). The SIVA loan program requires income to be stated on loan application, verification of employment and assets. There was a manual approval dated 07/25/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to ailure to The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Foreman for a Brick Mason Company for 8 years, earning \$5,750 per month. 284485 Underwriting easonable ncome of \$5,750 per month for a Brick Mason is unreasonable. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th percentile Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay for a Brick Mason in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$4,648 per month. The Borrower's stated income exceeds the Bureau of eemed MnA Pool (Stated) Labor Statistics 75th percentile which is a red flag the Borrower's income was overstated. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the epresented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 13 00121872659 3NCMT 2006-1 he subject loan closed on 06/28/2006, in the amount of \$208,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, planned urban \$208,000,00 284487 oan Summary oan Summan development. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 81,89% loan to value/combined loan to value (LTV/CLTV), and a 28.89% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 06/28/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to 284487 ailure to The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. vestigate Credit nvestigate Underwriting Credit History The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the

eemed MnA Poo

equirements, the Underwriter failed to obtain sufficient explanation from the Borrower.

`ertificateholders

underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

The applicable guidelines required the Lender to obtain a written explanation from the Borrower regarding derogatory credit. Despite these

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen US Bank Final Version 9 14 00121873442 The subject loan classed on 07/13/2005 in the prount of 62/44,700 as a pure hase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated by the residence of the loan was approved as a stated by the residence of the residence of the same of the residence of the same of BNCMT 2006-1 \$244,700.00 2844879 approval dated 07/18/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. 2844879 Failure to vestigate Credit Investigate _Underwriting Credit History The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the Methodology -Deemed MnA Poo underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The applicable guidelines required the Lender to obtain a written explanation from the Borrower regarding derogatory credit. Despite these requirements, the Underwriter failed to obtain sufficient explanation from the Borrower. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 15 00121874150 2844883 RNCMT 2006-1 oan Summary oan Summan The subject loan closed on 07/20/2006, in the amount of \$209,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was \$209,000,00 approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 95% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value, and a 49.85% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There vas a Manual approval dated 07/20/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$9,410. In total, assets of \$9,410 were required to 2844883 be verified. The loan file contained a verification of deposit (VOD) dated 07/26/2006 for the Borrower's checking account, which reflected 60 _Underwriting – Methodology eemed MnA_Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$262 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 16 00121874275 BNCMT 2006-1 The subject loan closed on 07/25/2006, in the amount of \$41,200, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was \$41,200.00 284488 oan Summary oan Summan approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 20%/100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value, and a 48.37% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/25/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (ii) BNC Failure to Pay Tax Failure to Satisfy The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. The origination credit report, dated 284488 2006-1 No 07/20/2006, reported 4 tax liens in the amounts of \$367, \$677, \$1,832 and \$1,165; however, no documentation the debts were parid was Delinguent nrovided Taxes/Charges The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (b) (ix) BNC employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the 2006-1 Mechanics inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Additionally, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject mortgage represents a valid, subsisting, enforceable and 1.04 (b) (v) BNC perfected first lien on the subject property. 2006-1_Valid Lien Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that 4 tax liens reflected on the origination credit report dated 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNO 07/20/2006 in the amounts of \$367, \$677, \$1,832 and \$1,165 were paid.

Underwriting

Deemed MnA Poo

Methodology -

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the

represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

_US Bank_Final_Version_9	08-13555-m	g Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	
	1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC Occupancy Misrepresentatio	2 3 The Borrower misrepresented his jatern to occupany the subject property. The Borrower filed for Chapter 7 Bankruptcy on 01/21/2009 with the 2844884	
	2006-1_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy	2 3 The Borrower misrepresented his laters to occupy the subject property the borrower filed for Chapter 7 Bankruptcy on 01/21/2009 with the United States Bankruptcy of Arizona he sectioned of Francisco Affairs Section 15 requires the Borrower to list all properties	
	n - With No Red	occupied in the last 3 years, which dates back to 01/21/2006. The Borrower's current address listed on the loan application in Phoenix, AZ is	
	1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC Flags Present	the same address the Borrower occupied from 1997 to 12/2007 according the bankruptcy documents; therefore, the Borrower never moved	
	2006-1_No Event of Default	out of his current address into the subject, which was a purchase of an owner occupied residence	
	Detault	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
		documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
		and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
		In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of	
		Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by	
		Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of	
		default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	
17 00124862624 1st SASC 2007-BC4	Loan Summary Loan Summary	0 0 There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the Unknown \$142,000.00 2847100	
17 00124002024	Edul Summary Edul Summary	loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the	
		loan file. The data tape indicated the transaction was a cash out refinance of an owner occupied property at a loan-to-value of 56% with a	
		loan amount of \$142,000 which closed 06/29/2007. There was no critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the	
		data Tape by the Seller. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was	
		represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Provide Failure to	13 All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property located that what was represented on the Data Tape by 2847100	
	SAS 2007- the Credit Provide Credit	the Seller.	
	BC4_Underwriting Package Package		
	Methodology -	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan	
	Deemed MnA_Pool	employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the	
		underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as	
		such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.	
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the	
		represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	
		Certificateholders.	
18/00124864604 1st SASC 2007-RN/C	I loan Summary Loan Summary	00 The subject loan closed on 06/26/2007 in the amount of \$216 000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The \$tated \$216 000 00 2847212	
18 00124864604 1st SASC 2007-BNC	Loan Summary Loan Summary	0 The subject loan closed on 06/26/2007, in the amount of \$216,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The Stated \$216,000.00 2847212 loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset documentation loan, with an 80% loan to value/combined loan to value, and a 45 09%	
18 00124864604 1st SASC 2007-BNC	Loan Summary Loan Summary	The subject loan closed on 06/26/2007, in the amount of \$216,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The Stated \$216,000.00 2847212 loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset documentation loan, with an 80% loan to value/combined loan to value, and a 45.09% debt to income (DTI). There was a manual approval, dated 07/03/2007, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1, dated 07/02/2007, the Borrower	

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_9

	1.04 (b) (xl) SAS 2007- BNC1_Underwriting Guidelines 1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS 2007- BNC1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAS 2007-BNC1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAS 2007-BNC1_No Event of Default	The Borrower misreposented her smalpymen and the pass application. The loan application. The loan individual on a cell phone, who claimed to be a Builder with no land line. The verification document indicated the Lender had to call an individual on a cell phone, who claimed to be a Builder with no land line. The verification document indicated the verifier spoke with the owner and verified the business via the state of Arizona; however, the document did not verify the person reflected on the verification document as the owner of the company, but a manager. Per the Borrower's 2007 tax returns, the Borrower did not earn any wage income in 2007. Furthermore, the Borrower was self-employed as the Owner of an Adult Day Care. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten in accordance with the Originator's underwriting guidelines. Further, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Loa	2847212
	1.04 (b) (xl) SAS 2007- BNC1_Underwriting Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence 1.04 (b) (xli) (H) SAS 2007- BNC1_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAS 2007-BNC1_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAS 2007-BNC1_No Event of Default	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Manager, earning \$5,490 per month on the loan application. Per the Borrower's 2007 tax returns, the Borrower did not earn any wage income in 2007. Furthermore, the Borrower was self-employed as the Owner of an Adult Day Care. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the subject loan was underwritten in accordance with the Originator's underwriting guidelines. Further, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Manager, earning \$5,490 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the certificateholders.	2847212
19 0014363949 1st SAIL 2003	2-BC5 Loan Summary Loan Summary 0 0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. Per the Data Tape, the subject loan amount was \$45,000.	\$45,000.00 2845700

n_US Bank_Final_Version_9	<u>08-13555-ma E</u>	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The deal Estate Settle pant Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction by excelled by the Borrower 3d manifement of the subject transaction by excelled by the Borrower 3d manifement in the 150 Mile as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the	
	1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the	2845700
	2003- the Subject Note Provide Subject	subject transaction Y e exec hted by the Borrowler and a the state of the second as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the	
	BC5_Compliance Note	subject Note.	
	with Applicable	The Calles are seen and our second distriction or continued and selected in all analysis are selected and in the call the calles and the call the c	
	Law - Deemed MnA	The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate	
	1.04 (c) (xii) SAIL	Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).	
	2003-	inortgage rile, including, but not inflice to, the subject note, as required by the mortgage coal sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).	
	BC5_Mortgage File	Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there	
		evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.	
	1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL		
	2003-	Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the	
	BC5_Origination	Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
	Practices		
<u> </u>	1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to 2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be	2845700
	2003- Final HUD-1 Provide Final	provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan	
	BC5_Compliance HUD-1	file did not contain a final HUD-1.	
	with Applicable		
	Law - Deemed MnA	The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,	
		state, and federal laws.	
	1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL		
	2003-	Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.	
	BC5_Origination		
	Practices	Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to	
		materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
	1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to 3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and	2845700
	2003- the Final TIL Provide Final TIL	executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement.	
	BC5_Compliance		
	with Applicable	The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,	
	Law - Deemed MnA	state, and federal laws.	
	1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL	Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.	
	2003-		
	BC5_Origination	Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to	
	Practices	materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
	1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain 4 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act	50.00 2845700
	2003-BC5_Qualified the Origination Qualified	(FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did	
	Appraisal Appraisal	not contain an Origination Appraisal.	
		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.	
		Considerable and a second seco	
		Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.	
20 0015321185 1st SAIL	2003-BC9 Loan Summary Loan Summary 0 0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether Unknown	\$85,000.00 2845707
		the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the	
		loan file.	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_9

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18

Cowen_US	Bank_Final_Vers	sion_9			08	-13555-	ma	Doc 46	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachr	nent		
				1.04 (b) (xvii) (d) SAIL 2003- BC9_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Package	Failure to Provide Credit Package	1	3	O80-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachr The subject loan was underwritten without proper regards the Borroge's easonable ability to repay. The credit package was not provided. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with applicable law.		50.00	2845707
21	. 0030364624	1st	SAIL 2005-7		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application. All of the documents in the loan file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$147,200.00	2845848
				1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL 2005-7_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xii) SAIL 2005-7_Mortgage File 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2005-7_Origination Practices			2	3	Per the Data Tape, the subject loan amount was \$147,200. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject Note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided. Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845848
				1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL 2005-7_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2005-7_Origination Practices		Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	3	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain a final HUD-1. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		50.00	2845848
				1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL 2005-7_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2005-7_Origination Practices	the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	4	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845848

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_9		30	3-13555-	ma	Doc 46	080-7 Č Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachr	nent			
	1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL 2005-7_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	E Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	5	3	O80-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachr The subject loan was subject to a qualified appearsal. Tatle that the federal last little for the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the federal last little for the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a submitted in the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, and a submitted in the Reform of the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, and a submitted in the Reform of the Reform of the Reform of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, and a submitted in the Reform of the		\$0.00	2845848	
22 0121293211 1st SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 06/09/2006, in the amount of \$485,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 56.40% loan-to-value, and a 38.28% debt-to-income. There was a Manual approval dated 06/28/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$485,000.00	2846035	
	1.04 (b) (xii) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default	n of Employment	Misrepresentatio n of Employment	1	3	The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application. The loan file did not contain the Borrower's business license or letter from a CPA to confirm the Borrower's self-employment status. Additionally, the origination credit report did not indicate that the Borrower had a history of being self-employed and reflected the Borrower was retired, which is a red flag that the Borrower was not self-employed. According to a Statement of Financial Affairs, filed by the Borrower with the District of Arizona Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 13 bankruptcy case dated 04/23/2008, the Borrower was not self-employed for the prior the 6 years of the filing date; therefore, the Borrower was not self-employed at the time of the subject loan; therefore, the Borrower's employment was misrepresented. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment a		50.00	2846035	
	1.04 (b) (xii) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default	n of Income - Rec Flags Present - Same Year	Misrepresentatio	2	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a motorcycle business earning \$9,300 per month on the loan application. According to a Statement of Financial Affairs, filed by the Borrower with the District of Arizona Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 13 bankruptcy case dated 04/23/2008, the Borrower was not self-employed for the prior the 6 years of the filing date; therefore, the Borrower was not self-employed at the time of the subject loan; therefore, the Borrower's income was misrepresented. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a motorcycle business earning \$9,300 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure			2846035	
23 0121318067 1st SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 06/16/2006, in the amount of \$188,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with an 85.45% loan-to-value, and a 44.66% debt-to-income. There was a Manua approval dated 06/16/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$188,000.00	2846086	

Cowen US Bank Final Version 9 L.04 (b) (xli) (d) 2846086 SAIL 2006of Income - Red BNC3_Underwriting Flags Present -Courts as part of a Chapter 7 bankruptcy case dated 10/22/2007, the Borrower's income for the year of the subject loan closing of 2006 was \$18,856 or \$1,571 per month. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2006-BNC3_No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Fraud documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Event of Default Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Automotive Technician earning \$3,500 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

OR 13555 mg Doc 46080 7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/1

_US Bank_Final_\	/ersion_9			U	8-13555-i	ma Do	oc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
24 0121318976	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	000	The subject loan classed on 05/23/2005 in the progunt of 5131,050,000 2846089 Unit Development (MUD). The Gall was approved by a Lin Secure of the management of the subject loan to Value (MUD). The Gall was approved by a Lin Secure of the management of the subject loan To Value (MUD). The Gall was approved by a Lin Secure of the management of the subject loan To Value (MUD). The Gall was approved by a Lin Secure of the management of the man
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Cash Out Exceed	c Cach Out	1 2	(LTV/CLTV), and a 49.234% Debt To Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/23/2006, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1 settlement statement dated 06/29/2006, the Borrower received \$2.440 cash back at closing.
•			SAIL 2006-	Maximum	Amount Exceeds	1 3	ref the final hob-1 settlement statement dated obj.29/2000, the bollower received \$2,440 cash back at closnig.
			BNC3 Underwriting		Guideline		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan
			Methodology -	Allowable	Maximum		employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the meniorously used in once writing in extension of relatified in subject to an employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the
			Deemed MnA_Pool		IVIAXIIIIUIII		employs objective manufactural principles within Testace to industries. The selection with a manufactural principle and interest of the selection within the property of the selection of the subject loan. underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
			1				underwriting metriodology daed committee driet the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject roan.
							Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan closed as a rate and term refinance; however, the final HUD-1 settlement statement
							reflected the Borrower paid off revolving debt which is not allowed on a rate and term refinance.
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the
							Certificateholders.
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d)	Failure to Verify		2 3	The final HUD-1 settlement statement reflected the Borrower paid off revolving debt which is not allowed on a rate and term refinance. 2846089
			SAIL 2006-	Transaction Type			
			BNC3_Underwriting		Breach		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan
			Methodology -				employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the
			Deemed MnA_Pool				underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
			1				Descript the College concentrations the subject temperation was approved as a rate and term reference which is not supported by the
							Despite the Seller's representations, the subject transaction was approved as a rate and term refinance which is not supported by the evidence in the loan file. A determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay is not evidenced in the loan file.
							evidence in the loan line. A determination of the borrower's reasonable ability to repay is not evidence in the loan line.
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the
							Certificateholders.
25 0121334502	2nd	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0.0	The subject loan closed on 06/22/2006, in the amount of \$40,600, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was Stated \$40,600.00 2846126
				,	,		approved as a stated income/verified asset loan, with a 20%/100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.22% debt to
							income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/28/2006, in the loan file.
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL	Misrepresentation	Misrepresentatio	1 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Foreman earning \$6,000 per month on the loan 2846126
			2006-BNC3_No	n of Income - No	n of Income		application. A post closing audit verification of employment was contained, which verified the Borrower's hourly wage of \$15, which results
			Fraud	Red Flags Presen	it		in the Borrower earning \$2,600.
				- Same Year			
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL	Income Evidence	•		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such
			2006-BNC3_No				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;
			Event of Default				and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of
							Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Foreman earning \$6,000 per month on the loan application,
							which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.
6 0122242100	1st	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 08/03/2006, in the amount of \$189,900, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The Full \$189,900.00 2844900
	130	5.10 2000 2			y	آ آ	loan was approved as a full documentation loan, with a 90% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.68% debt to
1				I			income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/03/2006, in the loan file. The HUD-1 reflected the Borrower received \$19,277 at
1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			closing.
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC		Failure to Verify	1 3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required to 2844900
			2006-	Assets	Assets		verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$2,666 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$2,666
				Assets			were required to be verified. The loan file did not contain asset documentation.
			2_Underwriting	Assets			
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	Assets			
			2_Underwriting	Assets			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	Assets			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	A33613			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	A33EL3			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	ASSECT			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,666 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	resets			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	ASSECTS			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,666 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	ASSELS			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,666 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the
			2_Underwriting Methodology -	ASSELS			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,666 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the

wen_US Bank_Final_Versi	sion_9			08	3-13555-m	a Doc 46	6080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachr	nent			
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-2_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-2_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations - No Red Flags Present	Misrepresentatio n of Debt	2 3	The Borrower misrepesented her deby obligations. An audity relative payment of \$246. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$246 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			2844900	
27 0122266273	2nd	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/28/2006, in the amount of \$46,942, as a purchase of an owner occupied planned unit development. The loan was approved as a full documentation loan, with an 20%100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value LTV/CLTV, and a 44.42% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/10/2006, in the loan file. The Hud-1 revealed the Borrower received \$862 at closing.	Full	\$46,942.00	2844943	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Assets	Failure to Verify Assets	1 3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify earnest money of \$6,805 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$6,805 were required to be verified. The subject loan file contained page 2 of 8 pages for the 11/2005 bank statement, to verify the escrow deposits for the subject property. The Borrower was required to disclose all 8 pages of the Borrowers' bank statements. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			2844943	
							Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$6,805 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				

Cowen US Bank Final Version 9 28 0122267065 The subject loan classed on 08/16/2006, in the propurt of \$292,000 cases purchase of an owner-occupied single-family detached Planned Unit Development (PUD) The loan day have a proved as a seater mome verified was to occumentation loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined 3NCMT 2006-2 \$292,000.00 2844947 oan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.38% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval, dated 08/16/2006, in the loan file 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$74,998. In total, assets of \$74,998 were required 284494 to be verified. The loan file contained a copy of the Borrower's check for closing; however, the Lender's guidelines required assets be verified Underwriting with a Verification of Deposit or two consecutive bank statements Methodology -Deemed MnA Po The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$74,998 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a General Manager earning \$8.750 per month on 284494 of Income - Red n of Income the loan application. The Borrower filed Chapter 7 Bankruptcy documents with the District of Arizona on 08/07/2009. Review of the Underwriting Flags Present Statement of Financial Affairs, revealed the Borrower, declared under penalty of perjury, 2007 employment income of \$20,695, or 1,725 per Methodology -Near Yea month. Additionally, on 02/21/2013, audit verification of the Borrower's employment was received, which confirmed the Borrower was still employed with the employer indicated at origination. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower eemed MnA Pool ncome Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-2_No Fraud employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-2_No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by orrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a General Manager earning \$8,750 per month on the loan application, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 29 0123023046 SASC 2006-BC6 oan Summary oan Summar. he subject loan closed on 10/10/2006, in the amount of \$190,000, as a purchase of a primary single family residence. The loan was \$190,000,00 approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 100% Loan to Value, and a 44.03% Debt to Income ratio. There was a Manual pproval dated 10/13/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS /lisrepresentati he Borrower misrepresented his ownership of the assets stated on the loan application. The Lender obtained a Verification of Deposit (VOD) 2006-BC6 No Misrepresentation 1 and of Assets dated 10/04/2006 confirming a current balance of \$8,309 in the Borrower's checking account. Further, an audit VOD was received confirmin Fraud - With No Red the balance on 10/04/2006 was actually \$7,476. The assets were misrepresented by \$833 at the time of origination. lags Present 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-BC6 No Ever documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: of Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the borrower falsely disclosed that he had \$8,308 when in fact he had \$7,476, meaning the amount of assets verified was misrepresented by \$833, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 9 30 0123025520 The subject loan classed on 10/25/2006, in the propurt of \$228,000 cases gas bout refinance of a primary single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Docume ratio. There was a Manual approval dated SASC 2006-BC6 \$228,000.00 2846928 0/30/2006, in the loan file. The origination credit report, dated 10/18/2006, contained five credit inquires dated from 08/22/2006 through 10/09/2006. The loan file did 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Failure to 284692 SAS 2006nvestigate Credit Investigate not contain a Letter of Explanation for credit inquiries BC6_Underwriting History Credit History The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Methodology -Deemed MnA Poo employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the following credit inquiries for the previous 90 days were nvestigated so as to determine whether such inquiries resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application, such that an accurate credit profile was verified. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. The audit credit report revealed the Borrower's obtained an installment account the 2846928 SAS 2006of Debt of Debt same month as the subject closing. The account was opened in the amount of \$15,836 with a monthly payment of \$213. BC6 Underwriting Obligations -Ohligations Methodology -The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan With Red Flags Deemed MnA_Pool Present employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2006-BC6 No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of 2006-BC6_No Ever Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by of Default Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$213 monthly debt, which not only constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 31 0123866006 BNCMT 2007-2 he subject loan closed on 12/22/2006, in the amount of \$369,000, as a cash-out refinance of a primary detached single family residence. The \$369,000.00 284508 an Summary oan Summar oan was approved as a Full Documentation loan (24 month bank statements), with a 90% Loan to Value / Combined Loan to Value LTV/CLTV), and a 49.05% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual Approval dated 01/03/2007, in the loan file. Per the final HUD-1 he Borrower received a \$48,316 disbursement at closing. 1.04 (b) (xl) (H) BNC Improper A monthly income of \$7,941 was used to determine the Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). The loan file contained the Lender's 24 month bank 2845082 mprope Calculation of Calculation of statement income calculation worksheet which reflected the Borrower's monthly average income of \$7,546; however, income of \$7,941 was 2 Underwriting used on the final 1008 (transmittal summary) and 1003 (loan application). The correct recalculation results in a monthly income of \$7,546. ncome Methodology -The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan eemed MnA Po mploys objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay.

Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file reveals the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's income, which

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

22 0124045544	rsion_9	CACC 2007 DC0		Lana Currer	J TOOOO	,	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	2047024
32 0124046541	ıst	SASC 2007-BC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		The subject loan classed on 09/01/2005 in the prount p16721,541 as a per base of an owner occupied single family residence located in a planned unit development. P1610 and was approved as a stated leading with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to	2847034
			1 04 (b) (:::\ (-)	Failure to	Failure to	1	Value, and a 43.258% Debt to Income. There was a manual approval dated 01/09/2007, in the loan file. The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Personal Trainer for 2 years, earning \$6,300 per month.	2847034
•			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007-	Failure to Determine	Failure to Determine	1	The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as a Personal Trainer for 2 years, earning \$6,300 per month.	2847034
			BC3_Underwriting		Reasonable		Income of \$6,300 per month for a Personal Trainer is unreasonable. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the average salary at the 75th	
			Methodology -	Ability to Repay	Ability to Repay		percentile for an Athletic Trainer in 2006 and in the same geographic region was \$3,559 per month.	
			Deemed MnA Pool		,,		F	
			1				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan	
							employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the	
							underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower's stated income was unreasonable and significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
							Solicited States and the States and	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the	
							represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	
							Certificateholders.	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d)	Failure to Verify	Failure to Verify	3	Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was required	2847034
			SAS 2007-	Assets	Assets		to verify earnest money of \$3,000 along with 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$4,727 for the	20.703.
			BC3_Underwriting				subject property. In total, assets of \$7,727 were required to be verified.	
			Methodology -					
			Deemed MnA_Pool				The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's assets, so as to confirm the Borrower's strength of savings patterns, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay	
							the subject loan.	
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan	
							employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the	
							underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,106 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	
							Certificateholders.	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d)		Misrepresentation	0 4	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Personal Trainer earning \$6,300 per month on	2847034
			SAS 2007-	n of Income - Rec	d n of Income		the loan application. The loan file contained audit verification of employment which revealed the Borrowers 2006 gross earnings of \$39,444.	
			BC3_Underwriting Methodology -	Flags Present - Near Year			In addition, the loan file contained the Borrower's 2006 tax return which revealed gross earnings of \$38,329 or \$3,194 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of	
			Deemed MnA_Pool		. [unintery tite borrower's income would have decreased considering the borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work.	
			1			1		
			1			1	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan	
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS			1	employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the	
			2007-BC3_No				underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.	
			Fraud			1	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
			1.04 (c) (vii) SAS			1	documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
			2007-BC3_No Event	t		1	and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
			of Default					
			1			1	In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by	
						1	Hous securing the conaterial not the subject toan provines that the envirence, insteading to inacturate statements to be refer by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
			1					
			1			1	Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Personal Trainer earning \$6,300 per month on the loan	
			1				application, which not only constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.	
			1				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the	
							reusant, to the workgage coan sale and exassignment agreement, the lander of the soughet dark of the underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	
						1	Certificateholders.	
						_		

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 Breach Description part 3 Pg 137 of 256 Loan Count Loan Number First or Second **Deal Name** Section of the Document Type Original Balance Fracking Number Comments MLSAA Breached 1 000000019785286 SARM 2005-8XS Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 03/01/2005, in the amount of \$117,600. The loan was approved with a 75.87% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Unknown \$117,600.00 2846202 Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was epresented on the Data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the 2846202 2005he Subject Note Provide Subject subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the 8XS_Compliance subject Note. with Applicable Law Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate 1.04 (c) (xii) SARM Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). 2005-8XS Mortgage File Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided. 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of 2005-8XS Origination the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Practices 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be 2846202 2005-Final HUD-1 Provide Final provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The 8XS Compliance HUD-1 loan file did not contain a final HUD-1. with Applicable Law Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state and federal laws 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005-Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 8XS_Origination Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 2846202 2005the Final TIL Provide Final TIL executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. 8XS Compliance with Applicable Law The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, Deemed MnA state, and federal laws. 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 2005-8XS_Origination Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to Practices naterially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 2846202 1.04 (c) (xviii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2005-8XS_Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The Seller Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that

the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

_US Bank_Final_Ver	31011_0	LXS 2007-5H		Loan Summary	13555-m	ט ט	<u> 20080</u>	J-7 FIIEU U8/22/14 ENLETEU U8/22/14 15.34.18 ALIACHINENI	Ctatad	Ć142.4FF.00	2045.02	
2 000000040061772	1st	LXS 2007-5H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	U	U	D-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject to an closed on 1/09/2007, in the among of \$12.45 are purchase of an investment property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset to an, with a 100% Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 43.62% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 2/07/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$142,455.00	2845602	
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-5H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-5H_No Event of Default	Straw Purchaser Transaction	Straw Purchaser Transaction	1	3	The purchase was an ineligible transaction. Evidence in the file should have put the Underwriter on notice for potential fraud. The loan file contained two post-closing hardship letters dated 1/18/2011 and 12/1/2010 to which the Borrower goes into detail on how he purchased the subject property for his son and family due to his son having bad credit. The Borrower has indicated his was only supposed to be on the loan for a maximum of one year while his son paid the mortgage payments. The loan file contained more than one 1003 in the loan file which reflects the Borrower as a Computer Analyst for 10 years earning \$6,000 per month which was signed by the Borrower. The final 1003 and verbal verification of employment reflects the Borrower as a Coach Bus Driver for 7 years earning \$6,000 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower provided misleading information on the loan file, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			2845602	
. 3 000000040597312	1st	LXS 2007-10H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 5/03/2007, in the amount of \$323,000, as a purchase of a vacation home. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 33.61% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI).	Stated	\$323,000.00	2845468	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-10H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1	3	There was a manual approval dated 4/25/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 33.61% to 69.13%, which exceeds the represented DTI.			2845468	
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-10H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-10H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-10H_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatii n of Income - Re Flags Present - Near Year Income Evidence		2	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Real Estate Agent earning \$13,800 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post-closing 2008 W-2s from the same origination employer which reflects income of \$10,477 or \$873 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self employed in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Moreover, a recalculation of Debt to Income (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 69.13%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Real Estate Agent earning \$13,800 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		\$0.00	2845468	
4 00033404724	1st	LMT 2006-8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 09/29/2006, in the amount of \$498,400, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 37.4% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 09/28/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$498,400.00	2845266	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6

1_US Bank_Final_Ver	rsion_6			08-1	<u> 13555-m</u>	<u>a Doc</u>	46080-7 Filed 08/22/14	
			1.04 (c) (xxii) LMT 2006-8 No Fraud		Misrepresentatio	1 3	The Borrower misrepresented by disclosed income, the Corporate falsely stated income as a Facilities Maintenance Manager earning \$6,000 per month of the loan application and addition of employment received from the Co-Borrower's employer that revealed	66
			_	Red Flags Present	t		the Borrower's income for 2006, the same year the subject loan closed, was \$4,000 per month.	
			1.04 (c) (xxiv) LMT 2006-8_No Event of				The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification and disclosure of the	
			Default				Borrower's income, so as to confirm the adequacy of the Borrower's financial means, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.	
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
							and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by	
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Co-Borrower falsely stated employment as a Facilities Manager earning \$6,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.	
							individual of the constitution of the constitu	
5 00040289548	1st	LMT 2007-8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 04/27/2007 in the amount of \$319,200, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 46%	99
			1.04 (c) (v) LMT	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	1 2	Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 04/27/2007, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. A post closing audit credit report revealed the Borrower opened an installment auto 284529	10
•			2007-8_No Fraud	n of Debt	n of Debt	1 3	loan in 02/2007, in the amount of \$47,408 with a monthly payment of \$605. The undisclosed installment loan was opened prior to the	19
			1.04 (c) (vii) LMT	Obligations - No Red Flags Present	Obligations		subject loan closing.	
			2007-8 No Event of				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
			Default				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
							and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed	
							of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by	
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$605 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	
			1.04 (c) (v) LMT		Misrepresentatio	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Operations Finance Manager earning \$11,500 284525	99
			2007-8_No Fraud	n of Income - Red Flags Present -	n of Income		per month on the loan application. According to a Statement of Financial Affairs filed by the Borrower with the District of New Jersey, dated 09/23/2009, the Borrower's same year income was \$5,243 per month.	
			1.04 (c) (vii) LMT	Same Year				
			2007-8_No Event of Default	Income Evidence			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
			Delauit				and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed	
							of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-mg The subject to an closed on 05/25/2007 by the amount of \$244505 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a State of income/verific classes ban, with afr \$0/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.54% 6 00040444358 LXS 2007-15N \$144,000.00 2845509 Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 05/21/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xxi) (i) LXS Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower's funds required for closing were \$14,154. In addition, the Borrower was required 2845509 to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$2,210 for the subject property. In total, assets of 15N Underwriting \$16,364 were required to be verified. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Pool The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's assets, so as to confirm the Borrower's strength of savings patterns, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$15,177 shortage of verified assets. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xxi) (i) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Clerk With Steps earning \$2,800 per month 2845509 n of Income - Red n of Income and also employed as a Senior Bank Teller earning \$2,500 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment was 15N Underwriting Flags Present obtained from The Work Number which indicated the Borrower earned \$1,451 per month as a Clerk and \$2,075 per month as a Teller for Methodology -Same Year the year of the subject loan closing, 2007. Deemed MnA_Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the 1.04 (c) (v) LXS underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 2007-15N No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2007-15N No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Clerk with Steps earning \$2,800 per month and also employed as a Senior Bank Teller earning \$2,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-mg 00040626251 LXS 2007-17H The subject to an closed on 45/43/2007 in the amount of \$276,000 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated income/Verificous set Idan, with a 100% coan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 43.59% Debt \$270,000.00 2845536 to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 05/11/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income (DTI) in excess of 60%. 2845536 2007-17H_DTI Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income and undisclosed debt results in an ncrease from 43.59% to 95.81%, which exceeds the represented DTI. 2845536 1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his/her debt obligations. A post closing audit credit report, revealed the Borrower had opened a new 2007-17H DTI n of Debt of Debt mortgage in the amount of \$220,400 to refinance their previous property, and pay off the two existing mortgages; however the new Obligations - No Obligations nortgage payment increased to a monthly payment of \$1,833, and was opened within 30 days after the subject loan closed. Red Flags Present 1.04 (b) (xxiv) LXS 2007-17H_No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Fraud documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxvi) LXS 2007-17H No In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed Event of Default of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Moreover, a recalculation based on the Borrowers' undisclosed debt and verified income yields a debt to income ratio (DTI) of 95.81%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$1,833 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Plumber earning \$6,600 per month on the loan 2845536 2007-17H DTI n of Income - Red n of Income application. Post closing documentation, revealed the Borrower's near year 2008 tax returns, which verified their monthly income of \$974. lags Present -It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self employed in the same line of work. 1.04 (b) (xxiv) LXS Near Year 2007-17H_No Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Fraud documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xxvi) LXS 2007-17H No In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed Event of Default of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income (DTI) based on the Borrower's undisclosed debt and verified income yields a DTI of 95.81%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Plumber earning \$6,600 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (b) (xxxvii) LXS Failure to Provide The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2845536 Failure to Obtai

not contain an Origination Appraisal.

2007-

17H Qualified

Appraisal

the Origination

Appraisal

Qualified

Appraisal

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

(FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-ma 8 00040869687 SARM 2008-2 The subject to an closed on 11/25/2007 by the amount of \$284,000 as a rate/term refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence.
The loan was approved as a stated income werfield assertion, with an 80%/89% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 49.12% \$384,000.00 2846379 Debt to Income. There was a Manual approval dated 11/14/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Asset Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his ownership of the following assets. The loan file contained 2 months Bank Statements as verification of 2846379 n of Assets the assets. The statement dated 10/16/2007 reflected total balances of \$25,924 and was support by the prior month's statement. An audit 2008-2_No Fraud Misrepresentatio - With No Red verification of the assets revealed the origination statement had been modified or altered. The audit verification indicated that the balance 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Flags Present on the closing day of that statement was \$5,380. 2008-2_No Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the amount of assets verified was misrepresented by \$20,544; the borrower falsely disclosed that he/she had \$25,924 of assets when in fact he/she had \$5,380, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2846379 The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as self employed owner of a Facilities Maintenance 2008-2_No Fraud n of Income - No n of Income business earning \$7,650 per month on the loan application. A review of the loan file revealed the Borrower's income for 2007 on a 1040 Red Flags Preser return provided for a loan modification. The return indicated the Borrower's income for 2007 was \$13,630 or \$1,136 per month. 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM - Same Year 2008-2_No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a self employed owner of a Facilities Maintenance business earning \$7,650 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 00040904385 SARM 2008-2 oan Summary The subject loan closed on 11/21/2007, in the amount of \$520,000, as a rate term refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. | Stated \$520,000.00 2846394 oan Summary The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 49.53% Debt to ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 12/15/2007, in the loan file. 2846394 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Driver earning \$5,540 per month on the loan 2008-2 No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income application. The Co-Borrower listed income of \$7.760 per month as a Guardian. The loan file contained post closing documentation which Flags Present included the Borrowers' 2007 W-2 and tax return submitted for a modification. The Borrower's primary employment income for 2007 was 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Same Year \$4,599.83 per month and the secondary income was \$768.83 per month. The Co-Borrower's income for 2007 was \$2,763.75 per month. 2008-2 No Event of Income Evidence Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by sorrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated employment as a Driver earning \$5,540 per month and as a Guardian earning \$7.760 on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 10 00046473609 LMT 2007-7 The subject to an closed on 45/45/2007 by the amgung of \$1637,00 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stateon recome/Verified asset loan, with an 50% 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 32.42% Debt to \$163,200.00 2845297 ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 05/03/2007, in the loan file. The HUD-1 Settlement Statement, revealed the Borrower received \$381 at closing. 1.04 (b) (xii) LMT Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final Truth in Lending (TIL) statement to be 2845297 2007-7 Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TII acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. with Applicable Law Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT 2007-7 Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (v) LMT Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Billing and Insurance Supervisor earning 2845297 2007-7_No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income \$7,500 per month on the loan application. Post closing documentation included the Borrower's near year 2009 W-2 which revealed income Flags Present of \$2,632 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same 1.04 (c) (vii) LMT Near Year employer in the same line of work. 2007-7 No Event of Income Evidence Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Billing and Insurance Supervisor earning \$7,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 11 00047150271 LXS 2007-16N Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 07/20/2007, in the amount of \$280,000, as a rate term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. Stated \$280,000.00 2845530 The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 63.64% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 33.12% Debt to ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 07/10/2007, in the loan file. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's 2845530 1.04 (b) (xxi) (i) LXS Failure to Failure to income, so as to confirm the adequacy of the Borrower's financial means, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable etermine 16N Underwriting Reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of Reasonable Methodology -Ability to Repay Ability to Repay credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and Deemed MnA Pool (Stated) warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the salary at the 75th percentile for a similar position in 2007 and in the same geographic region was \$1,598 per month. The Borrower's stated income is more than 4 times the Bureau of Labor Statistics 75th percentile. Per the loan application, the Borrower had no assets, the Origination Credit report the Borrower had 2 collections, 6X60 days and 7X90 days late payments. The unreasonable income, the lack of assets and the credit profile are not indicative of a Borrower earning \$72,000 per year and are red flags the Borrower's income was overstated. A re-calculation of the Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based upon a more reasonable income of \$1,598 per month yields a DTI 868.35%. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

n_US Bank_Final_Version_6		08-13555-ma	Doc 4608	<u>0-7 </u>	-		
		Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio	3 3	The Borrower inisrepresented by discipsed income. The Borrower folsely stated income as an Owner of a cleaning business earning \$8,000 per month on the John application. A public rectors search revealed that on 11/18/2011 the Borrower filed for protection in the United			2845530
	2007-	n of Income - Red n of Income					
	16N_Underwriting			States Bankruptcy Court in the District of New Hampshire. The filing revealed that the Borrower's actual 2009 income was \$5,390 or			
	Methodology -	Near Year		\$449.16 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self-employed in the same			
	Deemed MnA_Poo	Income Evidence		line of work.			
	1			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan			
	1.04 (c) (v) LXS			employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the			
	2007-16N_No Fraud			underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.			
	Trada			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
	1.04 (c) (vii) LXS			documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
	2007-16N_No Event of Default			and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
	Event of Belduit			In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed			
				of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
				Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
				Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a cleaning business earning \$8,000 per month on			
				the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.			
				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the			
				represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			
				Certificateholders.			
·	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-16N No	Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio n of Debt n of Debt	4 3	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. A search of public records revealed the Borrower obtained a Home Equity Line of Credit in 04/2007 in the amount of \$152,300, with a calculated monthly payment of \$1,523.		\$0.00	2845530
	Fraud	Obligations - No Obligations					
		Red Flags Present		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
	1.04 (c) (vii) LXS			documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
	2007-16N_No Event of Default			and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
				In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed			
				of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
				Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
				Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$1,523 monthly debt,			
				which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			
<u>.</u>	1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS	Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain	5 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act			2845530
	2007-	the Origination Qualified		(FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did			
	16N_Qualified	Appraisal Appraisal		not contain an Origination Appraisal.			
	Appraisal			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.			
				The Sener represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.			
				Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.			
12 00047214580 1st LXS 2	007-17H	Loan Summary Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 08/10/2007, in the amount of \$155,000, as a rate/term refinance of an owner occupied property. The loan was	Full	\$155,000.00	2845559
		,		approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 54.75% Debt to Income Ratio. There			
				was a Manual approval dated 07/27/2007, in the loan file.			
			.1.	The College was and an extend that the subject less and death are Debte less as Debte (DTI) is supported to			
	1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.			2845559
	1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS 2007-17H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3				2845559
		DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3	Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's undisclosed and re-calculated debt results in an increase from 54.75% to 130%, which exceeds the represented DTI.			2845559

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6		08-2	13555-m	a Do	oc 46080	0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	_			
	1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS 2007-17H_DTI	Improper Calculation of Debts	Improper Calculation of Debts	2		The subject torn was underwriten without proper parally to the gorower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's debt, so as to confirm the borrower's overall creativor timess and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The following facts support the proper calculation of debts: A review of the 1003 and origination credit report indicates the Borrower had \$976 in recurring monthly debts at origination. The correct recalculation results in a monthly debt of \$4,370. A recalculation of DTI based on the Borrower's proper debt calculation yields a DTI of 129.93% which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts.			2845559	
	1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS 2007-17H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xxiv) LXS 2007-17H_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxvi) LXS 2007-17H_No Event of Default	Misrepresentation of Debt Obligations - No Red Flags Presen		3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his/her debt obligations. A review of the loan file and information obtained through Public Records indicates the Borrower purchased another home in Navarre, FL on 08/16/2007, 6 days after the subject loan closing of 08/10/2007. The audit credit report and public records reflects there was a mortgage on this property in the amount of \$280,000 with a \$2,182 monthly payment. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of DTI based on the Borrower's undisclosed debt yields a DTI of 130%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$2,182 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			2845559	
	1.04 (b) (xxiv) LXS 2007-17H_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxvi) LXS 2007-17H_No Event of Default	Occupancy Misrepresentation - With Red Flags Present	Misrepresentatio	5	3	The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. A review of the loan file and information obtained through Public Records indicates the Borrower purchased another home in Navarre, FL on 08/16/2007, 6 days after the subject loan closing of 08/10/2007 and occupied this property as of 08/2007. The origination credit report reflects 8 mortgage related inquiries in the prior 90 days with no explanation of these inquiries or evidence of the underwriter investigation of these. There is no evidence in the file that at least one named Borrower occupied the subject property. A significantly greater risk of default exists on those loans used to finance non-owner occupied properties. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			2845559	
13 00047520218 1st LXS 2007-20N		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 (0	The subject loan closed on 09/07/2007, in the amount of \$440,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated income, verified assets loan, with an 80%/85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 39.21% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 09/05/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$440,000.00	2845571	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-mg The Borrower misrepresented is disclosed income, the Borrower firsely stated income as a Director Of Sales earning \$18,000 per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment was obtained for the Borrower from the Borrower's employer, which revealed Misrepresentatio 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2845571 2007-20N_No n of Income - No n of Income that the Borrower's actual income for 2007; the year of the subject loan closing, was \$118,655 or \$9,887 per month. Fraud Red Flags Present - Same Year 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2007-20N No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; Event of Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Director of Sales earning \$18,000 per month on the loan

application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-mg 14 00047782560 SARM 2008-2 The subject to an closed on 41/45/2008 to the amount of \$335.95 as a purchase of an owner occupied 2 unit property. The loan was approved as a Full Dbumentation loan, with a 90% coal of Value Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 44.99% Debt to Income (DTI). \$535,000.00 2846416 There was a Manual approval dated 01/14/2008, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his/her debt obligations. Public records and the audit credit report indicated the Borrower purchased a 2846416 2008-2_No Fraud of Deht of Debt property on 11/30/2007, 48 days prior to the subject loan closing, and a acquired a mortgage in the amount of \$315,000 with a payment of Obligations -Obligations \$2,323 per month. 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM With Red Flags 2008-2_No Event of Present The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$2,323 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Occupancy The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. A review of public records and utility records indicated the 2846416 2008-2_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy Borrower did not occupy the subject property after the closing date of 01/16/2008, as disclosed. The records indicated the Borrower - With Red remained at the proposed departure residence from 11/1994 to 11/2012. Post-closing documentation indicated payments were made by a 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Flags Present Church organization and the loan file contained a request to assume the mortgage and payments. A post closing letter obtained through 2008-2 No Event of the modification/foreclosure process, indicated the Borrower purchased the subject property for the church in which she was a member of Default the congregation. The Bishop of this church was the occupant of the subject property from 08/2008 to 03/2011 and was confirmed by a letter from the Bishop of the church. The origination package also contains a Gift Letter from the same church for a portion of the down payment in the amount of \$10,000. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Straw Purchaser Straw Purchaser The purchase was an ineligible transaction. The loan file contained post closing letters obtained through the modification/foreclosure 2846416 2008-2 No Fraud Transaction process which indicated the Borrower purchased the subject property for a church in which she was a member of the congregation. The ransaction loan file contained a gift letter for \$10,000 from the church. A letter from the Bishop of the church and the Borrower indicated the church 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM was making the payment and wished to assume the mortgage and monthly payments. Public records indicated the Borrower never 2008-2 No Event of occupied the subject property and indicated the Bishop of the church occupied the subject property from 2008 to 2012. Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower provided misleading information on the loan file, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. The Borrower had no intention of repaying the subject loan as the loan was obtain on behalf of a third party not party to the transaction.

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 The subject to an closed on 07/25/2006 to the amount of \$\$44,995 as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a full Documentation load with air 80% loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 37.74% Debt to Income 15 00121847206 BNCMT 2006-1 \$540,000.00 2844819 DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/02/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xii) BNC Under-Disclosed Loan Fees Under The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the 2844819 2006-1_Compliance Fees / APR Disclosed APR and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such with Applicable Law disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject Deemed MnA loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (b) (xl) (g) BNC The disclosed finance charge (\$1,308,409.62) is (\$511.60) below the actual finance charge(\$1,308,921.22). The Truth in Lending Act 2006-1 Fees considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge, (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d)(1)). Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower. 1.04 (b) (xl) (h) BNC Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local 2006-1 Written law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Disclosure -Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Deemed MnA Pool 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2006-1 Origination Practices 1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC Improper mproper The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's debt, 2844819 Calculation of Calculation of so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the 1 Underwriting Dehts Dehts Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrower's debts. Monthly debts of \$7,293 were used by the Lender to determine the DTI. The following facts support the proper calculation of debts: The origination credit report reflects 2 revolving accounts with an aggregate payment of \$3,690 per month which were not included in the debt calculation. The file does not contain evidence to support the omission The correct recalculation results in a monthly debt of \$10.983. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 16 00124442583 SASC 2007-BC4 oan Summary he subject loan closed on 04/10/2007, in the amount of \$166,250, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence \$166,250.00 2847065 The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49.711% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 04/16/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) 2847065 Failure to Failure to The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's SAS 2007-Investigate Credit nvestigate credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in BC4 Underwriting History Credit History determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the following credit inquiries for the previous 90 days were nvestigated so as to determine whether such inquires resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application, such that an accurate credit profile was verified: The origination credit report contained 5 loan inquiries. Loan inquiries give a lender insight as to any potential oans a Borrower may be in the process of acquiring at the time of application for the subject loan. There was no evidence in the file that the Underwriter requested or obtained an explanation from the Borrower for the 5 inquiries, dated from 02/13/2007 through 03/29/2007, listed on the origination credit report dated 04/05/2007. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-ma 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Misrepresentat The Borrower misrepresented ber debrobligations. There was no explended in the file that the Underwriter requested or obtained an explanation from the Borrower for the Singuines, dated from 02/19/2007 through 03/29/2007, listed on the origination credit report SAS 2007n of Debt dated 04/05/2007 to determine if the Borrower had opened any additional debt. Research of an audit credit report revealed the Borrower BC4 Underwriting Obligations -Obligations Methodology -With Red Flags obtained a mortgage in 04/2007, the same month as the subject loan closing in the amount of \$10,302 with a monthly payment of \$150 Deemed MnA Pool Present and failed to disclose the debt on the loan application. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1.04 (c) (v) SAS employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liability. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the 2007-BC4 No underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 2007-BC4_No and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$150 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 17 0031876527 SARM 2006-1 Loan Summary oan Summary The subject loan closed on 11/16/2005, in the amount of \$216,800, as a purchase of an owner-occupied single family property. The loan \$216,800.00 2846210 was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 43.51% Debt to ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 11/30/2005, in the loan file. 2846210 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Custodian earning \$5,700 per month on the 2006-1 No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income loan application. The VOE in the loan file indicated the Borrower's actual job title to be a Grounds Worker. The Borrower also stated Flags Present secondary employment as a Basketball Coach for 8 years, earning \$2,000 per month. The loan file contained an audit Work Number for the 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Same Year employment as a Grounds Worker which revealed the Borrower earned \$2,745 per month. The loan file also contained an audit VOE for the 2006-1_No Event of Income Evidence employment as a Basketball Coach which revealed the Borrower earned \$229 per month. The Borrower's total verified combined income Default \$2.974. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated incomes as a Custodian earning \$5,700 per month and Basketball coach earning \$2,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 18 0031902513 SARM 2005-23 The subject loan closed on 10/19/2005, in the amount of \$520,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan \$520,000.00 2846167 Loan Summary Loan Summary was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Joan, with an 80%/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 42,458% Debt to come Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 11/09/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Co-Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Cosmetics Sales Person earning \$4,900 2846167 2005-23 No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income per month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment and income for the Co-Borrower was conducted through The Work Flags Present -Number which revealed the Co-Borrower earned \$785 per month, in 2006. It is unlikely the Co-Borrower's income would have decreased 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Near Year considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. 2005-23 No Event Income Evidence of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Co-Borrower falsely stated employment as a Cosmetics Sales Person earning \$4,900 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 19 0032180358 SARM 2006-2 The subject to an closed on 12/86/2005 to the amoun of \$1947,96 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated income/Verified asset to an with an 30%/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 44.98% Debt to \$594,296.00 2846237 ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 12/06/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Finance Manager of an Auto Dealership 2846237 2006-2 No Fraud n of Income - No In of Income earning \$15,000 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation which included a hardship Red Flags Presen letter which revealed the Borrower's actual income for the same year as the subject's loan closing was \$11,000 per month. 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM - Same Year 2006-2_No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Finance Manager earning \$15,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 20 0032584054 SARM 2006-4 The subject loan closed on 03/14/2006, in the amount of \$680,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan \$680,000,0 284626 oan Summary Loan Summary was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/95% Loan to Value/Combine Loan to Value, and a 37.50% Debt to ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 03/14/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented his/her employment on the loan application. The loan file only contained a CPA letter which indicated the 2846261 2006-4 No Fraud n of Employment n of Employmen Borrower had been self-employed but did not disclose the ownership percentage as required. There was no evidence in the file that the With No Red Underwriter obtained the Borrower's two year Business License and ownership percentage to document a 24 month employment history Flags Present 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM verification as required by the underwriting guidelines. The loan file contained a hardship letter from the Borrower which revealed the 2006-4 No Event of Borrower was not employed as stated on the loan application. The Borrower was not employed receiving income from the Ownership of a Default Real Estate Investment business. The loan file contained a hardship letter from the Borrower which revealed the Borrower was employed as Loan Officer at the time of the subject's loan closing. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as Owner of a real estate investment business for 3.1 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a Real Estate Investment Business 2846261 2006-4 No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income earning \$19,000 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained a hardship letter from the Borrower which revealed the Flags Present -Borrower was not employed as stated on the loan application. The Borrower was not employed receiving income from the Ownership of a 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Same Year Real Estate Investment business. The loan file contained a hardship letter from the Borrower which revealed the Borrower was employed as 2006-4 No Event of Income Evidence a Loan Officer at the time of the subject's loan closing. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Owner of a Real Estate Investment Business earning \$19,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 The subject to an closed on 45/29/2006 by the arrount of \$880 be as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a State of income/Verificous set to arround an adventor of the company of the company of the subject to a subject to a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a State of income of the company 21 0032775603 SARM 2006-7 \$680,000.00 2846287 Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 04/25/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Manager earning \$16,615 per month on the 2846287 2006-7 No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income loan application. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation for the purpose of obtaining a home modification loan. The Flags Present -Borrower provided the subsequent year W-2 which revealed the Borrower's actual income was \$10,522. It is unlikely the Borrower's 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Near Year ncome would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. 2006-7_No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Manager earning \$16,615 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 22 0037979267 SARM 2006-5 oan Summary The subject loan closed on 04/03/2006, in the amount of \$1,500,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. Stated \$1,500,000.00 284626 Loan Summary The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 69.77%/80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 35.45% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 03/30/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Right of Failure to "The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a valid Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided 2846267 2006-5_Compliance Rescission Invalid Provide Right of to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. with Applicable Law /Incomplete / Rescission Origination Stale / Incorrect The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2006-5 Origination Despite the Seller's representations, the Right of Rescission disclosure contained in the loan file was invalid for the following reason: The Practices loan disbursement date (20060405) is earlier than, or the same day as, the calculated "Right to Cancel Expire Date" (20060406). Under Reg Z, funds may not be disbursed to the borrower until after the expiration of the rescission period. 1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARN 2006-5 Compliance with Applicable Law

disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file.

harge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge.

1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Under-Disclosed Loan Fees Under-

Disclosed

2006-5 Compliance Fees / APR

with Applicable Law

1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2006-5_Origination Practices

1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM

2006-5_Compliance with Applicable Law

- Origination

The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the

The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,

The applicable guidelines required all loans to comply with Federal, State and Local laws and regulations. The disclosed finance charge (\$2,398,725.85) is (\$409.79) below the actual finance charge(\$2,399,135.64). The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance

Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower.

APR and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such

2846267

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-ma The Borrower misrepresented is disclosed income. The Borrower losely stated income as a President earning \$40,000 per month on the loan application. The born fire contained the Borrower's lax return to 2006 obtained post-closing, for the year of the subject loan closing, 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentation 2846267 2006-5 No Fraud n of Income - No n of Income Red Flags Present which revealed the Borrower's actual income was \$20,120 per month. 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM - Same Year 2006-5 No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a President earning \$40,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 23 0038232682 SARM 2006-6 oan Summary The subject loan closed on 05/08/2006, in the amount of \$100,500, as a cash out refinance of a non owner occupied single family \$100,500.00 2846272 oan Summary Stated esidence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 75% Loan to Value/Combine Loan to Value, and a 29.81% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a manual approval dated 05/04/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his/her debt obligations. The audit credit report and public records obtained from MERS and Sitex revealed 2846272 2006-6 No Fraud n of Debt of Debt the Borrower refinanced an investment property on the same day as the subject loan closing with the same Lender; however, the new Obligations -Obligations mortgage was not disclosed on the application or included in the DTI calculation. The Borrower refinanced the investment property located 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM With Red Flags in Jacksonville, FL and obtained a mortgage in the amount of \$73,500 with a monthly payment of \$527. 2006-6_No Event of Present Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$527 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of an appraisal business earning 2846272 2006-6 No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income \$19,500 per month on the loan application. According to a Statement of Financial Affairs, filed by the borrower with the Middle District of Florida Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 7 bankruptcy case dated 12/02/2009, the borrower indicated annual income for the year Flags Present -1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Near Year ending 2007 of \$24,000 from the business, or \$2,000 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the 2006-6_No Event of Income Evidence Borrower was self employed in the same line of work. Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Owner of an appraisal business earning \$19,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 08-13555-ma 24 0038341905 The subject to an closed on 96/23/2006 by the amount of \$112,800 as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence.
The loan was approved as a stated income werned assets loan, with a 74.32% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 43.77% Debt SARM 2006-7 \$512,810.00 2846339 to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/22/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his/her debt obligations. Public records and the Audit Credit Report reflected the Borrowers purchased a 2846339 2006-7 No Fraud of Debt n of Debt property on 06/21/2006, two days prior to the subject loan closing, and acquired a first and second mortgage in the amounts of \$304,792 Obligations -Obligations and \$75,522 with payments of \$1,587 and \$179. The Audit Credit Report also indicated the Borrower traded in an automobile and acquired 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM With Red Flags new automobile loan in 05/2006, the month prior to the subject's loan closing in the amount of \$44,015 with a payment of \$1,222, which 2006-7_No Event of Present was an increase in payment of \$487 of the prior automobile payment and failed to disclose the debt on the loan application. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$2,253 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 25 0038865192 SAS 2006-Z he subject loan closed on 09/18/2006, in the amount of \$69,600, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan \$69,600.00 2844750 oan Summary oan Summary was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 46.90% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 09/13/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xix) (j) SAS Failure to The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's 2844750 ailure to credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in nvestigate Credit nvestigate Z Underwriting Credit History determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the following credit inquiries for the previous 60 days were investigated so as to determine whether such inquires resulted in additional debt undisclosed on the loan application, such that an accurate credit profile was verified: The applicable guidelines required a written letter of explanation from the Borrower for derogatory credit to appropriately document the transaction in which they failed to do. The origination credit report, dated 06/19/2006, reflected the Borrower had 14 collections. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xix) (j) SAS Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify There is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the 2844750 2006 VOM/VOR Housing History accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Z Underwriting Methodology -The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan eemed MnA_Pool employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

Certificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Vers	sion_6		08-1	L3555-ma	Doc 46	5080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
		1.04 (b) (xix) (j) SAS 2006- Z_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Verify Assets	Failure to Verify Assets	3 3	Per the final-tiplo-1 settlement (atemps), the force of the closing were \$1,937. In addition, the Borrower was required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, incirest, taxes and instraince (PITI) totaling \$893 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$2,830 were required to be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,784 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
26 0124033523	1st BNCMT 2	007-2	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed in the amount of \$133,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.
		1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-2_Origination Practices	,	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain a final HUD-1. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
		1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-2_Origination Practices	,	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The loan file did not contain a final TIL statement. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
		1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2007-2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC 2007-2_Origination Practices	Rescission	Failure to Provide Right of Rescission	4 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain a Right of Rescission, which is evidence of the subject loan's noncompliance with applicable law. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

The subject to an did not complete with applicable provide Real-State Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Bood wer and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6 1.04 (b) (xii) BNC 2845113 2007-2 Compliance the Subject Note Provide Subject with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (b) (xxxiii) BNC state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate 2007-2_Origination Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Practices Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided. Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (xxxiv) BNC Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2845113 2007-2 Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The loan file did Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal not contain an Origination Appraisal. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

			Digital Kisk - Loan Keview Findings
Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_6	08-	<u> 13555-ma</u>	n Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
27 32747800 1st LXS 2006-8	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0 The subject to an closed on 04/47/2006 in the amount of \$204,800 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated income/Verified assets from, with an 80/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.6416% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 04/13/2006, in the loan file.
	1.04 (b) (xvii) LXS 2006-8_DTI_Pool 2	DTI Exceeds 60%	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's more reasonable income results in an increase from 42.64% to 156.91%, which exceeds the represented DTI.
	1.04 (b) (xviii) (D) LXS 2006- 8_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool (Stated) 1	Failure to Determine Reasonable Ability to Repay	The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's income, so as to confirm the adequacy of the Borrower's financial means, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Income of \$8,950 per month for a Real Estate Transaction Manager is unreasonable. Salary.com reported the average salary at the 75th percentile for a Senior Real Estate Clerk and in the same geographic region was \$2,432 per month. The Borrower's stated income is more than 3.5 times the Salary.com 75th percentile which is a red flag the Borrower's income was overstated. A re-calculation of the DTI based upon a more reasonable income of \$2,432 per month yields a DTI of 156.91%,, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Copyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 3/11/2013 5:40:44 PM

Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule

Repor

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
08-13555-mg | Doc 46080-7 | Filed 08/22/14 | Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 | Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 5 Loan Count Loan Number Breach Description V - part 3 Pg 157 of 256 he subject loan closed on 03/27/2007, in the amount of \$680,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied 2-unit property. The loan was 000000040390221 LXS 2007-9 oan Summarv Loan Summary pproved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47% Debt to ncome (DTI). There was a manual approval dated 03/23/2007, in the loan file. L.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provid Failure to he subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 284568 2007-9 Compliance Final HUD-1 executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. Provide Final with Applicable HUD-1 Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2007-9 Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his ownership of the following assets: The loan file contained bank statements for the Borrower's savings and 284568 2007-9_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Assets checking account, dated 01/10/2007 through 03/15/2007, which reflected an ending balance of \$57,428 for the checking account and ending - With No Red balance of \$301 for the savings account. An audit verification of deposit revealed the Borrower's ending balance for the same checking account on the same date of 03/15/2007 was \$10,428. In addition, the audit verification of deposit (VOD) reflected the Borrower's ending 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present 2007-9_No Event o alance for this same checking account on 02/13/2007 and 02/16/2007 had no variation and was also \$10,428 on those prior dates. Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely disclosed that he had \$27,055 for closing and 2 months reserves of \$7,026, a total of \$41,107, when in fact he had only \$10,428, meaning the amount of disclosed assets \$57,428 was misrepresented by \$47,301, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. A search of Accurint revealed the Borrower lived at an undisclosed 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Occupancy /lisrepresentati 284568 property located on Astoria, NY from 12/2006 through 10/2012. Accurint also reported numerous individuals occupied both units of the 2007-9_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy - With Red subject property from 04/1989 through 10/2012. The residence section of the Borrower's origination credit report indicated the Borrower 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS lags Present ived at the undisclosed property located in Astoria, NY with a first report date of 12/2006 and a last report date of 02/2007. All three 2007-9_No Event o reporting agencies indicated this was the Borrower's current residence. There was no evidence in the loan file that discrepancy was addressed Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of

Cowen US Bank Final Version 5

2 000000045235348 The subject loan classed on 01/29/2003 in the amount of £429,000 as a pure base of an owner occupied single family property. The loan was approved as a State income/Terribed sset (SVA bar, with a 190% feat of Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 21.50% Debt LXS 2007-5H \$499,000.00 284561 Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/25/2007, in the loan file. The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Registered Nurse earning \$8,590 per month on 2845612 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation 2007-5H_No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income the loan application. The Co-Borrower listed income of \$8,500 per month as a Radiographer earning \$ 8,500 per month. An audit relags Present verification of employment was conducted through the Work Number revealing the Borrower earned \$65,763, or \$5,480 per month, and the 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Co-Borrower earned \$61,348, or \$5,112 per month, in 2007, the year of the subject loan closing. Same Year 2007-5H No Event ncome Evidence of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. in addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrowers or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Registered Nurse earning \$8,590 per month and Co-Borrower falsely stated employment as a Radiographer earning \$8,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 00019781269 SASC 2005-GEL4 oan Summary oan Summary he subject loan closed on 02/16/2005, in the amount of \$41,225, as a cash-out refinance of a non-owner occupied, single family residence. \$41,225.0 284672 The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset (SISA) documentation loan, with an 85% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 25.41% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 02/08/2005, in the loan file. 284672 1.04 (c) (v) SAS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. The audit credit report revealed the Borrower obtained an undisclosed mortgage in the 2005-GEL4 No n of Debt n of Debt amount of \$153,200 in 02/2005, which was the same month as the subject loan closing on 02/16/2005. The undisclosed mortgage resulted in Fraud Obligations -Obligations an undisclosed monthly mortgage payment of \$2,557 per month and secured an unknown property. With Red Flags 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such resent 2005-GEL4_No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; Event of Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by

constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.

Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligation by failing to disclose a \$2.557 monthly debt, which Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Wen US Bank Final Version 5

OR 12555 mg Doc 46080 7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14

n_US Bank_Final_Version_5		1.	08	<u>-13555-mg</u>	<u> DOC 4608</u>	<u>0-7 Filed </u>	<u>nent</u>			
4 00031709736 1st	LMT 2005-2	Loa	an Summary	Loan Summary	0 0 The sappr	0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachn subject loan classed on 09/20/2005 in the mount of £80,000 as a sympless of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was oved as a Full occume than loan, with an \$96/1500 can do value on the loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.73% Debt to Income (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 09/13/2005, in the loan file.	Full	\$80,000.00	2845216	
	2005-2 1.04 (c	-2_No Fraud n o Ob (c) (vii) LMT Wii -2_No Event of Pre	th Red Flags	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	1 3 The I two Cred The ! docu and !	Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. A review of public records and an audit credit report revealed that the Borrower acquired properties, located in Hinesville, GA, the month prior to the subject loan closing, utilizing 4 mortgages totaling \$292,600. Per the Audit it Report, the 4 mortgages had total combined monthly payments of \$1,769 per month. Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such imentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Idition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of a securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by ower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			2845216	
			cupancy srepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	cons	ite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligation by failing to disclose \$1,769 monthly debt, which titutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. The loan file contained a post closing schedule of real estate which aled the subject property was a rental property. Additionally, a search of public records found no indication that the Borrower ever lived		\$0.00	2845216	
	1.04 (c	n - (c) (vii) LMT Fla -2_No Event of	With No Red Igs Present	ii oi occapancy	in th licen othe	e subject property. A search for Driver's license records obtained through Accurint, revealed that Borrower maintained their driver's se in the state of Missouri through 2009; however, the subject property was located in Georgia. In addition, Accurint reflected several rindividuals occupying the subject property prior to and after the subject's loan closing. Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
					docu and t In ad	mentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. Idition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of a securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
					Desp	ower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. site the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of ult under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				
5 00031725963 1st	LMT 2005-2	Loa	an Summary	Loan Summary	locat Valu the l	subject loan closed on 09/26/2005, in the amount of \$223,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence and in a planned unit development property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 64.45% Loan to e/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor manual approval included in oan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan ram in effect at the time of application in the loan file.	Stated	\$223,000.00	2845221	
	2005-2 1.04 (c	-2_No Fraud n o Ob (c) (vii) LMT Wii -2_No Event of Pre	th Red Flags	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	1 3 The I prior balar The State of the State	Borrower misrepresented their debt obligations. An audit credit report obtained revealed the Borrower had obtained an undisclosed debt to the subject loan closing. The subject loan closed on 09/26/2005. The Borrower acquired the undisclosed debt in 08/2005 with a acce of \$8,396 and a monthly payment of \$350. Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such imentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		\$0.00	2845221	
					Trust Borro Desp	dition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of a securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by ower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligation by failing to disclose a \$350 monthly debt, which titutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				
	2005-2 1.04 (c	-2_No Fraud n o Fla (c) (vii) LMT Sar -2_No Event of Inc			on th 2005 The s docu	Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Casino Cocktail Server earning \$6,300 per month the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment conducted through The Work Number revealed that the Borrower's actual income, the same year as subject loan closing was \$16,484, or \$1,939 per month based on 8.5 months employment in 2005. Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such mentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			2845221	
					Trust Borro Desp	idition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of a securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by ower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Solution of the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Casino Cocktail Server earning \$6,300 per month on the loan ication, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.				
1	1 1									

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
en US Bank Final Version 5 OR 13555 mg Doc 46080 7 Filod 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/

$\overline{}$	Bank_Final_Versi				UC	<u> </u>	шу	DUC 46	<u> 080-7 - Filed 08/22/14 - Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 - Attachn</u>	ient		2015(
6 0	00032139859	1st	LXS 2006-3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachn The subject loan classed on 12/08/2005 in the program of 52,000 at 2000	Stated	\$71,000.00	2845415
									approved as a State# Incom t /V4#ifled Asset loan, 3 th 3 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			
-+				1.04 (c) (v) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Microprocentatio	1	2	The Borrower misrepresented their debt obligations. An audit credit report revealed that the Borrower had acquired two undisclosed			2845415
				2006-3_No Fraud	n of Debt	n of Debt	_		properties. The subject loan closed on 12/08/2005. The first property was acquired on 11/04/2005 with a mortgage balance of \$91,200 and a			2043413
				2000 3_110 11444	Obligations - No				monthly payment of \$662; the second property was purchased on 12/23/2005 with a first mortgage balance of \$74,400, a monthly payment			
				1.04 (c) (vii) LXS	Red Flags Present	Obligations			of \$265 and a second mortgage with a balance of \$18,600, a monthly payment of \$191.			
				2006-3 No Event of					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
				Default					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
									documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
									and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of			
									Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
									Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligation by failing to disclose \$1,118 in monthly debt, which			
									constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			
7												
7 (00033380148	1st	LMT 2006-8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 10/04/2006, in the amount of \$79,500, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family residence.	Stated	\$79,500.00	2845265
									The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 75%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There			
									was neither an Automated Underwriting nor Manual Approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly			
									stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.			
					Misrepresentatio		1	. 3	The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Substitute Bus Driver earning \$1,500 per			2845265
				2006-8_No Fraud	n of Income - Red	n of Income			month on the loan application. The Co-Borrower listed Social Security income of \$1,800 per month. The loan file contained post-closing			
					Flags Present -				documentation which included the Borrower's 2008 W-2, near year income of \$669 per month. Additionally, the Co-Borrower provided their			
				1.04 (c) (xxiv) LMT					2008 social security award letter revealing benefits of \$15,473, or \$1,612 per month, which includes an additional 125% allowance for non-			
				2006-8_No Event of	Income Evidence				taxable status. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in			
				Default					the same line of work.			
									The follow represented and uncreated, in part, that he decument submitted for long underwriting use falcified pointer did such			
									The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;			
									and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
									and that, no radio was committed in connection with the origination of the subject foun.			
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of			
									Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			
									Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated income as a Substitute Bus Driver earning \$1,500 per month and Co-			
									Borrower's Social Security income of \$1,800 on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage			
									and/or Deed of Trust.			
						1						
8 (00036287308	1st	LMT 2005-1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	n	0	The subject loan closed on 9/20/2005, in the amount of \$93,600, as a purchase of an owner occupied single-family residence. The loan was	Full	\$93,600.00	2845176
						,			approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 44.83% Debt to Income ratio. There		\$35,000.00	
									was a manual approval dated 9/19/2005, in the loan file.			
				1.04 (b) (xii) LMT	Under-Disclosed	Loan Fees Under-	1	. 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the			2845176
-			•	2005-1_Compliance	Fees / APR	Disclosed			Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and			
-				2003 1_compliance				1	I de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	1	1	1
				with Applicable					evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file.			
-				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,			
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT	·							
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT 2005-1_Origination	·				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The disclosed finance charge (\$153,299.23) is (\$497.94) below the actual finance charge (\$153,797.17). The Truth in Lending Act considers the			
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT 2005-1_Origination					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT 2005-1_Origination					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The disclosed finance charge (\$153,299.23) is (\$497.94) below the actual finance charge (\$153,797.17). The Truth in Lending Act considers the			
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT 2005-1_Origination					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The disclosed finance charge (\$153,299.23) is (\$497.94) below the actual finance charge (\$153,797.17). The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d)(1)).			
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT 2005-1_Origination					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The disclosed finance charge (\$153,299.23) is (\$497.94) below the actual finance charge (\$153,797.17). The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d)(1)). Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower.			
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT 2005-1_Origination					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The disclosed finance charge (\$153,299.23) is (\$497.94) below the actual finance charge (\$153,797.17). The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d)(1)). Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local			

Cowen_US

US Bank_Final_V		LVC 200C 2		liana Currini	J-TOOOO-II	ng poc-	The white bleed clear department of 170/2006 in the arrangement of 170/2006 in the control of 170/2006	CICA	6450 500 00	2045426	
9100037424587	1st	LXS 2006-3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm The subject loan classed on 01/20/2005 in the program of \$150,500 as a period trefinance of an owner occupied, single family property. The loan was approved & a State of Action with \$100,600 and to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 19.90% Debt to Income ratio. There was a manual approval dated 01/19/2006, in the loan file. Public records indicate the Borrower deceased as of 10/09/2010.	SISA	\$150,500.00	2845420	
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-3_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-3_No Event of Default	With Red Flags	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	13	The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Per public records obtained through the Mortgage Electronic Registry System and the audit credit report, the Borrower purchased another property on the same street five days after the subject loan closing on 01/20/2006. The mortgage note dated 01/25/2006 closed in the amount of \$115,332 with a \$1,099 monthly payment. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$1,099 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.			2845420	
									4100 000 00		
10 00040788952	1st	LXS 2007-17H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/12/2007, in the amount of \$122,500, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 48.14% Debt to Income ratio. There was a manual approval dated 07/10/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$122,500.00	2845545	
			1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS 2007-17H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 48.14% to 207.34%, which exceeds the represented DTI of 60%.			2845545	
			1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS 2007-17H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xxiv) LXS 2007-17H_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxvi) LXS 2007-17H_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentatio n of Income	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Head Waitress earning \$3,500 per month on the loan application. The file contained post-closing documentation which included a W-2 for 2007 for the same employer and in the same year as the subject closing revealing income of \$811 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 207.34%, which exceeds the Seller's represented 60% Debt to Income Ratio. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Head Waitress earning \$3,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.		50.0	2845545	
11 00040793259	1st	SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/17/2007, in the amount of \$326,320 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value and a 44.81% Debt to Income ratio. There was a manual approval dated 07/11/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$326,320.00	2846375	
			1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default	n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Near Year	t	13	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Maintenance Crew Leader earning \$4,400 per month on the loan application. According to a Statement of Financial Affairs filed in the Northern District of California, dated 10/28/2010, the Borrower's near year 2008 income was \$2,800 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by			2846375	

Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Maintenance Crew Leader earning \$4,800 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

ON 13555 mg Doc 46080 7 Filod 08/22/14 Entered 08/22

_US Bank_Final_Vei		ARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	-T2222-	my ,	DOC 40	DOOD-1 FIIEU 00/22/14 EIIIEI EU 00/22/14 IS.34.18 AliaCIIIII	EIIL T	\$568.000.00	2046202	
12 00040902231	1st S	ARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	- 00	0	5080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm The subject loan classed on 12/11/2003 in the prount of each of the subject loan classed on 12/11/2003 in the prount of each of the subject loan classed on 12/11/2003 in the prount of each of the subject loan term refinance of an owner occupied, single family property. Is The loan was approved as a proceed on the subject loan to value, and a 49.34% Debt to	Stated	\$568,000.00	2846392	
		1	.04 (c) (v) SARM	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	4 -	2	Income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 09/19/2007, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. The Borrower stated employment on the loan application as an Area			2846392	
			1.04 (c) (v) SARIVI 1.008-2_No Fraud		n of Employment	1 3	3	Manager for 6 years. The file contained post-closing documentation which included the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the			2840392	
		20	JUO-Z_INO FIAUU	- With No Red	ii di Employment			Borrower was a Baker. The origination credit report listed employment for the Borrower as a Baker; however, the discrepancy was not				
		1.0	.04 (c) (vii) SARM	Flags Present				addressed at origination. In addition, the file did not contain any investigation of the Business listed on the loan application which is a Multi-				
			1008-2_No Event of					Level Marketing Business.				
			Default									
		[-]						The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
			ļ					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
			ļ					and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
			ļ									
			ļ					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of				
			ļ					Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
			ļ					Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
			ļ					Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Area Manager for 6 years, which constituted an event of				
			ļ					default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				
	1		ļ					delibert direct die executed Mortgage and or Deed or Trust.				
		1.0	.04 (c) (v) SARM	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	2	3	The Borrower misrepresented the disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Area Manager earning \$7,400 per month on the		\$0.00	2846392	
		20	.008-2_No Fraud	n of Income - No	n of Income			loan application. The file contained post closing documentation which included the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed income of				
				Red Flags Present				\$22,276, or \$1,857 per month, from the Borrower's secondary employment as a Baker. However, the tax return did not evidence an additional				
				- Same Year				income from the primary employer listed on the loan application.				
			008-2_No Event of	Income Evidence								
		De	Default					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
			ļ					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
			ļ					and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
			ļ					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of				
								Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
			ļ					Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
			ļ									
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Area Manager earning \$7,400 per month on the loan				
			ļ					application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.				
13 00046699674	1st S	ARM 2007-8	ļ	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	0	от том от	Unknown	\$189,600.00	2846366	
										\$105,000.00	2040300	
			İ					Approval in the loan file to definitely ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the		\$183,000.00	2540300	
								loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There		\$105,000.00	2040300	
										\$105,000.00	2540500	
			04 (b) (xii) SARM	Failure to Provide	Failure to	313	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller.		\$103,000.00	2846366	
		1.0	04 (b) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Compliance			3 3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There		50.0		
		1.0				3 3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the		5000		
		1. 20 wi	.007-8_Compliance			3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note.		3133,000.00		
		1. 20 wi La	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination			3 :	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,		300		
		1.1 2C wi La	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable .aw - Origination 04 (c) (xii) SARM			3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate		\$0.0		
		1./ 20 wi La 1./ 20	2007-8_Compliance with Applicable .aw - Origination 04 (c) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Mortgage			3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,		\$0.0		
		1./ 20 wi La 1./ 20	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable .aw - Origination 04 (c) (xii) SARM			3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).		\$10,000.00		
		1., 2C wi La 1., 2C Fil	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable .aw - Origination .04 (c) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Mortgage iile			33	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		3133,000.00		
		1.1 20 wi La 1.1 20 Fil	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination 04 (c) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Mortgage ille 04 (c) (xvii) SARM			3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).		30.0		
		1.1 20 wi La 1.1 20 Fii 1.2	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable .aw - Origination .04 (c) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Mortgage iile			3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		\$0.0		
		1.1 20 wi La 1.1 20 Fii 1.2	2007-8_Compliance with Applicable aw - Origination 04 (c) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Mortgage iile 04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2007-8_Origination			333	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		\$0.0		
		1.1 2C wi La 1.1 2C Fil 1.1 2C Pr	2007-8_Compliance with Applicable aw - Origination 04 (c) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Mortgage iile 04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2007-8_Origination	the Subject Note		33	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		J133,000.00		
		1.1 20 wi La 1.2 5 Fil 2.0 Pr	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination .04 (c) (xii) SARM 0007-8_Mortgage ille .04 (c) (xvii) SARM 0007-8_Origination practices	the Subject Note		33	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		30.0		
		1.1 2C wi La 1.1 2C Fil 1.1 2C Pr	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination04 (c) (xii) SARM007-8_Mortgage iile04 (c) (xvii) SARM007-8_Origination reactices04 (c) (xxiv) SARM007-8_Compliance vith Applicable	the Subject Note		3 3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		30.0		
		1.1 2C wi La 1.1 2C Fil 1.1 2C Pr	2007-8_Compliance with Applicable aw - Origination .04 (c) (xii) SARM 2007-8_Mortgage ile .04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2007-8_Origination practices .04 (c) (xxii) SARM	the Subject Note		33	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		\$0.0		
		1.1 2C wi La 1.1 2C Fil 1.1 2C Pr	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination04 (c) (xii) SARM007-8_Mortgage iile04 (c) (xvii) SARM007-8_Origination reactices04 (c) (xxiv) SARM007-8_Compliance vith Applicable	the Subject Note		33	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		\$0.0		
		1.1 2C wi La 1.1 2C Fil 1.1 2C Pr	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination04 (c) (xii) SARM007-8_Mortgage iile04 (c) (xvii) SARM007-8_Origination reactices04 (c) (xxiv) SARM007-8_Compliance vith Applicable	the Subject Note		33	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		500		
		1.1 2C wi La 1.1 2C Fil 1.1 2C Pr	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination04 (c) (xii) SARM007-8_Mortgage iile04 (c) (xvii) SARM007-8_Origination reactices04 (c) (xxiv) SARM007-8_Compliance vith Applicable	the Subject Note		3 3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		30.0		
		1.1 2C wi La 1.1 2C Fil 1.1 2C Pr	2007-8_Compliance vith Applicable aw - Origination04 (c) (xii) SARM007-8_Mortgage iile04 (c) (xvii) SARM007-8_Origination reactices04 (c) (xxiv) SARM007-8_Compliance vith Applicable	the Subject Note		3 3	3	loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the subject note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there		30.0		

Cowen US Bank Final Version 5 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide 2846366 2007-8 Compliance Final HUD-1 HUD-1 with Applicable Law - Origination The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2007-8 Origination Practices Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM 2007-8 Compliance with Applicable 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 284636 2007-8_Compliance the Final TIL rovide Final TIL executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL statement was missing from the loan file. with Applicable Law - Origination The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2007-8_Originatio Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices 1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM 2007-8 Compliance with Applicable 14 00046814125 SARM 2007-8 The subject loan closed on 06/15/2007, in the amount of \$427,500. There was neither an Automated Loan Approval nor Manual approval \$427,500.00 284636 oan Summary oan Summary included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file contained a lock-in confirmation, loan transmittal and title commitment. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. L.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the 2007-8_Compliance the Subject Note Provide Subject ubject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the with Applicable Law - Origination The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (c) (xii) SARM state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate 2007-8 Mortgage Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.

2007-8_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM 2007-8_Compliance with Applicable

Cowen US Bank Final Version 5 The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, personned and excepted both Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final .04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Prov 2007-8 Compliance Final HUD-1 rovide Final HUD-1 with Applicable Law - Origination The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2007-8_Origination Practices Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM 2007-8 Compliance with Applicable 15 00046896866 LXS 2007-16N oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 06/28/2007, in the amount of \$330,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner-occupied single family property. The Stated \$330,000.0 284552 oan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets Ioan, with a 45.52% Loan to Value/Combined Loan To Value, and a 43.35% Debt to ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 06/28/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS /lisrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated primary income as a Loss Prevention Clerk for a delivery 284552 2007-16N No n of Income - No service company earning \$4,500 per month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of the Borrower's income conducted through The of Income Fraud Red Flags Presen Work Number revealed the Borrower's monthly primary income in 2007 was \$21,392 or \$1,782 per month. - Same Year 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS ncome Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2007-16N No documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; Event of Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by sorrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated primary income as a Loss Prevention Clerk for a delivery service company arning \$4,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 16 00046928958 LXS 2007-16N The subject loan closed on 06/26/2007, in the amount of \$416,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting Approval nor a Manual oan Summarv oan Summarv 284552 approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note and Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller, 1.04 (b) (xxi) (i) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, ne Credit rovide Credit so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the 16N_Underwriting Package ackage Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The loan file only contained a copy of the Note and Mortgage, There were no other Methodology critical documents provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. Deemed MnA_Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.

ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.

Certificateholders

such, there is no evidence that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed.

The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable

Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

V/							Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings	_			
_version_5		•	08	<u> 13555-</u>	-mg	Doc 46	<u> 080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attach</u> i	<u>ment</u>			
1st	LXS 2007-18N		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0	The subject loan clased on 08/03/2003, in the appoint of 6390,000 as a persease of an owner occupied condominium property. The loan wa approved as a State Income of the object in the appoint of the object in the	s Stated	\$300,000.00	2845563	
		1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-18N_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-18N_No Event of Default			2	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Owner of a construction company earning \$5,837 per month and was also employed as a Ski Patrol, earning \$2,000 per month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment was obtained from the Borrower's secondary employer, which revealed the Borrower had been employed as an Advanced Sk Patrol since 1998. However, the loan file also included the Borrower's 2009 W-2 statement from the Borrower's second employer, which indicated the Borrower earned a monthly income of \$1,366 per month during the year of 2009. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self employed and employed on his second job with the same employer in the same line of			2845563	
							documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
							per month and a Ski Patrol earning \$2,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed				
1st	SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Assets Ioan, with a 74.97% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 36.99% Debt to Income ratio. There was a manual approval dated 12/19/2007, in the loan file.		\$530,000.00		
		1.04 (c) (v) SARM			1	. 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	е		2846429	
		2008-2_No Fraud		n of Income							
		1.04 (c) (vii) SARM									
							and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of				
							Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
1st	SAIL 2004-3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 02/04/2004, in the amount of \$237,250, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The	NINENA	\$237,250.00	2845766	
			,	,							
		1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL		Loan Fees Under	- 2	3			\$0.00	2845766	
		2004-3_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA	e Fees / APR	Disclosed							
		1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL									
		Practices						e			
		1st	1st	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-18N_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-18N_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-18N_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2 No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2 No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2 No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (viii) SARM 2008-2 No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (viii) SAIL 2004-3 Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL 2004-3 Origination	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-18N_No Fraud Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-18N_No Event of Default 1st SARM 2008-2 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-18N_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-18N_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2, No Fraud Robert Sare Sare Sare Sare Sare Sare Sare Sare	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-18N_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-18N_No Event of Default 1st SARM 2008-2 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2, No Fraud 2008-2, No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2, No Fraud 2008-2, No Event of Income Red Flags Present 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2 income Evidence 2008-2, No Event of Income Eviden	1.04 (c) (v) LVS 2007-18N_NO Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) LVS 2007-18N_NO Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LVS 2007-18N_NO Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2 Loan Summary Loan Summary Loan Summary 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_NO Fraud 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2008-2_NO Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_NO Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_NO Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_NO Event of Income Evidence Default 1.04 (c) (viii) SAIL 2004-3_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (viii) SAIL 2004-3_Compliance Fees / APR Loan Summary Loan Summary 1.04 (c) (viii) SAIL 2004-3_Compliance Fees / APR Loan Fees Under- Disclosed Loan Fees Under- Disclosed	March Marc	No. 103 207-18M No. 10	19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	West Service Service

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
08-13555-mg | Doc 46080-7 | Filed 08/22/14 | Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 | Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 5 The subject loan classed on 07/19/2005 in the prount of \$80,000 as a yer base of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Docume ratio has, with a 109% Loan to Value, and a 30.42% Debt to Income ratio. There was a 20 0031314180 SAIL 2005-10 \$80,000.00 284580 Manual Approval dated 07/07/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xix) (d) Failure to The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, 284580 Failure to vestigate Credit Investigate SAIL 2005so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the 10_Underwriting History Credit History Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Methodology -Deemed MnA Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employ: bjective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (i) SAIL 2005-Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the file that the Underwriter requested or obtained a sufficient explanation letter 10 Underwriting from the Borrower regarding the 21 collection accounts and the 17 x 30 day late notices appearing on the origination credit report and as Methodology such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Deemed MnA_Poo Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xix) (d) Failure to Obtain Failure to Verify The Borrower stated on the loan application that they rented their current residence for 3 years with a monthly payment of \$675. The loan 284580 SAIL 2005-/OM/VOR Housing History file contained a Verification of Rent. dated 06/27/2005, signed by a private landlord; however, the 12 months canceled rent checks were 10_Underwriting missing from the loan file. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs bjective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting nethodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (i) SAIL 2005-The underwriting methodology required verification of the Borrower's housing history for their current residence for the 12 months preceding 10 Underwriting loan closing. Additionally, if rents were paid to a private individual, 12 months canceled checks were required to validate the housing history. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Poo Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file of verification of the Borrower's housing history; therefore, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the 1.04 (b) (xix) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. The Borrower was required to verify an 284580 earnest money deposit in the amount of \$1,000 along with 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI) totaling \$1,315 SAIL 2005-10 Underwriting for the subject property. In total, assets of \$2.315 were required to be verified. The loan file contained a copy of two money order receipts in Methodology the amount of \$500 each for a total of \$1,000; however, no documentation was provided to determine the funds were properly sourced and Deemed MnA_Poo seasoned. The loan file did not contain any additional asset documentation. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employ: 1.04 (b) (xviii) (i) objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. SAIL 2005-10 Underwriting The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable Methodology ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Deemed MnA Poo

Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

fortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders

Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,315 shortage of verified assets, which was a significant factor in determining the

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

21 0036628741 1st SARM 2005-23 Loan Summary Loan Summar								Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
to Income Ratio. There was a Manual Approval dated 10/24/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (vi) SARM 2005-23_No Fraud not fincome - No not fin	ven_US Bank_Final_V	ersion_5			30	3-13555-	-ma	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
1.04 (c) (V) SARM 2005-23_No Fraud 2005-	21 0036628741	1st	SARM 2005-23		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	
				2005-23_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2005-23_No Event	n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Near Year	n of Income t	1	The Borrower misrepresented the disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a financial services business earning \$22,000 per month on the loan application. The file contained post-closing documentation, which included the Borrower's near year 2007 tax returns revealing income of \$16,505 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self-employed with the same business. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a financial services business earning \$22,000 per

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4 Breach Description part 3 Pg 168 of 256 Document Type Original Balance Loan Number First or Second Section of the Deal Name Tracking Number MLSAA Breache 1 000000031342942 LXS 2005-4 Loan Summary oan Summary The subject loan closed on 07/20/2005, in the amount of \$167,200, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan | Stated \$167,200.00 2845366 was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/90% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 27.01% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/13/2005, in the loan file. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2845366 1.04 (c) (v) LXS The BorrowerS misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Architect Designer earning 2005-4_No Fraud of Income - No in of Income \$3,348 on the loan application. The Co-Borrower listed income of \$2,117 per month as a Massage Therapist. The Borrowers provided Red Flags Presen 2007 tax returns during loss mitigation, which verified the Borrowers position as an Architect Designer during the year of 2007 and 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Near Year reported a monthly income of \$646. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self-2005-4 No Event of Income Evidence employed in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated self-employment as an Architect Designer earning \$3,348 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 2 000000031467400 LXS 2005-4 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 08/06/2005, in the amount of \$196,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. SISA \$196,000.00 2845374 The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset loan, with a 79.67% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 36.17% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/08/2005, in the loan file. 2845374 The Borrower misrepresented their debt obligations. The audit credit report indicated the Borrower acquired an auto loan in 07/2005, the 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2005-4 No Fraud n of Debt n of Debt month prior to the subject loan closing, in the amount \$34,002 with a payment of \$597 per month. Obligations -Obligations 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS With Red Flags The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2005-4 No Event of Present documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$597 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 3 000000033557877 LXS 2007-5H Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 11/09/2006, in the amount of \$270,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan NIVA \$270,000.0 284557 was approved as a No Ratio loan (No Income, Verified Asset), with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV). There was Manual approval dated 11/22/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to 2845576 Failure to Provide Failure to inal HUD-1 rovide Final be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. 5H Compliance HUD-1 The last page of the HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. Charges on the HUD-1 do not add up to the Settlement charges indicated on with Applicable Law line 103 (Settlement charges to Borrower - line 1400). Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS local state and federal laws 5H Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4 4 000000040001158 The subject to an closed on 01/45/2007 in the amount of \$400,000 as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence.
The loan was approved as a Stated Incomeditated Asset foan, with 395% Loan to Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a LXS 2007-5H \$608,000.00 2845596 16.64% Debt to Income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 12/18/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. 2845596 2007-5H_DTI Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrowers' verified income results in an increase from 46.64% to 59.19%, which exceeds the represented DTI. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrowers falsely stated income as Retired Borrowers, earning a combined 2845596 2007-5H DTI n of Income - Red n of Income monthly income of \$11,740 per month on the loan application. The file contained post-closing documentation, which included the Borrower's and the Co-Borrower's 2007 tax returns, which revealed a combined monthly income of \$7,913 in retirement income. Flags Present -1.04 (c) (v) LXS Same Year 2007-5H No Fraud Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-5H_No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of debt to income (DTI) based on the Borrowers' verified income yields a DTI of 69.19%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60% Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated income as retired Borrowers earning \$11,740 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 5 000000046237665 LXS 2007-10H \$247,066.00 2845488 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 05/04/2007, in the amount of \$247,066, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan Stated was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with a 100% Loan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45% Debt to ncome (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 05/04/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the 2845488 2007-10H DTI Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 45% to 68.47%, which exceeds the represented DTI. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2845488 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Houseman at a hotel earning \$5,600 per 2007-10H DTI n of Income - Red n of Income month on the loan application. An audit verification of employment and income was conducted through The Work Number, which Flags Present revealed the Borrower earned \$3,548 per month during the year of the subject loan closing in 2007. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Same Year 2007-10H_No Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Fraud documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-10H_No In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed Event of Default of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 68.47%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60% Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Houseman at a hotel earning \$5,600 per month on the

loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmen Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version 4 The subject to an closed on 96/27/2005 in the amount of \$4560 be a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a full Documentation Idan, with a 7656 coan To Value/Combined Loan To Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.93% Debt 6 00030950067 LMT 2005-1 \$650,000.00 2845151 to Income (DTI). There was a Desktop Underwriter (DU) approval dated 06/22/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xii) LMT Under-Disclosed Loan Fees Under The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the 2845151 2005-1 Compliance Fees / APR Disclosed Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, with Applicable Law and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file. Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable 1.04 (c) (xvii) LMT local, state, and federal laws. 2005-1 Origination Practices The disclosed finance charge (\$819,173.81) is (\$591.59) below the actual finance charge (\$819,765.40). The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d) (1)). Despite the Seller's representations. APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 00031636012 LMT 2005-1 The subject loan closed on 09/13/2005, in the amount of \$248,900, as a purchase of an owner-occupied single family property. The loan \$248,900.00 2845167 oan Summary oan Summary was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 62.397% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 49.02% Debt to ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 08/24/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) LMT The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a small business earning 2845167 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation 2005-1_No Fraud n of Income - No In of Income \$7.500 per month on the loan application. The Co-Borrower listed income of \$583 per month as an Owner of the same business. The loan Red Flags Presen ile contained post closing documentation which included the Borrowers' near year 2006 tax returns revealing the combined income was 1.04 (c) (vii) LMT - Near Year \$28,298 or \$2,358 per month. It is unlikely the Borrowers' income would have decreased considering the Borrowers were self employed 2005-1_No Event of Income Evidence in the same line of work. Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated self employment income as a Owners of a small business earning combined income of \$8,083 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 8 00031865363 LMT 2005-2 oan Summary Per the Data Tape the subject loan closed on 10/31/2005, in the amount of \$302,800, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family \$302,800.00 2845236 residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset Ioan, with an 80%/99.15% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value and a 40.68% Debt to Income Ratio. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System Approval nor Manual approval included in the Ioan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program effect at the time of application in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) LMT Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Security Guard earning \$8,500 per month on 2845236 n of Income - Red n of Income 2005-2_No Fraud the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment and income revealed that the Borrower's actual 2005 income was \$4,501 per Flags Present -1.04 (c) (vii) LMT Same Year 2005-2 No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. The Borrower stated receipt of monthly retirement pension in the amount of \$6,500. Per the Borrower's 2007 tax return obtained through post-closing documents, revealed that the Borrower's near year 2007 pension income was \$4,481. The Borrower indicated on the loan application assets in the amount of \$4,555 which is not indicative of an individual earning \$180,000 annually. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Security Guard earning \$8,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

the subject loan close and 04/20/2005, in the amount of \$104,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner-occupied 2 unit property. The 00032779167 LXS 2006-10N oan Summary \$104,000,0 2845384 oan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with a 69.33% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 42% Debt to ncome Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 04/19/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Assembler earning \$4.800 per month on the 2845384 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2006-10N No of Income - Red n of Income loan application. The loan file contained the Borrower's near year 2008 tax returns and W-2 forms revealing earnings of \$22,675 or \$1,889 raud lags Present per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in Near Year the same line of work. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Income Evidence 2006-10N No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Event of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Assembler earning \$4,800 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 10 00040536559 LXS 2007-12N Loan Summary Loan Summary he subject loan closed on 04/24/2007, in the amount of \$464,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family \$464,000.00 2845499 residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 78.64%/86.10% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 32.86% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 4/16/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Internet Sales Department Manager earning 2845499 2007-12N No n of Income - Red n of Income \$11,000 per month on the loan application. The file contained post closing documentation, which included the Borrower's 2007 W-2 form lags Present and tax return revealing income of \$10,404 or \$867 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Fraud Near Year Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS ncome Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2007-12N No Event of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Internet Sales Department Manager earning \$11,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 11 00040703514 The subject loan closed on 06/14/2007, in the amount of \$252,700, as a purchase of an owner occupied multi-family residence. The loan 2845541 LXS 2007-17H oan Summary oan Summary \$252,700.00 was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 47% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 06/13/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the 2845541 1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% 2007-17H DTI Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47% to 120.08%, 1.04 (b) (xvi) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income in Quality Control earning \$6,438 per month on 2845541 n of Income - No In of Income 2007-17H DTI the loan application. According to a Statement of Financial Affairs filed in the Northern District of Illinois dated 02/13/2010, the Borrower Red Flags Presen disclosed near year income of \$1,937 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was 1.04 (b) (xxiv) LXS - Near Year employed with the same employer in the same line of work. 2007-17H_No Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Fraud documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: 1.04 (b) (xxvi) LXS and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2007-17H No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 120.08%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60% Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment in Quality Control earning \$6,438 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust

wen_US Bank_Final_Versi	ion_4		08-2	<u> 13555-m</u> գ	g Dọc 460	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	<u>nt</u>			
12 00046750477	1st LXS 200	07-16N	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject Idan close of 16/28/2007, in the amount of \$142,000 According to the loan represented by the data tape, the file presente for review Idan on contain any of the actual transaction documentation. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. There was no critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	d Unknown	\$442,000.00	2845526	
		1.04 (b) (xxi) (i) LXS 2007- 16N_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	the Credit	E Failure to Provide Credit Package	1 3	The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrower's credit, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that a complete credit package was provided and reviewed; and as such, there is no evidence in the file that the accuracy of the Borrower's credit profile was confirmed. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	2	30.0	2845526	
13 00047504220	1st LXS 200	07-20N	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 09/25/2007, in the amount of \$548,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset documentation loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/24/2007, in the loan file.	. Stated	\$548,000.00	2845570	
		1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2007- 20N_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xxiv) LXS 2007- 20N_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Right of Rescission	e Failure to Provide Right of Rescission	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain a Right of Rescission, which is evidence of the subject loan's noncompliance with applicable law. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845570	
		1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-20N_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-20N_No Event of Default		Misrepresentatio	3 3	The Borrowers misrepresented their employment on the loan application. The loan file included a post-closing letter of explanation, which indicated that the Borrowers opened a bed and breakfast business renting out 3 rooms and two thirds of the subject property in 09/2002 which was the same month and year as the subject loan closing date of 09/25/2007. Research conducted through Accurint revealed that the Borrowers were owners of a self-employed bed and breakfast business that was not disclosed at origination and reflected an operational begin date of 04/09/2007 and is presently in business; therefore, the Borrowers started the business 5 months prior to the subject loan closing date of 09/25/2007 and they were aware the subject property was to be used to run the bed and breakfast business prior closing. Accurint also confirmed that the businesses were registered in the Borrower's name at the Borrower's primary residence. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as Truck Driver for 1 year and the Co-Borrower falsely state employment as an Adult Teaching Specialist for 13 years on the loan application, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	;	50.0	2845570	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings <u>Doc 46080-7 Tiled 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmen</u> Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4 08-13555-mg 1.04 (c) (v) LXS The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed in come. The Borgower falsely stated income as a Truck Driver earning \$7,350 per month on the loan application in fee to Borrower listed income of \$4,383 per month as an Adult Teacher. The loan file included the Borrowers' 2008 2845570 2007-20N No n of Income - Red n of Income Fraud Flags Present ncome taxes, which reflected that the Borrowers earned a combined monthly income of \$6,725 per month during the near year of 2008. Near Year Furthermore, an audit re-verification of employment was obtained from the Borrowers' employers and the loan file also included the 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Income Evidence Borrowers' 2009 income and employment documents, which indicated that the Borrower and the Co-Borrower was still employed with 2007-20N No the same employers in the same line of business. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was vent of Default employed with the same employer in the same line of work. Additionally, the loan file included a post-closing letter of explanation, which indicated the Borrowers opened a bed and breakfast business renting out 3 rooms and two thirds of the subject property in 09/2007, which was the same month and year as the subject loan closing date of 09/25/2007. The post-closing letter of explanation also indicated that the Borrower had earned an annual income of \$8,000 since the business opened; however, the loan file also included the Borrower's 2008 income tax returns, which reflected that the Borrower's business resulted in a negative \$25,000 in annual income. Research conducted through Accurint revealed that the Borrowers were owners of a self-employed bed and breakfast business that was not disclosed at origination and reflected an operational begin date of 04/09/2007 and is presently in business; therefore, the Borrowers started the business 5 months prior to the subject loan closing date of 09/25/2007 and they were aware the subject property was to be used to run the bed and breakfast business prior closing. The opening of the undisclosed business could have had a negative impact on the Borrowers' stated income at origination. Accurint also confirmed that the businesses were registered in the Borrowers' names at the Borrowers' primary residence. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment income as a Truck Driver earning \$7,350 per month and the Co-Borrower listed income of \$4,583 per month as an Adult Teacher on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 14 00047699392 SARM 2008-2 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 11/29/2007, in the amount of \$476,000, as a rate term refinance of an owner occupied, single family property. | Stated \$476,000.00 2846407 The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 19.71% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 11/14/2007, in the loan file 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application. A review of post-closing income documentation submitted for a 2846407 n of Employment 2008-2 No Fraud n of Employment loan modification reflects the Borrower misrepresented her employment position. A review of post-closing income documentation With No Red submitted for a loan modification reflects the Borrower misrepresented her employment position. The 2007 tax return revealed the 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Flags Present Borrower was a commissioned Insurance Agent and not employed as a Regional Manager for 3.5 years. 2008-2 No Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Regional Manager for 3.5 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2846407 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Regional Manager earning \$8,572 per month 2008-2 No Fraud n of Income - No In of Income on the loan application. A review of post-closing income documentation submitted for a loan modification reflects the Borrower Red Flags Presen misrepresented her income. The 2007 1040 tax return revealed the Borrower was a commissioned Insurance Agent and total earnings for 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM - Same Year 2007 was \$14,100, or \$1,175 per month. 2008-2_No Event of Income Evidence Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed f Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Regional Manager earning \$8,572 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4 15 00047858576 SARM 2008-2 The subject to an closed on 12/67/2007 in the annual of \$\$45,000 as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. Stated The loan was approved as a Stated Income Verified Assertion, with a 78.19% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a \$645,000.00 2846422 17.87% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 12/06/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Bookkeeping Consultant earning \$11,550 per 2846422 2008-2 No Fraud n of Income - No In of Income month on the loan application. The loan file contained post closing documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which Red Flags Presen revealed the Borrower's income for 2007 was \$40,224 or \$3,352 per month. 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM - Same Year 2008-2_No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Bookkeeping Consultant earning \$11,550 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 16 00047879911 SARM 2008-2 The subject loan closed on 01/23/2008, in the amount of \$428,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied single family \$428,000.00 2846424 oan Summary Loan Summary residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 79.56% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 51.62% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/22/2008, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Welder earning \$6,327 per month on the 2846424 2008-2 No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income loan application. The Co-Borrower listed income of \$3,247 per month as a Grocery Clerk. The Borrowers' income was properly calculated; Flags Present however, the Borrowers misrepresented their occupancy and the negative cash flow of \$1,061 per month is increased to the full principal, 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Same Year nterest, taxes and insurance payments (PITI) payment of \$3,161, which is considered negative cash flow. In addition, the homeowner's 2008-2 No Event of Income Evidence nsurance declaration page indicated the policy type was a Landlord Protector policy. Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely stated the occupancy of the subject property resulting in negative cash flow of \$1,061 per month, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2846424 Occupancy The Borrowers misrepresented their intent to occupy the subject property. The Borrowers did not occupy the subject property after the 2008-2 No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy subject loan closing of 01/23/2008. The Borrowers resided in the property the Borrowers' disclosed as their investment property. The - With Red loan file contained a copy of the Borrowers' homeowner's insurance declaration page which indicated the Borrowers mailing address was 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Flags Present the investment property, not the subject property. In addition, the homeowner's insurance declaration page indicated the policy type was 2008-2 No Event of a Landlord Protector policy. The origination credit report reflected an alert for the subject property address not being the same as the Default address used on file. The origination credit report reflected the Borrowers had used the investment property address to obtain credit. Furthermore, the title policy reflected the subject property did not file for a homestead exemption on the subject property. The loan file contained post closing documentation which included the Borrowers' 2008 tax returns which revealed the Borrower's mailing address vas the investment property. The Schedule E reflected the subject property as an investment property. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.

Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an

Cowen US Bank Final Version 4 OP 12555 mg Doc 46000 7 Filed 09/22/14 Entered 0

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings c 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject has closed an 44/55/2004 ந்து சு அறைய ரட்டி சாற்று ரட்டி சாற்று முது 72த்து இக் a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. | Stated

17 0017340357	1st	SAIL 2004-3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 01/25/2004 in the amount of \$472560 as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated income wernied assertion, with an 85.14% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a	\$472,500.00 2845767	'
							_	32.32% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/14/2004, in the loan file.		_
•			. , . ,	Under-Disclosed		1	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the Annual Percentage	2845767	'
			04-3_Compliance h Applicable Law	rees / APK	Disclosed			Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file.		
			emed MnA					disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file.		
		- 566	erneu wina					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable		
		1.04	4 (c) (xvii) SAIL					local state, and federal laws.		
			04-3 Origination							
		Prac	ctices					The disclosed finance charge (\$661,023.10) is (\$105.16) below the actual finance charge (\$661,128.26). The Truth in Lending Act considers		
								the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d) (1)).		
								Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower.		
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the		
								law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and obcousine laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		
								wio igage coan and interest of the Certificaterioriers.		
		1.04	4 (c) (v) SAIL	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	2	3	The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. The review of the audit credit report reflects an installment loan open at origination	\$0.00 2845767	7
		2004	4-3_No Fraud	n of Debt	n of Debt			that does not appear on the origination credit report or application. The Borrowers obtained the loan in 11/2003 in the amount of		
				Obligations - No	Obligations			\$49,787 with a monthly payment of \$842, which was not calculated in the original debt to income ratio (DTI). The subject loan closed		
			(-/ (/ -	Red Flags Present				01/16/2004.		
			4-3_No Event of							
		Defa	ault					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such		
								documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;		
								and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed		
								of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender		
								by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.		
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$842 monthly debt,		
								which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.		

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4 08-13555-mg 18 0017888371 SAIL 2004-8 The subject to an closed on 46/25/2004 in the amount of \$225,089 as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documen within loan, with a 55-81% loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.80% \$125,000.00 2845790 debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/23/2004, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrower was 2845790 SAIL 2004required to verify 2 months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance payments totaling \$2,044 for the subject property. In total, 8 Underwriting assets of \$2,044 were required to be verified. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Poo The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1 and Pool 3 employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$2,044 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Improper The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay. Verification of the Borrowers' 2845790 SAIL 2004-Calculation of Calculation of debt, so as to confirm the Borrowers' overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in 8 Underwriting determining the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Dehts Methodology -Deemed MnA Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan 1 and Pool 3 employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Monthly debts of \$1,046 were used by the Lender to determine the Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). The following facts support the proper calculation of debts: The Lender failed to use the correct hazard insurance premium and the flood insurance monthly premium of \$133 per month. The correct recalculation results in a monthly debt of \$1,179. Despite the Seller's representations, a review of the loan file revealed the Lender failed to accurately calculate the Borrowers' debts, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrowers' reasonability to repay. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 19 0017898586 SAIL 2004-8 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 02/23/2004, in the amount of \$212,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family property. \$212,000.00 2845796 The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 38.83% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Failure to Verify Failure to Verify Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. The Borrower was required to verify 2 2845796 SAIL 2004months reserves of principal, interest, taxes and insurance payments totaling \$4,466 for the subject property. Assets 8 Underwriting Methodology The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan Deemed MnA Poo employs objective mathematical principles which relate to assets. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the 1 and Pool 3 inderwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, there was a \$4,466 shortage of verified assets, which significantly impacted the determination of the

Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Certificateholders.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4 08-13555-mg 20 0030324834 The subject to an closed on 92/62/2005 in the amount of \$2649166 as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence.
The loan was approved as a full Documen stion loan, with an 50% to an to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45.279% SAIL 2005-5 \$364,000.00 2845831 debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 02/0/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) Ineligible neligible A loan amount of over \$300,000 for the subject transaction when the Borrowers' credit score with a C grade was prohibited. The loan 2845831 SAIL 2005-Transaction Transaction approval reflects the credit grade of A+ was downgraded to a C as the origination credit report reflected a 1 x 90 late payment. The subject 5 Underwriting transaction was approved with a loan amount of \$364,000. Methodology -Deemed MnA_Pool The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to credit worthiness. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject transaction was an ineligible transaction as evidenced by improper loan amount for the Borrower's credit grade. The insufficient credit score significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 21 0031606817 SARM 2005-22 The subject loan closed on 09/12/2005, in the amount of \$551,250, as a purchase of an investment, single family property. The loan was \$551,250,00 2846147 oan Summary Loan Summary approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 75%/80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 34% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/25/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. According to the audit credit report, the Borrower acquired an undisclosed home 2846147 2005-22 No Fraud n of Deht equity line of credit the same month as the subject loan closing on 09/12/2005. The Borrower acquired an undisclosed mortgage in n of Debt Obligations - No Obligations 09/2005, loan amount of \$100,000, with a monthly payment of \$1,992, secured by unknown property. Red Flags Presen 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2005-22 No Event The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$1,992 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Realtor earning \$12,250 per month on the 2846147 2005-22_No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income loan application. The Borrower provided 2007 tax returns during loss mitigation, which verified Borrower's position as a Realtor; however, Flags Present it also revealed the Borrower's income for 2007 was listed as a negative \$16,196, or negative \$1,349 per month. It is unlikely the 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Near Year Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self-employed in the same line of work. 2005-22 No Event Income Evidence of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Realtor earning \$12,250 per month on the loan

application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

Cowen_US Bar	nk_Final_Versi	ion_4			08-1	<u> 3555-m</u>	a Do	oc 4608	0-7 Filed 08/22/14	ıt			
22 0032	2646630	1st	SARM 2006-5		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	D-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmer The subject to an closed on 43/25/2006 by the army of \$1669 by a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development. The loan was approved as a state of more of the control of the	SISA	\$860,000.00	2846265	
				1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2006-5_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2006-5_No Event of Default			1	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as Senior Systems Administrator earning \$18,300 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post closing income documentation including the Borrower's tax return for 2006, the year of the subject closing, which indicated the Borrower and non-borrowing spouse earned \$13,379 per month and interest/dividend income of \$214 per month. It could not be determined what the Borrower's individual income for 2006 was; however, the combined income did not support the income stated on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment conducted through The Work Number indicated the Borrower earned \$1,316 per month; however, the Borrower's employer merged with another company and did not reflect the income prior to the merger. The Work number indicated the Borrower's income for 2007, the subsequent year after the subject closing, was \$8,178 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Senior Systems Administrator earning \$18,300 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.		\$0.00	2846265	
23 0032	2652018	1st	SARM 2006-7		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 03/14/2006, in the amount of \$328,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, 2 unit property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/89.27% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 39% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 03/07/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$328,000.00	2846282	
				1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2006-7_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2006-7_No Event of Default			1	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income in Shipping earning \$8,475 per month on the loan application. The file contained post closing documentation which included the Borrower's 2007 tax return revealing income of \$55,654 or \$4,638 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was with the same employer in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment in Shipping earning \$8,475 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		50.00	2846282	
24 0032	2773889	1st	SARM 2006-7		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 04/21/2006, in the amount of \$488,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied, single family property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 37.22% Debt to Income Ratio. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System Approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.		\$488,000.00	2846286	
						Misrepresentatio n of Assets	1	3	The Borrowers misrepresented their ownership of the following assets. Per the final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, the Borrower was not required to bring funds to closing. In addition, the Borrowers were required to verify earnest money of \$6,800 along with 6 months reserves of PTTI totaling \$23,909 for the subject property. In total, assets of \$30,709 were required to be verified. The loan file contained a Verification of Deposit dated 04/18/2006, reflecting a current balance of \$17,547 and a copy of the canceled check for the earnest money deposit dated 04/07/2006 in the amount of \$6,800. However, an audit re-verification of deposit was received, which revealed the actual balance on 04/18/2006 was \$6,047. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers falsely disclosed that they had \$17,547 month's reserves when in fact they had \$6,047, meaning the amount of assets verified was misrepresented by \$11,500, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.		\$0 L.	2846286	

Digital Diely Lo

		Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings	
Cowen_US Bank_Final_Version_4	08-13555-mg		
	1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2006-7 No Fraud n of Employment n of Employment	2 3 The Borrower inisrepresented his employment privile loan projection. The Borrower stated on the loan application employment as an Owner of a carpet installation obsiness for years, indeeder, the loan file contained Financial Affidavits completed by Borrower, dated in	
	- With Red Flags	2009 and 2011, which revealed the Borrower was employed by a flooring company for 14 years, the same employer listed on the	
	1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Present	origination credit report. The subject loan closed on 04/21/2006. Furthermore, according to a Statement of Financial Affairs, filed by the	
	2006-7_No Event of	Borrower with the Northern District of California Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 13 bankruptcy case dated June 20, 2010, the	
	Default	Borrower did not list ownership of a business within 6 years of filing date of Bankruptcy. In addition, the Borrowers' credit report dated	
		04/11/2006 did not list the Borrower's business; however; a different flooring business was listed, with a report date as 01/1998.	
		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
		documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
		and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
		In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed	
		of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender	
		by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Owner of a carpet installation business for 5 years,	
		which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.	
	1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio	3 The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a carpet installation business for 5 2846286	
	2006-7_No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income	years earning \$13,375 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained Financial Affidavits completed by Borrower, dated in	
	Flags Present -	2009 and 2011, which revealed the Borrower was employed by a flooring company for 14 years, the same employer listed on the	
	1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Same Year	origination credit report. The subject loan closed on 04/21/2006. Furthermore, according to a Statement of Financial Affairs, filed by the	
	2006-7_No Event of Income Evidence	Borrower with the Northern District of California Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 13 bankruptcy case dated June 20, 2010, the	
	Default	Borrower did not list ownership of a business within 6 years of filing date of Bankruptcy and revealed the Borrower's income, reported	
		jointly with his spouse, as \$88,427, or \$7,369 per month.	
		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
		documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;	
		and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
		In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed	
		of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender	
		by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	
		Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as an Owner of a carpet installation business for 5 years	
		earning \$13,375 per month, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.	

Grand Total of Repurchase Demand

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_44

	Bank_Final ver			1		<u> 3555-mg</u>		<u>Ç 4608</u>					
an Count	Loan Number	First or Second	Deal Name	Section of the MLSAA Breached	Issue Description	Breach	Breach Count	Rating	Breach Description part 3 Pg 180 of 256	Document Type	Original Balance	Tracking Number Co	mments
1	00047776406	1st	SARM 2008-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0 0	The subject loan closed on 11/29/2007, in the amount of \$470,000, as a rate and term refinance of an owner occupied Single Family Residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income, Verified Assets loan, with a 75.81% Loan to Value/Combined Loar to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 39.81% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 11/28/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$470,000.00	2846415	
				1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2008-2_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2008-2_No Event of Default	n of Income - No Red Flags Present	Misrepresentatio n of Income		1 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated his income as an Owner of a restaurant supply business earning \$20,745 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$11,954 per month the year the subject loan closed. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a restaurant supply business earning \$20,745 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.	:		2846415	
2	0019041904	1st	SAIL 2004-10		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0 0	The subject loan closed on 09/14/2004, in the amount of \$244,000, as a purchase of a non-owner occupied 4 unit property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income, Verified Assets loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 20.79% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 09/03/2004, in the loan file.	e Stated	\$244,000.00	2845724	
				1.04 (c) (v) SAIL 2004-10_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAIL 2004-10_No Event of Default	n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Near Year	Misrepresentatio n of Income		1 3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated her income as an Office Administrator earning \$6,500 per month with additional income of \$2,122 and net rental income of \$232 per month for the subject property. The loar file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2005 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned a total of \$2,674 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was with the same employer in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Office Administrator earning \$6,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.	n.		2845724	
3 1	14338925	1st	SAS 2003-RNP2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0 0	The subject loan closed on11/27/2002, in the amount of \$88,200, as a purchase of a non-owner occupied 3 unit property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income, Verified Assets loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.92% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 11/14/2002, in the loan file.	Stated	\$88,200.00	2844693	
				1.04 (b) (xxviii) SAS 2003-RNP2_No High Cost	5 High Cost Loan - State	No High Cost Loan		1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The loan fees (\$4,333.00) exceed the (NYPart410Id) High Cost fee limit, which is (\$4,179.32), the difference is (\$153.68). The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan was not a high cost loan under any applicable predatory or abusive lending law. The Seller further represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was a "covered" or high cost loan as defined by applicable law.			2844693	

Cowen IIS Bank Final Version 11

LXS 2006-8	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-8_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-8_No Event of Default	Misrepresentation of Income - No Red Flags Presentation - Near Year Income Evidence	t	1 3	The subject Nan Closer se 22/03/200 Disched and other sections. Verified Assets loan, with an 80%/90% Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 31.49% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Airline Pilot earning \$20,000 per month on the loan application. A audit verification of employment was conducted through The Work Number, which revealed the Borrower earned \$16,260 per month for the year 2008. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Airline Pilot earning \$20,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.	\$94,320.00	2845429
LXS 2006-15	2006-8_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-8_No Event	n of Income - No Red Flags Presen - Near Year Income Evidence	n of Income	13	month on the loan application. A audit verification of employment was conducted through The Work Number, which revealed the Borrower earned \$16,260 per month for the year 2008. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Airline Pilot earning \$20,000 per month on the		2845429
LXS 2006-15		Loan Summary	Loan Summary				
			,	0 0	The subject loan closed on 06/05/2006, in the amount of \$282,400, as a purchase of an owner occupied 2 unit property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income, Stated Assets loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 43.37% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 05/17/2006, in the loan file.	ISA \$282,400.00	2845396
	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event of Default			1 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated his income as a home based Painter earning \$5,750 per month. The post closing tax return obtained through the modification process for 2008, revealed the Borrower earned \$4,806 per month in gross earnings. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was self-employed in the same line of work. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a home based self-employed Painter earning \$5,750 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		2845396
	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event of Default	Occupancy Misrepresentatio n - With No Red Flags Present	Misrepresentatio o n of Occupancy	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. Public records including utility records and the Borrower's Financial Statement obtained through the modification process revealed the Borrower remained at the proposed departure residence from 11/2005 through 09/2013 and did not occupy the subject property as required. Public records also indicated other individuals occupied the subject property from 01/2006 to 08/2013. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	50.0	2845396
		1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event	1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event Flags Present 1.04 (c) (viii) LXS 2006-15_No Event	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraul was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a home based self-employed Painter earning \$5,750 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. In 4.04 (c) (vi) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud on 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Frent of Default Misrepresentation on of Occupancy on 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event of Default The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. Public records including utility records also indicated other individuals occupied the subject property as required. Public records also indicated other individuals occupied the subject property from 01/2006 to 08/2013. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the Loan constitutes an event of features statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of	The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any nutrue or misleading statements of material fact or equired to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent three of in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a home based self-employed Painter earning 55,750 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) D/S 2006-15, No Found Misrepresentation of Occupancy in Vith No Red 1.04 (c) (vii) D/S 2006-15, No Event of Default Operation of Default Default Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof

6 32972721	1st	LXS 2006-15		Loan Summary	5555-mg	00	16080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment	
				,	,		The subject Nan closed on 66/35/2000 in the account of 64/35/2000 in the loan full (LTV/CLTV), and a 37.79% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/13/2006, in the loan file.	
			1.04 (b) (xvii) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.	
			2006-15_DTI				Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's undisclosed debt results in an increase from 37.79% to 112.26%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.	
							Noting 7,75% to 112.20%, Which execute the Select of the S	
			1.04 (b) (xvii) LXS	·	Misrepresentatio	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Public records and the Audit Credit Report indicated the Borrower 2845397	
			2006-15_DTI	n of Debt Obligations - No	n of Debt		purchased 2 undisclosed properties on 06/14/2006 and 06/16/2006, 1 day prior and 1 day after the subject loan closing on 06/15/2006. The Borrower acquired 3 mortgages in the amount of \$320,000, \$80,000 and \$380,000 with monthly payments of	
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15 No Fraud	Red Flags Present	Obligations		\$2,128, \$679, and \$2,968.	
			2000-13_NO 11800				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be	
			2006-15_No Event of Default				stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.	
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage	
							and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of	
							default under the Mortgage.	
							A recalculation based on the Borrower's undisclosed debt yields a debt to income ratio (DTI) of 112.26%, which exceeds the	
							Seller's represented DTI of 60%.	
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose \$5,775 in monthly	
							debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.	
7 33183328		LXS 2006-15		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0.0	The subject loan closed on 08/15/2006, in the amount of \$208,000, as a purchase of a condominium. The loan was approved Unknown \$208,000.00 2845407	
				,	,		with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System	
							(AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and	
							approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.	
			1.04 (b) (xii) LXS	Failure to	Failure to	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be	
			2006-	Provide the Final	Provide Final TIL		acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.	
			15_Compliance with Applicable	TIL			The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with	
			Law - Deemed MnA	A			applicable laws.	
			1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS				Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.	
			2006- 15_Origination				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is	
			Practices				deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificate holders.	
				Failure to	Failure to Obtain	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and 2845407	
			1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS				Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional	
			1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2006-15_Qualified		Qualified		Emorcement Act (i mice) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the officern standards of Professional	
			, , , ,	Provide the Origination	Qualified Appraisal		Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.	
			2006-15_Qualified	Provide the			Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.	
			2006-15_Qualified	Provide the Origination				

33709882	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	S555-mg Loan Summary	00	T	D-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm The subject Ivan closed as P1/33/200 Digital account of \$150.500 as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family esidence. The loan was approved as a stated income/Verified Asset (SIVA) Documentation loan, with a 95% Loan to	Stated	\$142,500.00	2845624	
				_			r	esidence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) Documentation loan, with a 95% Loan to				
								/alue/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 28.63% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated				
								11/05/2007, in the loan file.				
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS		Misrepresentatio	1 3		The Co-Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Co-Borrower falsely stated her income as a Retail Sales Manager		\$0.00	2845624	
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Income - No	n of Income			with a mattress store earning \$4,167 per month on the loan application. The loan file included the Co-Borrower's post-closing				
			1.04 (a) (a) 1.75	Red Flags Present - Same Year			2	1007 pay stub, dated 03/31/2007, revealing the Borrower earned \$2,453 per month the year the subject loan closed.				
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H No Fraud				Ι,	he Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
			2007 011_140 11444	meome Evidence				locumentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be				
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H No Event					tated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
			of Default				11	n addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage				
			or serialit					and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate				
								tatements to Lender by Co-Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of				
							d	lefault under the Mortgage.				
								recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Co-Borrower's verified \$2,453 per month in income yields a DTI of				
							4	10.88%, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Retail Sales Manager earning \$4,167 per month				
							O	on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
37307303	1st	LMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	Т	he subject loan closed on 12/27/2005, in the amount of \$147,200. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System	Unknown	\$147,200.00	2845258	
							(4	AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and				
								pproved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file				
								ontained a copy of the note, mortgage, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, homeowner's insurance certificate, the title policy				
								and the title commitment. There were no other critical documentations provided for the file and no data tape wa				
			1.04 (b) (xii) LMT	Failure to	Failure to	13		The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be		\$0.00	2845258	
			2006-	Provide the Final				cknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.				
			2_Compliance with	TIL								
			Applicable Law -				Т	he Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with				
			Deemed MnA				a	pplicable laws.				
			1.04 (c) (posite) LEAT					possite the Caller's representations, there is no ouidence in the loss file that the subject less uses in compliance with the Aut				
			1.04 (c) (xxxiv) LMT 2006-2 Origination				L	Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
			Practices				P	Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is				
								leemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificate holders.				
				Failure to	Failure to Obtain	3 3		The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and		\$0.00	2845258	
			2006-2_Qualified Appraisal	Provide the Origination	Qualified Appraisal			inforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.				
			Whhi gizai	Appraisal	Whhi aisai		<i>P</i>	ppraisar ractice. The origination appraisar is missing nometic tolernie.				
						1						
							T	he Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.				
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_44

OR-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme

10 39905989	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	555-mg Loan Summary	0 0	80-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm The subject than closed as a 2/35/200 Directing application for the subject to an on-owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated income/verified Asset (SIVA) Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to	Stated \$235,000.00	2845625
							Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 43.73% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated		
			1.04 (b) (DTI 5 d- 60%	DTI Fire and CON	2 2	02/15/2007, in the loan file.		2045625
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DIT Exceeds 60%	2 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income and undisclosed debt		2845625
			2007-8H_D11				results in an increase from 43.73% to 181.25%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.		
							results in an increase from 45.75% to 161.25%, which exceeds the Seller's represented D11 of 60%.		
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	3 3	The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Research performed through the Mortgage Electronic Registration Service	\$0.00	2845625
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Debt	n of Debt		(MERS) report, Sitexdata.com and the audit credit report, revealed the Borrower refinanced the primary home on 03/09/2007,		
				Obligations -	Obligations		or 21 days after the subject loan closing date of 02/15/2007, with a new mortgage in the amount of \$236,000 with a monthly		
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS	With Red Flags			payment of \$1,304 and obtained a second home equity loan of credit in the amount of \$29,500 with a monthly payment of		
			2007-8H_No Fraud	Present			\$295 per month, based on 1% of the balance. The undisclosed refinance increased the total monthly payment from \$1,298 to a		
							new monthly payment of \$1,599, or \$301 per month. In addition, 2 additional undisclosed mortgages opened on the same date		
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS				as the undisclosed refinance of the primary home on 03/09/2007, in the amounts of \$286,400 with a monthly payment of		
			2007-8H_No Event				\$1,878 and \$71,600 with a monthly payment of \$642, which secured a property located in same city and state as the		
			of Default				Borrower's primary home in University Place, Washington. The Borrower's undisclosed monthly mortgage payments totaled \$4,119.		
							γ ⁴ ,117.		
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such		
							documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be		
							stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage		
							and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate		
							statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of		
							default under the Mortgage.		
							A recalculation of debt to income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,801 per month and the undisclosed		
							monthly mortgage payments \$4,119 per month yields a DTI of 181.25%, which exceeds the Seller's maximum represented DTI of 60%.		
							0.00%		
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose \$4,119 in monthly		
							debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	5 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated his income as an Account Manager of tools	\$0.00	2845625
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Income -	n of Income		sales earning \$9,500 per month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment and income was conducted		
				Red Flags Present			through The Work Number, which revealed the Borrower actually earned a monthly income of \$3,801.		
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS	- Same Year					
			2007-8H_No Fraud	Income Evidence			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such		
			1 04 (a) (viii) LVC				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be		
							stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		
	1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Ev						In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage		
		of Default					and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate		
							statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of		
							statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.		
							default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of debt to income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,801 per month and the undisclosed monthly mortgage payments \$4,119 per month yields a DTI of 181.25%, which exceeds the Seller maximum represented DTI of		
							default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of debt to income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,801 per month and the undisclosed		
							default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of debt to income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,801 per month and the undisclosed monthly mortgage payments \$4,119 per month yields a DTI of 181.25%, which exceeds the Seller maximum represented DTI of 60%.		
							default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of debt to income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,801 per month and the undisclosed monthly mortgage payments \$4,119 per month yields a DTI of 181.25%, which exceeds the Seller maximum represented DTI of		

US Bank_Final \					555-mg	DOC		0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme			
11 40059859	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0)	The subject look closed on \$11,24/200 Discipling of the subject look of a non-owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated income/verified Asset (SIVA) Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 35% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/23/2007, in the loan file.	Stated \$.	80,000.00	2845628
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	1 3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his/her debt obligations. Research of public records and an audit credit report, revealed the			2845628
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Debt	n of Debt			Borrower purchased an undisclosed property located in Kansas City, Missouri on 01/24/2007, which was the same day as the			
				Obligations -	Obligations			subject loan closing date and obtained a first mortgage in the amount of \$78,000. The undisclosed monthly mortgage payment			
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS	With Red Flags				was not reported on the audit credit report; therefore, the undisclosed monthly mortgage payment was calculated using a			
			2007-8H_No Fraud	Present				conservative 5% rate over 30 years, which resulted in an undisclosed monthly mortgage payment of \$419.			
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
			2007-8H_No Event					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be			
			of Default					stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage			
								and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate			
								statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								A recalculation of DTI based on the Borrower's undisclosed monthly debt of \$419 and the Borrower's verified income of \$2,770			
								per month yields a DTI of 88.02%, which exceeds the seller's represented 60% Debt to income ratio.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by falling to disclose a \$419 monthly			
								debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.			
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio		4 3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Tech Editor earning \$5,050 per		\$0.00	2845628
			2007-8H_DTI		n of Income			month on the loan application. Research of public records reported the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy with the District			1
			4.04 (-) (-) 1)/6	Red Flags Present - Same Year				of Nevada on 08/22/2008. The subject loan closed on 01/24/2007; therefore, the 2006 income would have used to qualify the			
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H No Fraud					subject loan with the same employer in the same line of business as indicated on the Schedule I section of The Statement of Financial Affairs; Section 1 of The Statement of Financial Affairs found on the Borrower's Bankruptcy report revealed the			i
			2007-6H_NO Flauu	income Evidence				Borrower earned a monthly income of \$2,770 during the near year of 2006, which would have been the required income used			
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS					to qualify the Borrower at origination. Furthermore, the Borrower's bankruptcy report also indicated the Borrower earned a			1
			2007-8H No Event					monthly income of \$3,404 during the subject year of 2007 while being employed with the same employer and the same job			
			of Default					title; therefore, the Borrower's income was misrepresented. The Borrower's 2006 verified income of \$2,770 was calculated to			
								be 1.75 times more than the Borrower's stated monthly income of \$5,050.			
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
								documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be			
								stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage			
								and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate			
								statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								A recalculation of DTI based on the Borrower's undisclosed monthly debt of \$419 and the Borrower's verified income of \$2,770			
								per month yields a DTI of 88.02%, which exceeds the seller's represented 60% Debt to income ratio.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Tech Editor earning \$5,050 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.			

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 44 08-13555-ma The Borrower inigreprocepted is emilioned to the last application. Per the loan application, the Borrower was employed as a Tech Editor with the military for 8.5 years, earning \$5,050 per month. The loan file included a verbal verification of 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation 2845628 2007-8H_No Fraud In of Employment In of Employmen With No Red employment (VVOE) dated 01/22/2007 to support the Borrower's employment information as listed on the loan application; 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present however, research of public records reported the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy with the District of Nevada on 2007-8H_No Event 08/22/2008. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs and section 18 of the State of Financial Affairs reported the of Default Borrower had an undisclosed self-employed business with no dates listed. Further research conducted through Accurint for the Borrower's business report revealed the Borrower was a self-employed Owner of two undisclosed investment businesses of which one was open and active from 12/23/2003 through 01/01/2011 and the second undisclosed self-employed business was opened and active from 04/18/2005 through 05/01/2009, which both covered the subject loan closing date of 01/24/2007 and listed the Borrower as the Owner of both businesses. The undisclosed businesses could have resulted in a negative impact on the Borrower's stated monthly income \$5,050. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as Tech Editor for 8.5 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 12 40173742 LXS 2007-8H \$123,000.00 2845636 The subject loan closed on 02/23/2007, in the amount of \$123,000, as a purchase of a non-owner occupied, single family Loan Summary Loan Summary residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 35% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 02/22/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% 2845636 The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. 2007-8H_DTI Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's undisclosed debt results in an increase from 35% to 117.99%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Research of public records conducted through the Mortgage Electronic 2845636 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI n of Debt of Debt Registration System (MERS) report and an audit credit report revealed the Borrower obtained 5 undisclosed mortgages that Obligations - No Obligations secured 5 different properties all located in Louisville, Kentucky. Two of the mortgages were opened on the same day as the 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Red Flags Present subject loan closing date of 02/23/2007 one in the amount of \$83,500 with a monthly payment of \$448 and the other in the 2007-8H_No Fraud amount of \$123,000 with a monthly payment of \$660. It should be noted, the payments were calculated using a conservative 5% interest rate for 30 years. Two additional undisclosed mortgages were opened in the amount of \$105,000 on 02/07/2007, 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 16 days prior to the subject loan closing date of 02/23/2007 with a monthly payments of \$835, based on an 8.87% rate over 30 2007-8H_No Even years as reported on the sitexdata.com property report. Furthermore, the Borrower opened an additional undisclosed mortgage of Default in the amount of \$184,500 on 03/13/2007, 18 days after the subject loan closing date with a monthly mortgage payment of \$1,885. The Borrower opened a total of \$601,000 in undisclosed mortgages resulting in a total of \$4,663 in undisclosed monthly mortgage payment. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

A recalculation based on the Borrower's undisclosed monthly debts of \$4,663 and the verified monthly income of \$5,800 per

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose \$4,663 in undisclosed monthly debts, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

month yields a debt to income ratio (DTI) of 117.99%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.

owen_US B	ank_Final Vers	sion_44			08-13	8555-ma	Doc	4608	Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 30-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm	ent			
13 40		1st	LXS 2007-8H	4.04(h) (miii) 115	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject two closed on P2/33/2001 is the account off 23/11/10 as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence located into Planned Unit Development (PUD). The Toan was approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset (SISA) Documentation loan, with a 97.3770% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 41.80% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file.	SISA	\$297,000.00	2845641	
				1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	2	3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 41.80% to 60.08%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI 60%.		\$0.00	2845641	
				2007-8H_DTI	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentatio n of Income	3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated his income as a Maintenance Specialist earning \$6,900 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2006 income tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned a monthly income of \$4,854. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of debt to income ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$4,854 per month yields a DTI of 600.88%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Maintenance Specialist earning \$6,900 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.			2845641	
14 40	223349	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 03/02/2007, in the amount of \$174,000, as a purchase of a non-owner occupied, 4-Unit property. The loan was approved as a No Income/Verified Asset (No Ratio) Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor a Manual approval included in the	NIVA	\$174,000.00	2845642	
				2007- 8H_Compliance	Under-Disclosed Fees / APR	Loan Fees Under- Disclosed	1	3	loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act (TILA) required written disclosure as to the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file.		\$0.00	2845642	
				with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2007- 8H_Origination Practices					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower. The disclosed finance charge (\$350,110.40) is (\$215.40) below the actual finance charge (\$350,325.80). The Truth in Lending Act considers the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d) (1)). Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificate holders.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen US Bank Final Version 44

OR-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Atta

15 40247330	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	80-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm The subject was closed as pay 3/8/2000 in the appoint of F2000 or as a purchase of a non-owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved as a stated income/Verified Asset (SIVA) Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to	Stated	\$220,000.00	2845644
								Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 103/07/2007. in the loan file.			
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Evenade 60%	1	1 2	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.		\$0.00	2845644
			2007-8H DTI	DITEXCEEUS 00%	DITEXCEEUS 00%	1	13	Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from		\$0.00	2643044
			2007-011_011					48% to 125.30%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.			
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	2	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated her income as a Marketing Director with a			2845644
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Income - No	n of Income			financial institution, earning \$12,000 per month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment and income			
				Red Flags Present				was obtained from the Borrower's employer, which revealed the Borrower earned an actual monthly income of \$4,496 per			
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H No Fraud	- Same Year Income Evidence				month.			
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such			
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS					documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be			
			2007-8H_No Event of Default					stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.			
			or Belaute					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage			
								and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate			
								statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.			
								A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$4,496 per month yields a DTI of			
								125.30%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.			
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Marketing Director earning \$12,000 per month on			
								the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.			
16 40366371	1ct	LVS 2007 9H		Loan Summary	Loan Summany		0.0	The subject loan closed on 02/05/2007, in the amount of \$250,000, as a purchase of a second home single family residence.	Stated	\$350,000,00	2945647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0 0	The subject loan closed on 03/06/2007, in the amount of \$259,900, as a purchase of a second home single family residence located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	000	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0 0		Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Loan Summary DTI Exceeds 60%	ŕ		0 0	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI	,	ŕ			located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from	Stated	\$259,900.00	
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H		,	ŕ			located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.	Stated	\$259,900.00	
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1		located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6	Stated	\$259,900.00	
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentation of Income - No Red Flags Present	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month.	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$650 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647
16 40266371	1st	LXS 2007-8H	2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year	DTI Exceeds 60% Misrepresentatio	1	13	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 47.90% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/08/2007, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 47.90% to 587.93%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a beauty salon for 4.6 years, earning \$8,350 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$680 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed and the Borrower's 2006 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$656 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income for 2007, the year the subject loan	Stated	\$259,900.00	2845647

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen US Bank Final Version 44 08-13555-ma The Borrowet inisreprospherozer intending out to the Borrowet inisreprospherozer intending the Borrowet including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the property was an investment property and 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Occupancy 2845647 2007-8H_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy the Borrower received rental income in 2007, the year the subject loan closed. - With No Red 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present 2007-8H_No Event The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as a second home transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 17 40296550 \$50.000.00 2845649 LXS 2007-8H The subject loan closed on 03/15/2007, in the amount of \$50,000, as a purchase of an investment single family residence Loan Summary Loan Summary located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/01/2007, in the loan file. 2845649 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Capacity Planning Manager for 8.6 years, earning \$9,065 per month on the loan application. Research of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 2007-8H DTI n of Income - No In of Income Bankruptcy with the Central District of California on 12/14/2008. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which Red Flags Present 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Same Year revealed the Borrower earned \$8,125 per month in 2007 the year the subject loan closed. 2007-8H_No Fraud Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be 2007-8H_No Even stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Capacity Planning Manager for 8.6 years, earning \$9,065 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio 2845649 1.04 (c) (v) LXS The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as a Capacity Planning Manager for 8.6 years as his sole 2007-8H No Fraud In of Employment In of Employmen source of employment. Research of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy with the Central District With No Red of California on 12/14/2008. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which revealed the Borrower was also the 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present Owner of a Real Estate Development Company from 12/2006 through 06/2008.

loan.

default under the Mortgage.

2007-8H_No Event of Default

ability to earn an income and support the subject obligation.

The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification and disclosure of the Borrower's employment, so as to confirm the accuracy and stability of the Borrower's employment and adequacy of his financial means, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject

stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of

Without properly verifying the employment status of the Borrower, the lender could not properly evaluate the Borrower's

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Capacity Planning Manager for 8.6 years as his sole source of employment, which constitute an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_44

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Fintered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmo

_US Bank_Final			1			DOC	4608	<u>0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme</u>				
18 40442675	1st	LXS 2007-8H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0)	located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan	Stated	\$450,000.00	2845654	
								to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42% DTI. There was a Manual approval dated 04/02/2007, in the loan file.				
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3	3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.		\$0.00	2845654	
			2007-8H_DTI					Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 425.82%,				
								which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.				
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	2 3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Insurance Agent for			2845654	
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Income - No	n of Income			22 years, earning \$9,200 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrowers' 2008				
				Red Flags Present				tax return, which reflected the Borrower as a self-employed Insurance Agent and the Co-Borrower as a Homemaker and				
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS	- Near Year				revealed the Borrower's self-employment income was \$2,526 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have				
			2007-8H_No Fraud	Income Evidence				decreased considering the Borrower was self-employed in the same line of work.				
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS					The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification and				
			2007-8H_No Event					disclosure of the Borrower's income, so as to confirm the adequacy of the Borrower's financial means, was a significant factor				
			of Default					in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
								documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be				
								stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage				
								and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate				
								statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of				
								default under the Mortgage.				
								A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 425.82%, which exceeds				
								the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.				
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Insurance Agent for 22 years,				
								earning \$9,200 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or				
								Deed of Trust.				
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS	Misrepresentatio	-	3 3	3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Insurance Agent for		\$0.00	2845654	
			2007-8H_DTI	n of Income - No Red Flags Present	n of Income			22 years, earning \$9,200 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrowers' 2008 tax return, which reflected the Borrower as a self-employed Insurance Agent and the Co-Borrower as a Homemaker and				
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS	- Near Year				revealed the Borrower's self-employment income was \$2,526 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have				
			2007-8H_No Fraud					decreased considering the Borrower was self-employed in the same line of work.				
			1.04 (c) (vii) LXS					The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification and				
			2007-8H No Event					disclosure of the Borrower's income, so as to confirm the adequacy of the Borrower's financial means, was a significant factor				
			of Default					in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
								documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be				
								stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage				
								and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate				
								statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of				
								default under the Mortgage.				
								A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 425.82%, which exceeds				
								the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.				
								Doraita the Callade concentrations the Porcount foliable stated income as a self-ampleted Incurance Agent 5 - 22				
								Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Insurance Agent for 22 years, earning \$9,200 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or				
								Deed of Trust.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 44 08-13555-ma The Borrowery misraprespire their it is no top Orly in the Borrowery. The loan file contained post-closing documentation including a hardship letter from the Co-Borrower, which revealed the Borrowers had agreed to co-sign for their son for the 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Occupancy 2845654 2007-8H_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy - With No Red subject loan; however, were unaware the loan was made solely in their names and were not aware the loan had become 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present delinquent confirming they had not been making the payments. 2007-8H_No Event of Default Verification and disclosure of the Borrowers' intent to occupy the subject property as a second home, so as to confirm the Borrowers' personal investment in the subject property, was a significant factor in determining the Borrowers' reasonable ability to repay the subject loan The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage There is no evidence in the file that at least one named Borrower occupied the subject property as a second home. A significantly greater risk of default exists on those loans used to finance non-owner occupied properties. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as a second home transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 2845654 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Straw Purchaser Straw Purchaser The purchase was an ineligible transaction. The loan file contained post-closing documentation including a hardship letter from 2007-8H No Fraud Transaction the Co-Borrower, which revealed the Borrowers had agreed to co-sign for their son for the subject loan; however, were **Fransaction** unaware the loan was made solely in their names and were not aware the loan had become delinquent confirming they had not 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS been making the payments. 2007-8H_No Even of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers provided misleading information on the loan file, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 19 40447799 LXS 2007-8H \$351,000.00 2845655 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 04/11/2007, in the amount of \$351,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied 3-unit property. The loan was approved as a No Ratio loan (No Income, Verified Asset), with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was a Manual approval dated 04/02/2007, in the loan file. 2845655 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Occupancy Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. The loan was approved as an owner occupied 2007-8H_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy transaction; however, the loan file contained post closing documentation including the Borrower's pay stubs for 07/16/2007, - With No Red 08/01/2007 and 08/16/2007 and bank statements dated 05/18/2007, 06/19/2007 and 07/10/2007, which all reflected the 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present Borrower's departing address as her current address on the income verification, which were dated within 4 months after the 2007-8H_No Event closing on 04/11/2007. In addition, public records reported the Borrower resided at the departing residence from 08/2004 of Default through 10/2007. Further, the loan file contained a hardship letter, which revealed the property was purchased as an investment The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

default under the Mortgage.

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of

Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 44 08-13555-ma 20 40484743 The subject han closed on F4/20/2007 in the anomal of same stated. Stated The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value \$440,000,00 LXS 2007-8H 2845658 (LTV/CLTV), and a 45% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 04/19/2007, in the Ioan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% 2845658 The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. 2007-8H DTI Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified primary income and stated secondary income results in an increase from 45% to 83.84%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation 2845658 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Supervisor of a janitorial company 2007-8H DTI of Income of Income for 8.6 years, earning \$4,550 per month. An audit verification of employment and income was obtained through the employer, Red Flags Preser which revealed the Borrower was employed as a Janitor and earned \$1,761 per month in 2007, the year the subject loan closed. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Same Year 2007-8H_No Fraud Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2007-8H_No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified primary income and stated secondary income yields a DTI of 83.84%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Supervisor of a janitorial company for 8.6 years, earning \$4,550 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 21 45215480 \$182,000,00 2845661 LXS 2007-8H Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 02/01/2007, in the amount of \$182,000, as a purchase of a single family investment property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.13% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/29/2007, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a DTI in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's 2845661 2007-8H DTI representations, a re-calculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's more reasonable income and undisclosed debt results in an increase from 40.13% to 137.86%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Research of public records conducted through the Mortgage Electronic 2845661 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H DTI of Debt n of Debt Registry System, Accurint and an audit credit report, revealed the Borrower obtained 8 undisclosed mortgages the month prior, Obligations - No Obligations in the same month or within 30 days after the subject loan closing on 02/01/2007. The Borrower purchased an undisclosed 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Red Flags Present property located in Merritt Island, FL on 01/30/2007, obtaining a first mortgage in the amount of \$344,000 with a monthly 2007-8H No Fraud payment of \$2,405 and a second mortgage of \$86,000 with a monthly payment of \$842, purchased an undisclosed property located in Orlando FL on 01/30/2007, obtaining a first mortgage in the amount of \$252,000 with a monthly payment of \$1,417 and a second mortgage in the amount of \$63,000 with a monthly payment of \$565 and purchased an undisclosed property 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H No Event located in Edgewater, FL on 02/09/2007, obtaining a first mortgage in the amount of \$228,800 with a monthly payment of of Default \$1,692 and a second mortgage in the amount of \$57,200 with a monthly payment of \$661. The records also revealed the Borrower obtained an undisclosed mortgage in the amount of \$395,600 with a monthly payment of \$1,290 on an unknown property in 01/2007 and obtained an undisclosed third mortgage on her primary residence in the amount of \$120,500 with a monthly payment of \$613 on 02/12/2007. The subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification and disclosure of the Borrower's debt, so as to confirm the Borrower's overall creditworthiness and actual outstanding debt obligations, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

prohibits the lender from properly evaluating the Borrower's ability to repay the subject debt.

default under the Mortgage.

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of

There is no evidence in the file that a public records search was performed. The non-disclosure of \$9,486 in monthly debt

sulation based on the Borrower's more reasonable income and undisclosed debt yields a debt to income ratio (DTI) of

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen US Bank Final Version 44 08-13555-ma The Borrowe, inisreprosepted per disapsed in the Borrower falsely stated her income as the Director of Operations for an electrical company for 2.11 years, earning \$12,150 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation 2845661 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati n of Income 2007-8H_DTI of Income including the Borrower's 2008 pay stubs, dated 02/21/2008 and 03/06/2008, which revealed the Borrower earned a weekly Red Flags Presen 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Near Year salary of \$650, or \$2,816 per month. It is unlikely the Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was 2007-8H_No Fraud Income Evidence employed with the same employer in the same line of work. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2007-8H No Even documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be of Default stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's more reasonable income and undisclosed debt yields a DTI of 137.86%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as the Director of Operations for an electrical company for 2.11 years, earning \$12,150 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 22 45769676 The subject loan closed on 03/28/2007, in the amount of \$295,000, as a purchase of a single family investment property LXS 2007-8H Loan Summary \$295,000.00 2845669 Loan Summary located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/27/2007, in the loan file. 2845669 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated his income as a self-employed Owner of a 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI n of Income n of Income roofing company for 5.5 years, earning \$16,000 per month and the Co-Borrower falsely stated her income as an Red Flags Present Electrocardiogram (EEG) Technician for 5.5 years, earning \$4,500 per month. Research of public records reported the Borrowers 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Same Year filed a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy on 03/16/2009 with the District of Arizona. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, 2007-8H No Fraud Income Evidence which revealed the Borrower earned \$1,733 per month in self-employment income and the Co-Borrower earned \$3,339 per month from employment in 2007, the year the subject loan closed. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H No Even The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrowers or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of DTI based on the Borrowers' verified income yields a DTI of 116.21%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.

Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS

2007-8H DTI

oan Summary

DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60%

Loan Summary

LXS 2007-8H

23 45830395

39.03% to 92.58%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Owner of a roofing company for 5.5 years, earning \$16,000 per month and the Co-Borrower falsely stated her income as an Electrocardiogram (EEG) Technician for 5.5 years, earning \$4,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed

The subject loan closed on 04/03/2007, in the amount of \$99,540, as a purchase of an attached single family investment

The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%.

Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from

property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.42% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 03/28/2007, in the loan file.

\$99,540.00

Stated

2845672

2845671

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_44 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

ven_US Bank_Final Version_44	1		<u> 8555-mg </u>	<u> 4000</u>	80-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment			
	1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2007- 8H_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2007- 8H_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	1 3	The subject had did not comply with implicated by The front needing (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final executed TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.	\$000	2845671	
	1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-8H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence		2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated his primary income as the Owner of a property management company for 2 years and secondary self-employment as an Environmental Consultant for 2 years earning a total monthly income of \$11,000. However, the loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return filed jointly with his spouse, which revealed the Borrower's household annual income was negative. The return reflected a negative \$6,211 per month for the Borrower's property management business, a monthly income of \$93 for his consulting business and \$4,954 per month in salaried wages. It should be noted, the Borrower did not state any salaried wages and the return does not reflect the Borrower's spouse's employment; therefore, all income was credited to the Borrower and combined for a total income of negative \$1,164 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$0 yields an invalid DTI, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated primary income as the Owner of a property management company for 2 years and secondary self-employment as an Environmental Consultan		2845671	
24 46009130 1st LXS	2007-8H	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 04/05/2007, in the amount of \$305,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied 2 unit residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 39.03% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 04/03/2007, in the loan file.	\$305,000.00	2845675	
	1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-8H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	1 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of DTI based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 39.03% to 92.58%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%.		2845675	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 1-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen US Bank Final Version 44 08-13555-ma Doc 46080-7 The Borrower inisrepresepped is discoord into the The Forthwest alsely stated income as a Case Manager for a health care facility for 9 years, earning 57,500 per month. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the 2845675 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati 2007-8H_DTI n of Income - No n of Income Red Flags Present Borrower's 2006 W-2 form, which revealed the Borrower earned \$3,177 per month. In addition, an audit verification of 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Near Year employment was obtained through the employer confirming the Borrower was employed in the same position. It is unlikely the 2007-8H_No Fraud Income Evidence Borrower's income would have decreased considering the Borrower was employed with the same employer in the same line of work. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H_No Event The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income yields a DTI of 92.58%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Case Manager for a health care facility for 9 years, earning \$7,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or

Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_44 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmen

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_44			08-13	8555-mg	Doc 4	16080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
25 718056	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary		00	The subject han closed ap \$3.75/198D in the appoint of \$3.05. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) Nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the loan purpose, lien position, documentation type, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV) and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) could not be determined due to missing documentation.
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrowers, acknowledged and executed by the Borrowers, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Subject Note	Failure to Provide Subject Note	4 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrowers and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.
26 7763501	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 04/03/1997, in the amount of \$40,388. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file only contained the Note, Title Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the loan purpose, documentation type, occupancy, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV) and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) could not be determined due to missing
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	documentation. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
27 8629198	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 12/15/2006, in the amount of \$64,823. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_44 08-13555-mg The subject han did not certain with Difficulties with the subject han did not be provided to the Borrower, as nowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as 2844670 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Final Provide Final HUD-1 NP3_Compliance HUD-1 evidence of compliance. The HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 2844670 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. Provide the Final Provide Final TIL NP3 Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 28 8718363 SAS 2003-NP3 The subject loan closed on 06/04/1991, in the amount of \$31,762. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System \$31,762,00 284466 Loan Summary Loan Summary (AUS)nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, title commitment and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. 2844667 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final Provide Final Provide Final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as NP3_Compliance HUD-1 HUD-1 evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be 2844667 Failure to 2003-Provide the Final Provide Final TII acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. NP3_Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 29 8859860 SAS 2003-NP3 The subject loan closed on 02/12/1992, in the amount of \$53,457. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor \$53,457.00 2844659 oan Summary Loan Summary Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, Title and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final 2844659 Failure to 2003-Provide Final Provide Final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as NP3 Compliance HUD-1 HUD-1 evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Failure to 2844659 Provide the Final Provide Final TIL acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. NP3 Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.

Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 44

ven_US Bank_Final Version_4	4		08-13	3555-mg	Dog	4608	<u> </u>	nent			
30 8897969	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0 0	There was natified an experimed Underwriting Source of Manuscrapproval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. There was no critical documentation provided for the file.	Unknown	\$0.00	2844671	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		\$0.00	2844671	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			2844671	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Subject Note	Failure to Provide Subject Note		4 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject Note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.		\$0.00	2844671	
									$\overline{}$		
31 8913246	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0	The subject loan closed in the amount of \$52,505. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. There was no critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.		\$52,505.00	2844653	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	2 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan compliance with the Loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		\$0.00	2844653	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	9	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			2844653	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Subject Note	Failure to Provide Subject Note	4	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject Note was missing from the Loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.		\$0.00	2844653	

Copyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 12/31/2013 4:50:32 PM Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule Repo

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

OR-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:3

en_US Bank_Final Ver	rsion_44		08-13	3555-mg	Doc 46	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	ent	
32 9318692	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 05/01/2001, in the amount of \$80,692. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, Title and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown \$80,692.00	2844669
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.	\$0.00	2844669
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		2844669
						Grand Total of Repurchase Demand	\$5,996,356.00	

Cowen US Bank Final Version 43 Loan Number First or ection of the ssue Description Breach Document Type Original Balance racking Number Comments V - part 3 Pg 200 of 256 MLSAA Breached 0032968737 SARM 2006-7 Loan Summary oan Summary The subject loan closed on 06/13/2006, in the amount of \$540,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied, single family residence located in a \$540,000.00 2846322 planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and 39.11% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/13/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio he Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Research of public records and an audit credit report revealed the Borrower acquired two undisclosed 2846322 Misrepresentati 2006-7_No Fraud nortgages in the amounts of \$440,000 and \$82,500 with undisclosed monthly mortgage payments of \$2,756 and \$773 on 05/10/2006, which was only 1 Obligations - No Obligations nonth prior to the subject loan closing on 06/13/2006. 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Red Flags Presen 2006-7 No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$3,529 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as a self-employed President of a mortgage company as his sole source of income. 2846322 2006-7_No Fraud n of Employment in of Employmer Research performed through Accurint revealed the Borrower was also a Member/Owner of a title agency, which was active from 05/17/2005 through With No Red 09/05/2006 and a President/Owner of a paralegal service company from 03/08/2000 through 06/2011, which covered the subject loan closing date of 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Flags Present 06/13/2006. 2006-7_No Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated self-employment as a President of a mortgage company as s e of income for 3.5 years, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Occupancy Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. The loan file included post-closing documents dated 04/20/2007, which was only 10 2846322 2006-7 No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy months after the subject loan closing date of 06/13/2006 that also listed a different mailing located in Phoenix, Arizona. - With Red 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Flags Present The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any 2006-7 No Event of untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in Default connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust

Loan Summary

nal HUD-1

Failure to Provide Failure to

Failure to Provide Failure to

the Final TIL

1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain

Loan Summary

Appraisal

2004-S3 Qualified the Origination

Provide Final

Provide Final TIL

Qualified

Appraisal

Loan Summary

HUD-1

1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS

S3 Compliance

with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA

1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS

S3 Origination

1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS

S3_Compliance with Applicable Lav

- Deemed MnA

1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2004-

S3 Origination

Practices

Appraisal

SAIL 2004-10

Practices

2004-

2 0400731386 2nd

0400734695

SASC 2004-S3

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Of the subject loan closed on 08/24/3004, to the amogn of \$15000. Tightlat part in legal the subject loan was a purchase of an owner occupied property.

The loan was approved as a Stated income verified Asset (SIVA) documentation loan; with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), \$52,000.00 2846669 and a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) could not be determined. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file included the subject second lien note, the first lien and the subject second lien mortgage and title policy. There were no other critical documentations provided for the file and additional information could be obtained from the data tape that was provided for the The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the 284666 Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 284666 Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.

284666

2845732

\$159,000.00

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and

The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that,

at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The

The subject loan closed on 09/08/2004, in the amount of \$159,000. The data tape indicated that the subject loan was a purchase of an owner occupied,

Condominium. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) could not be determined. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file included the subject note, the subject mortgage, the title policy, the homeowner's insurance policy and HUD-1 Settlement Statement. There was no other critical documentations provided for the file and additional information could be obtained from the data tape was

adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

provided for the subject loan.

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

The subject loan did not comply with applicable law The Tenting Till section of the loan filed the filed on the loan filed on the loan filed the filed on the loan filed the filed on the loan filed on the loan filed the filed on the loan filed on Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_43 08-13555-mg 1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to 284573 2004the Final TIL 10 Compliance with Applicable Lav The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. - Deemed MnA Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (h) SAIL 2004-10_Fees Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and Disclosed - Deemed adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. MnA Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xviii) (i) SAIL 2004-10 Written Disclosure Deemed MnA Pool 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2004-10_Origination Practices The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, 2845732 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain 2004-10 Qualified the Origination Qualified at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 4 108956962 SAS 2003-NP3 Loan Summary Loan Summary 0 The subject loan closed on 12/22/1998, in the amount of \$42,433. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval \$42,433.00 284466 Jnknown included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program n effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file contained a copy of the subject note, the subject mortgage, and a partial title policy. There were no other critical documentations provided for the file and no data tape was provided for the subject loan, 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the 2844663 2003-Provide Final Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the nal HUD-1 NP3_Compliance HUD-1 loan file. with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 2844663 Failure to Provide Failure to 2003he Final TIL Provide Final TII Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. NP3 Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 108957184 SAS 2003-NP3 0 The subject loan closed on 12/10/1998, in the amount of \$50,460. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval \$50,460,00 284466 Loan Summary Loan Summary Jnknown included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program n effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file contained a copy of the subject note and the subject mortgage. There were no other critical documentations provided for the file and no data tape was provided for the subject loan. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS 284466 Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the nal HUD-1 Provide Final Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the NP3 Compliance HUD-1 with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to 284466 the Final TIL Provide Final TIL Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.

NP3_Compliance with Applicable

Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.

The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 43 The subject loan closed on 01/01/2007, in the amount of \$175,990 are our chare of enjoy-owner occupied, Condominium. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) Documentation loan, with 100% Loan to Value Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 31.93% Debt to Income 6 123968174 Loan Summary \$175,990.00 284567 Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 11/28/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's 284567 2007-8H DTI representations, a recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,670 per month and the undisclosed monthly debt of \$1,349 per month results in the an increase in the DTI from 31.93% to 99.84%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Research of public records and an audit credit report, revealed the Borrower purchased an undisclosed 284567 of Debt 2007-8H_DTI n of Debt property located in Albany, Oregon on 12/19/2006, 14 days prior to the subject loan closing date of 01/02/2007 and obtained a first mortgage in the amount Obligations - No Obligations of \$156,753 with an undisclosed monthly payment of \$1,039 and a second mortgage in the amount of \$39,189 with an undisclosed monthly payment of 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Red Flags Present 2007-8H No Fraud The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-8H No Event connection with the origination of the subject loan. of Default in addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,670 per month and the undisclosed monthly debt of \$1,349 per month yields a DTI of 99.84%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose \$1,349 in additional monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Driver with a transportation company earning \$7,000 per 284567 2007-8H DTI of Income - Red n of Income month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment and income was included in the loan file. The loan file contained the Borrower's 2006 ags Present W-2 statement and the Borrower's 2007 W-2 statement in the post-closing documents. The subject loan closed on 01/02/2007; therefore, the Borrower's 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Same Year 2006 income would have been used to qualify the Borrower at origination, which revealed the Borrower earned a monthly income of \$3,670. 2007-8H No Fraud Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in 2007-8H_No Event connection with the origination of the subject loan. of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) based on the Borrower's verified income of \$3,670 per month and the undisclosed monthly debt of \$1,349 per month yields a DTI of 99.84%, which exceeds the Seller's represented DTI of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Driver earning \$7,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her employment on the loan application as a Driver Transportation for 6.58 years as her sole source of employment. Research 284567 2007-8H No Fraud n of Employment n of Employme of public records reported the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy with the Central District of California on 04/10/2009. The petition included a Statement With No Red of Financial Affairs, which revealed the Borrower was an Owner of an undisclosed self-employed business. Additional research performed through Accurint 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present revealed the Borrower's undisclosed self-employed business was active from 01/2005 to the present, which covers the subject loan closing date of 2007-8H_No Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Driver Transportation for 6.58 years as her sole source of employment,

Loan Summary

Loan Summary

SASC 2004-GEL2

14868327

The subject loan closed on 12/20/2002, in the amount of \$20,000, as a purchase of a non-owner occupied Single Family Residence. The loan was approved

as a Stated Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 13.84%/90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 43.43% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI).

\$20,000.00

284662

which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

There was a Manual approval dated 11/25/2002, in the loan file.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_43 08-13555-ma The subject loan was underwritten without proper paged by the Boy pyg's responsible will to repay. Verification of the Borrower's identity, so as to confirm the absence of fraud and/or the Borrower's personal assessment in the subject property, was a significant factor in determining the Borrower's 1.04 (c) (v) SAS Failure to Verify 284662 2004-GEL2 No Social Security Social Security reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The origination credit report was missing from the loan file. Public records and Social Security Number validator Fraud Numbei indicated the Borrower's Social Security number was invalid 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2004-GEL2 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely Event of Default Despite the Seller's representation, there is no validation in the file of the Borrower's Social Security number. \$80,050.00 284465 20136362 SAS 2003-NP3 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 01/02/1991, in the amount of \$80,050. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval Jnknown ncluded in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program n effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the documentation type, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), occupancy and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) were unable to be determined due to missing documentation. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the 2844654 2003inal HUD-1 Provide Final Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the NP3_Compliance HUD-1 with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act (TIL) required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 2844654 2003he Final TIL Provide Final TIL Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. NP3 Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 20184925 SAS 2003-NP3 \$41.987.00 284465 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 11/16/1990, in the amount of \$41,987. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program n effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the documentation type, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), occupancy and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) were unable to be determined due to missing documentation. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the 284465 Provide Final Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the NP3_Compliance HUD-1 loan file. with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act (TIL) required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 2844658 2003the Final TIL Provide Final TII Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. NP3 Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 10 20264289 SAS 2003-NP3 The subject loan closed on 10/16/1986, in the amount of \$48,950. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval \$48,950.00 284465 Loan Summary Loan Summary included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program n effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Title Insurance Policy, an illegible copy of the note and an illegible copy of the deed. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. Failure to Provide Failure to 1.04 (b) (v) SAS The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 284465 2003the Final TIL Provide Final TIL Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. NP3 Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject 284465 Provide Subject he Subject Note transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. NP3_Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the copy of the note in file was illegible and there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.

				<u> </u>	Joo IIIg	<u> DOC 40000-7 FIIEU 00/22/14 LIILEIEU 00/22/14 13.34.10 ALLACIIIIIEI</u>	!			
11 20360426	SAS 2003-	B-NP3	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme! The subject loan closed on 06/23/994, in the amount of \$13,700.7 in the var scription of the control of the loan file to definitively as refam whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the documentation type, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), occupancy and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) were unable to be determined due to missing documentation.	Unknown	\$42,700.00	2844660	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.		\$0.00	2844660	
						Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	failure to Provide the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act (TIL) required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.			2844660	
			the Final TIL provide Final TIL before the Selfer's represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Selfer's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (ITV/CLTV), due to financed mortgage insurance premiums. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and applicable laws. Despite the Selfer's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file to the loan to Value (ITV/CLTV), due to financed mortgage insurance premiums. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and applicable laws. Despite the Selfer's representation on the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Appraisal, Final HUD-1, Title insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tapplicable laws. The selfer is the subject loan for comply with paliciable laws. Despite the Selfer's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Loan Summary Lo							
12 20552873 1	st SAS 2003-	3-NP3	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	mortgage insurance premiums. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Appraisal, Final HUD-1, Title Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the documentation type, occupancy and Debt to Income Ratio	Unknown	\$52,350.00	2844651	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable			2 3	Borrowers and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.		\$0.00	2844651	
						Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
13 20625067	SAS 2003-	ND2	Laan Cummanu	Lean Cummanı	0.0	The use of the sea Advantable Undergriting Carbon (AUC) as Manuel appropriate field in the long file to definite the constraint whether the long use	Linkanum		2044662	
15/20025007	3A3 2003-	D-INF3	Luaii Suilillai y	Luaii Suiiiiiai y						
									2844002	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable			2 3	contained the Borrower's signed loan application, the Note, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the				
		2003- NP3_Compliance		Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	contained the Borrower's signed loan application, the Note, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.		50.00		
		2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable 1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance	Failure to Provide the Final TIL Failure to Provide the Subject Note	Provide Final HUD-1 Failure to Provide Final TIL Failure to		The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Police in the loan file that the subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.		50.00	2844662	
		2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable 1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable 1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance	Failure to Provide the Final TIL Failure to Provide the Subject Note	Provide Final HUD-1 Failure to Provide Final TIL Failure to Provide Subject		The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that		50.00	2844662 2844662	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen US Bank Final Version 43

OR-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22

DIŞ	ZILAI INISK - LIVAII I	review rinuings	
oc 46080-7	Filed 08/22/14	Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18	<u>Attachmen</u>

owen_US Bank_Final version_43			<u> 08-135</u>	<u> 555-m</u>		<u> Doc 46080-7 - Filed 08/22/14 - Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 - Attachmer</u>	<u>nt </u>			
	1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	:3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Rep Estate in thement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			2844655	
	1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		\$0.00	2844655	
	1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Subject Note		4	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.			2844655	

45 00050454	545 2000 1100		li a	00-T2222-	<u>iiig i</u>	JOC 40000-7 THEO 00/22/14 Effect 00/22/14 13.34.10 Attachine	111	1	2011557	
15 20659454	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AU) from Market properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guide time loan programm effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application, the Note, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file.	Unknown		2844657	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			2844657	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003-	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.		\$0.00	2844657	
		NP3_Compliance with Applicable	the Final Fil	Frovide Fillal TIL		The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.				
						Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Subject Note		4 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.			2844657	
						Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.				
16 2005 2017	CAC 2002 ND2		Laan Cummanu	Lean Cummany	0.0	The subjection alored as 04/09/4002 is the amount of CAT 472. There was neither an Automated Hadas within Custom (AHC) and Manual anaround	Linkanana	Ć4F 4F2 00	2044564	
16 2095291/	L6 20952917 SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 01/08/1993, in the amount of \$45,153. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the loan file contained a mortgage insurance certificate, which reflected the loan was a refinance; however, type of refinance, documentation type, occupancy and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) were unable to be determined due to missing documentation.	Unknown	\$45,153.00	2844661	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file.			2844661	
						The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.				
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS	Failure to Provide	Failure to	2.2	Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act (TIL) required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the		¢0.00	2844661	
		2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	3 3	Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.		30.00	2044001	
		with Applicable				The seller represented and warranted that the subject to an complied in an indeenal respects with applicable laws.				
						Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
17 21025192	SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application, the Note, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file.	Unknown		2844649	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 is missing from the loan file.			2844649	
		with Applicable				The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.				
		1.04 (b) (c) CAC	Eniluro to Dravida	Eailuro to	2 2	Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the			2844649	
		1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	3 3	Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.		\$0.00	2844649	
		with Applicable				The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.				
						Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_43

wen_05 i	Darik_i illa	i version_2	+5			<u> 08-135</u>	<u>55-111</u>	<u>g</u> L	<u> 20c 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme</u>	<u>nt </u>			
				1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Subject Note	Failure to Provide Subject Note	4	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Repi Estate Fatherment Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.			2844649	
18 2	21113519		SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed loan application, the Note, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file.	Unknown		2844673	
				1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			2844673	
				1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3		The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		\$0.00	2844673	
				1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Subject Note		4	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.			2844673	
19 2	1187166		SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0		The subject loan closed on 12/03/1992, in the amount of \$74,984. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Policy and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, due to missing documentation the documentation type, loan purpose, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), occupancy and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) could not be determined.	Unknown	\$74,984.00	2844668	
				1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrowers, acknowledged and executed by the Borrowers, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.			2844668	
				1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3		Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act (TIL) required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrowers and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		SULU	2844668	
20 2	1278502		SAS 2003-NP3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 11/24/1992, in the amount of \$40,150. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, the documentation type, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), occupancy and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) were unable to be determined due to missing documentation.	Unknown	\$40,150.00	2844648	

_US Bank_Final Version_43		08-13555-mg	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
	1.04 (b) (v) SAS Failure to Provide 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Pailure to 2 \$\frac{3}{2}\$ Provide Final HUD-1	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment The subject loan did not comply with applicable lays. The Rep Istate in the loan file of the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and complied in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.
	1.04 (b) (v) SAS 2003- NP3_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to 3 3 Provide Final TIL	
04 00447504			
21 32147621 1st LMT 2006-2	Loan Summary	Loan Summary 0 0	The subject loan closed on 11/23/2005, in the amount of \$488,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Policy and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. It should be noted, due to missing documentation the documentation type, Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV) and Debt to Income Ratio (DTI) could not be determined.
	1.04 (b) (xii) LMT 2006-2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xxxiv) LMT 2006-2_Origination Practices	Failure to 1 3 Provide Final TIL	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrowers and maintained in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
	1.04 (b) (xii) LMT 2006-2_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xxxiv) LMT 2006-2_Origination Practices	Failure to 2 Provide Right of Rescission	3 The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrowers, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Right of Rescission is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain a Right of Rescission, which is evidence of the subject loan's noncompliance with applicable law. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
	1.04 (c) (xxxv) LMT Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal Appraisal	Failure to Obtain 3 3 Qualified Appraisal	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 43 22 32542011 LXS 2006-11 The subject loan closed on 03/01/3006, in the amount of \$775,750 anappurchase of prowner occupied single family residence located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verliked asset (SIVA) loan, with a 75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a \$576,750.00 2845385 Loan Summary 44.263% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Research of public records conducted through the Mortgage Electronic Registry System and an audit 2845385 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati 1.04 (c) (v) LXS of Debt n of Debt credit report revealed the Borrower owned an undisclosed property located in Mira Loma, CA and refinanced an undisclosed mortgage on 02/09/2006, 20 2006-11 No Fraud Obligations -Obligations days prior to the subject loan closing on 03/01/2006, and obtained a new mortgage in the amount of \$386,000 with a monthly payment of \$2,638. Vith Red Flags 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any resent 2006-11 No Event untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in of Default connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$2,638 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed rental income. The Borrower falsely stated rental income in the amount of \$2,400 per month for his departing 284538 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati n of Income - No n of Income 2006-11 No Fraud residence. Research of public records revealed the Borrower remained residing in the proposed departure residence from 10/1975 through 11/2013; Red Flags Presen therefore, the rental income was misrepresented. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS - Same Year 2006-11 No Event The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any Income Evidence of Default untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated rental income on his departing residence in the amount of \$2,400 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. The loan application reflected the Borrower was purchasing a new primary residence 284538 Occupancy 2006-11_No Fraud Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy and his departure residence was to become a rental property. Research conducted through public records revealed the Borrower continued to reside in the - With No Red departure residence form 10/1975 through 11/2013 and did not occupy the subject property as required. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present 2006-11 No Event The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any of Default untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under he executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust 284542 oan Summary he subject loan closed on 03/28/2006, in the amount of \$308,500, as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence located in a Planned Unit \$308,500.00 Loan Summary Development (PUD). The loan was approved as a Stated Income, Verified Assets loan, with a 79.97%/99.93% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 38.54% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 02/24/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xviii) (D) Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a home based electrical business, earning \$15,000 284542 LXS 2006n of Income - Red n of Income per month on the loan application. The post-closing tax return, obtained through the modification process for 2006 the year of the subject loan closing, did 8 Underwriting Flags Present not reflect any income. The post-closing tax return for 2005 indicated earnings of \$1,135 per month. Methodology -Same Year Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in onnection with the origination of the subject loan 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-8 No Fraud In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. 2006-8_No Event of Default Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a home based electrical business, earning \$15,000 per month on the oan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

		al Version_		•		08-135	<u>555-m</u>	g D	Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Ooc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	<u>nt</u>		-	
24 3275	51166	1st	LXS 2006-8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	O	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme! The subject loan closed on 04/11/2006, in the amount of \$15000, or a purchase or any owner occupied Single Family Residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income, Verified Assets Ioan Miniferance (Continued to an University Continued to Continued to an University Continued to Continued to Continued to Co	Stated	\$58,000.00	2845431	
				1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-8_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-8_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence		1	3	There was a Manual approval dated 04/11/2006, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a sports card business earning \$6,500 per month on the loan application. The Bankruptcy records obtained through Pacer, filed on 07/20/2008, indicated the Borrower earned \$5,923 per month in 2006, the year of the subject loan closing. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		SUIX	2845431	
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a sports card business earning \$6,500 per month on the loan				
									application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
25 3276	63682		LXS 2006-8		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 04/19/2006, in the amount of \$146,400 as purchase of a second home located in a Planned Unit Development (PUD)The loan was approved with an 80%/99.99% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, Title, Hazard Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$146,400.00	2845432	
				1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2006-8_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xviii) (G) LXS 2006-8_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xviii) (H) LXS 2006- 8_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2006-8_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	1	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		50.00	2845432	
				1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2006-8_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	2	3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.			2845432	
									Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Of the subject loan closed on 05/31/2006, by the among to 65 pt/2000 paraging the of growner occupied property. The loan was approved with an 80%/100% Unlarged to the value of the control of the subject loan to value (19/CLTV). There was beginner an Automated of Merwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_43 26 32902298 LXS 2006-11 \$412,000.00 284538 Loan Summary definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrowers' signed Note, Title, Hazard Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 284538 he Final TIL Provide Final TIL Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. 11 Compliance with Applicable Lav The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Deemed MnA Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2006-Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and 11_Origination adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, 284538 2006-11_Qualified the Origination Qualified at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 27 32904377 LXS 2006-11 The subject loan closed on 05/17/2006, in the amount of \$223,920, as a purchase of a property. The loan was approved with an 80% Loan to Unknown \$223,920.00 2845388 Loan Summary Loan Summary Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, Title, Hazard Insurance and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the 2845388 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS the Final TIL Provide Final TII Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. 11_Compliance with Applicable Lav The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. - Deemed MnA Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. L.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2006-Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and 11 Origination adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, 2845388 2006-11 Qualified the Origination Qualified at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. LXS 2006-15 \$538,500.00 284539 28 32980104 Loan Summary Loan Summary he subject loan closed on 07/15/2006, in the amount of \$538,500, as a purchase of an owner occupied condominium. The loan was approved as a Stated ncome, Verified Assets Ioan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 42.57% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/30/2006, in the loan file 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as a Pediatric Dentist for 2.5 years as his sole source of employment. Public records 284539 2006-15 No Fraud n of Employment in of Employmen and the post-closing tax returns obtained through the modification process for the year 2008, revealed the Borrower was also a self-employed Dentist from With No Red 08/20/2003. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS lags Present 2006-15_No Event The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any of Default untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

onstituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Pediatric Dentist for 2.5 years as his sole source of employment, which

Digital Diely La

	Digita	I KISK - J	Loan K	eview .	Findings	
$^{\circ}$		104 00/0			~ฯ บบ <i>เ</i> บ็บ <i>เ</i> ข	1

20 22070266	al Version_			h c	<u> 08-13555-</u>	<u> </u>	The House of State of the House	I I L		2045401	
29 33079369	1st	LXS 2006-15		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme The subject loan closed on 07/19/2006, in the amognt of \$83250.53 and by the original of a non-owner occupied Single Family Residence. The loan was approved as a No Income, No Assets (Not Native) loan, with a 750 Loan to Value (CTV/CLTV). There was a Manual approval dated 07/18/2006, in the loan file.	NIVA	\$89,250.00	2845401	
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2006-15_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations - With Red Flags Present	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	1 3	The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. The audit credit report indicated the Borrower acquired an undisclosed mortgage in 07/2006, the same month as the subject loan closing, in the amount of \$407,000 with a monthly payment of \$2,679 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.		30.00	2845401	
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$2,679 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
	05735 1st LXS 2006-15 L										
		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/12/2006, in the amount of \$431,097, as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence located in a Planned Unit Development (PUD). The loan was approved as a Stated Income, Verified Assets loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 49.71% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/15/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$431,097.00	2845405			
	2006- 15_Co	15_Compliance	Under-Disclosed Fees / APR	Loan Fees Under- Disclosed	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required written disclosure as to the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/c applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file.	r	\$0.00	2845405		
			with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA				The disclosed finance charge (\$740,798.13) is (\$159.79) below the actual finance charge (\$740,957.92). The Truth in Lending Act considers				
			1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2006-				The disclosed finance charge is inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d) (1)).				
			15_Origination Practices				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.				
							Despite the Seller's representations, APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower.				
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
		1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2006-15_No Fraud	Occupancy Misrepresentatio n - With No Red	Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy	2 3	The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. Public records and Utility records indicated the Borrower remained at the proposed departure residence from 12/2002 to 09/2013 and also indicated other individuals occupied the subject property from 07/2006 to 08/2013.			2845405		
		ĺ	1.04 (c) (vii) LXS	Flags Present			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any				
	2006-15_	2006-15_No Event of Default				untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.					
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
							Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.				

30 33163841	LXS 2006-15		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 08/10/2006, Prine amount of \$164,000 and \$1	0.00 2845406
		. , . ,		Failure to Provide Final TIL	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrowers and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	2845406
		2006-15_Qualified	0	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.	2845406
						Grand Total of Repurchase Demand \$4.902.624	1.00

Copyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 12/31/2013 4:38:45 PM

Report: /Digital Risk/Department Specific Reporting/Private Label Securities/Cowen/Cowen Standard Findings Schedule
Repo

Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 Breach Description part 3 Pg 215 of 256 MISAA Breach The subject loan closed on 10/13/2004, in the amount of \$124,000, as a purchase of a non owner occupied property. The subject loan had Unknown SASC 2004-21XS oan Summary 284656 an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Note, Mortgage, Homeowners insurance Policy, Hud-1 settlement statement and Title Policy. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2846564 the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination 21XS_Qualified Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with origination practices. The Truth in Lending Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be 2846564 2004the Final TII Provide Final TI acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the 21XS Origination loan file. Practices The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with the origination practices. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the origination 2 000000019393552 SARM 2005-6XS The subject loan closed on 11/10/2004, in the amount of \$148,000, as a purchase of a second home detached single family residence. The \$148,000.00 284618 subject loan was approved with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Note, Mortgage, final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, Title Policy and Homeowners Insurance Policy. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be 2846186 acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the 6XS_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local state and federal laws 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005-Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 6XS_Origination Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (xviii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2846186 2005-6XS_Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal appraisal is missing from the loan file.

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

US Bank_Final Version_29 3 000000019413442		SARM 2005-6XS		Loan Summary	8555-mg	<u> </u>	80-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	Unknown	\$214,400.00	2846187	
3 000000019413442	1st	SAKM 2005-6XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 12/43/2004 in the angular of \$21,4406, as a purchase of a non owner occupied detached single family residence loated in Planked onto Development in Subject to an Was approved with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively	Unknown	\$214,400.00	2846187	
							ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Note, Mortgage, Title Policy and Homeowner's Insurance				
							Policy. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.			2010107	
			1.04 (b) (xii) SARM 2005- 6XS_Compliance	Final HUD-1	Provide Final HUD-1	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 settlement statement to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file.		\$0.00	2846187	
			with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.				
			1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005- 6XS_Origination				Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
			Practices				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
			1.04 (b) (xii) SARM			2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be			2846187	
			2005- 6XS_Compliance with Applicable	the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL		acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the loan file.				
			Law - Deemed MnA				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.				
			1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005- 6XS_Origination				Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
			Practices				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
			1.04 (c) (xviii) SARM 2005-6XS Qualified		Failure to Obtain Qualified	4 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination			2846187	
			Appraisal	Appraisal	Appraisal		appraisal is missing from the loan file.				
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.				
							Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.				
4 000000019597343	1st	SASC 2005-7XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 01/28/2005, in the amount of \$359,650, as a cash-out refinance of a non-owner occupied, 4-unit property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan program, with a 74.16%/90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 35% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/27/2005, in the loan file. The subject loan closed with a second lien in the amount of \$76,850.	Stated	\$359,650.00	2846703	
			1.04 (b) (xii) SAS 2005-		Loan Fees Under- Disclosed	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act (TILA) required written disclosure as to the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower,	1		2846703	
			7XS_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA				and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file. The Truth in Lending Act may apply if an owner plans to occupy an investment property for more than 14 days during the coming year.				
			1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005-				(Commentary to 12 CFR Sec. 1026.3(a)). TILA considers a disclosed APR inaccurate if it is lower than the actual APR by more than .125% on a regular mortgage loan. (12 CFR Sections 1026.17(f), 1026.19(a)(2), & 1026.22(a)(2)). The disclosed APR (7.169%) varies from the actual APR (8.7568%).				
			7XS_Origination Practices				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the				
							Borrower. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to				
			1.04 (b) (xii) SAS	Under-Disclosed	Loan Fees Under-	2 3	materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act (TILA) required written disclosure as to)	\$0.00	2846703	
			2005- 7XS_Compliance with Applicable		Disclosed		the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file.				
			Law - Deemed MnA				The Truth in Lending Act may apply if an owner plans to occupy an investment property for more than 14 days during the coming year. (Commentary to 12 CFR Sec. 1026.3(a)). TILA considers a disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual				
			1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination				finance charge. (12 CFR Sec. 1026.18(d)(1)). The disclosed finance charge (\$485,475.47) is lower than the actual finance charge (\$670,384.65) by (\$184,909.18), which is a 1.5878% difference.				
							The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,				
			Practices				state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Borrower.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as the Owner of a business consulting company for 6 years as his sole source of self employment. Research Ondected through according to the Borrower's business report revealed that the Borrower had L.04 (c) (v) SAS n of Employment in of Employmer 2005-7XS No Fraud two undisclosed businesses that were open and active at the time of closing. The Borrower had a public benefit business with an operation 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS date from 04/05/2002 to the present and an additional business with an operation date from 08/30/2001 to the present, which covered Flags Present 2005-7XS_No Event the subject loan closing date of 01/28/2005. Accurint also revealed the undisclosed businesses were registered in the Borrower's name at of Default the Borrower's primary residence he subject loan was underwritten without proper regard to the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Verification and disclosure of the rrower's employment, so as to confirm the accuracy and stability of the Borrower's employment and adequacy of his financial means, vas a significant factor in determining the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. ithout properly verifying the employment status of the Borrower, the lender could not properly evaluate the Borrower's ability to earn a come and support the subject obligation Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated self-employment as the Owner of a Business Consultant company for 6 years, as his sole source of self employment, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 000000019597848 ARM 2005-6XS \$215,600.00 284619 The subject loan closed on 12/22/2004, in the amount of \$215,600, as a cash out refinance of a non owner occupied detached single family NINENA oan Summary Loan Summary Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was a Manual approval dated 12/14/2004, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. A review of the Mortgage Electronic Registry System and the audit credit report 2846193 2005-6XS_No Fraud n of Debt n of Debt reflected the Borrowers obtained an undisclosed refinance on the same day as the subject loan closing of 12/22/2004 on a property Obligations - No Obligations located in Hesperia, CA in the amount of \$229,500 with a monthly payment of \$1,458. The Borrowers paid off an existing disclosed 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Red Flags Present mortgage with a payment of \$1,101, which results in a payment increase of \$357. 2005-6XS_No Even of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender b Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage The non-disclosure of a \$357 monthly debt prohibits the lender from properly evaluating the Borrowers' ability to repay the subject debt. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$357 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 6 000000019634534 SARM 2005-8XS The subject loan closed on 01/27/2005, in the amount of \$53,600, as a purchase of a non-owner occupied, 3-unit residence. The loan was \$53,600.00 284619 oan Summary Loan Summary approved as a Stated Income/Stated Asset documentation loan, with an 80%/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 37% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 01/26/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SARM Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application as the Owner of a home repair business for 6 years. Research of 2846197 public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy with the Western District of Pennsylvania on 07/14/2007. The petition 2005-8XS_No Fraud n of Employment n of Employmen With No Red included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which revealed the Borrower started a rental property investment business on 01/01/2005, 26 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM Flags Present days prior to the subject loan closing date of 01/27/2005. Furthermore, the Statement of Financial Affairs indicated the Borrower was also 2005-8XS_No Even employed as a Bus Driver with an undisclosed employer as a wage earner for 4 years prior to the bankruptcy filing date of 07/14/2007, of Default which would have also covered the subject loan closing date. Additional research conducted through Accurint revealed that the Borrower incorporated the undisclosed business on 12/28/2004 to the present date, which was 1 month prior to the subject loan closing and the business was registered in the Borrower's name at the Borrower's primary residence. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by sorrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated self employment as a the Owner of one home repair business for 6 years as his sole source of employment, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attach

owen_US Bank_	_Final Version_29)			08-13	3555-ma	Dog	oc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
				1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2005-8XS_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2005-8XS_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio In of Income - Red Flags Present - Near Year	Misrepresentatio	2 3	The Borrower misrearesented is disclosed in costs. He Borrower folder stated income as the Owner of a home based home construction business for 8 years a saming \$5,000 per motificant the look of perfect of the perfect of the perfect of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy with the Western District of Pennsylvania on 07/14/2007. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which revealed the Borrower started a rental property investment business on 01/01/2005, 26 days prior to the subject loan closing date of 01/27/2005. Furthermore, the Statement of Financial Affairs indicated that the Borrower was also employed as a Bus Driver with an undisclosed employer as a wage earmer for 4 years prior to the bankruptcy filing date of 07/14/2007, which would have also covered the subject loan closing date. Additional research conducted through Accurint revealed that the Borrower incorporated the undisclosed business on 12/28/2004 to the present date, which was 1 month prior to the subject loan closing and the business was registered in the Borrower's primary residence. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a self-employed Owner of a home based home construction business for 6 years, earning \$6,0
7 (00000019641448	1st	SASC 2005-7XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 (The subject loan closed on 02/11/2005, in the amount of \$303,200, as a purchase of a non owner occupied four unit dwelling. The subject loan had an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Note, Mortgage, Title Policy and Homeowner's insurance. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.
				1.04 (b) (xii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act (TILA) required a final Truth in Lending statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
								The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2846704
				1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS 2005-7XS_Qualified Appraisal		E Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3	(FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_29

 	Ta .			l	2222-111R	DUC 4000	0-7 Filed 00/22/14 Lillered 00/22/14 13.34.10 Allaciline		4105 150 00	001000	
8 000000019680297	1st	SASC 2005-7XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 02/31/2005—in the amount of \$135,359, as a purchase of a non-owner occupied, single family residence. The loan was approved by \$13460 income/Veriging Asset (\$144) documentation loan, with a 79.99%/89.99% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 45% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 02/09/2005, in the loan file.	Stated	\$135,150.00	2846705	
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS	Misrepresentatio		1 3	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. The Mortgage Electronic Registry System report and the audit credit report revealed			2846705	
			2005-7XS_No Fraud	Obligations - No	n of Debt		that the Borrower opened two undisclosed mortgages. The first undisclosed mortgage was in the amount of \$409,500 that resulted in an				
			1.04 (c) (vii) SAS	Red Flags Present			undisclosed monthly mortgage payment of \$4,634 per month and was opened in 10/2004, which was 4 months prior to the subject loan closing and secured a property located in West Palm Beach, Florida. The second undisclosed mortgage was a refinance transaction of the				
					•						
			2005-7XS_No Event of Default				Borrower's primary home in which the Borrower opened an undisclosed home equity line in the amount of \$85,000 in 08/2004. The undisclosed monthly debt for the \$85,000 home equity line securing the second lien for the Borrower's primary home was calculated using				
			oi Deiauit				a rate of 5% over 30 years and resulted in an undisclosed monthly payment of \$456. The Borrower's undisclosed mortgages totaled				
							\$494,500 and resulted in a total undisclosed monthly mortgage payment of \$5,090.				
							3494,300 and resulted in a total undisclosed monthly mortgage payment of 35,050.				
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
							documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
							and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
							and that, no hadd was committed in connection with the origination of the subject toan.				
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed				
							of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
	1						Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose \$5,090 in monthly debts,				
	1						which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
	1										
				Misrepresentatio		2 3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Professor earning \$9,500 per month on the		\$0.00	2846705	
			2005-7XS_No Fraud		n of Income		loan application. An audit re-verification of employment and income was obtained, which revealed the Borrower earned \$7,430 per				
				Flags Present -			month.				
			1.04 (c) (vii) SAS	Same Year							
			2005-7XS_No Event	Income Evidence			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
			of Default				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
							and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed				
							of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
							Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Professor earning \$9,500 per month on the loan application,				
							which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
9 000000019737048	1st	SASC 2005-7XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 01/31/2005, in the amount of \$541,246. The data tape indicated that the subject loan was approved with an	Unknown	\$541,246.00	2846706	
							80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval				
							included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines				
	1						of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's the First Lien Note, the				
	1						First Lien Mortgage, the HUD-1, the HOI and the title report. There were no other critical documentations provided for the file that was				
	1		1.04 (b) (xii) SAS	Failure to Provide	Eailure to	1 3	represented on the data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and		50.00	2846706	
			1.04 (b) (xii) SAS 2005-	the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	1 3	executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.		\$0.00	2840700	
			7XS_Compliance	tile Fillal FIL	FIOVIUE FIIIdi TIL		executed by the borrower and maintained in the loan line. The final file was missing from the loan line.				
			7A3_Compliance								
			with Applicable				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local				
			with Applicable				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local,				
			with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.				
			Law - Deemed MnA				state, and federal laws.				
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005-				state, and federal laws.				
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS				state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination				state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to				
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination				state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to				
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices	Eailure to Provide	Failure to Obtain	33	state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2846706	
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS			3 3	bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act		\$0.00	2846706	
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS 2005-7XS_Qualified	the Origination	Qualified	3 3	state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination		20.00	2846706	
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS			3 3	bespite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act		50.00	2846706	
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS 2005-7XS_Qualified	the Origination	Qualified	3 3	state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.		50.00	2846706	
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS 2005-7XS_Qualified	the Origination	Qualified	3 3	state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination		50.00	2846706	
			Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS 2005-7XS_Qualified	the Origination	Qualified	3 3	state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.		\$0.00	2846706	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

S Bank Final Version 29

OS 12555 mg Doc 46090 7 Filed 09/22/14 Entered 09/22

S Bank_Final Version_29		<u> 08-13</u>	<u>.5555-mg</u>	<u> </u>	<u>U-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmen</u>	<u> </u>			
10 00000019760354 1st SARN	vi 2005-8XS			0 0	79.04% LoaM to Val (2.4 Mbin 3) Loan to Que (4.4 Mb. 1.7 Mb. neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's HUD-1, HOI, The First Lien Note, the First Lien Mortgage, and the title report. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Selier.	Unknown	\$320,000.00	2846201	
	1.04 (b) (xii) SARM f 2005- 8XS_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005- 8XS_Origination Practices		Failure to Provide Final TIL	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		\$0.00	2846201	
	1.04 (c) (xviii) SARM f 2005-8XS_Qualified Appraisal	the Origination	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.		50.00	2846201	
11 00000019780295 1st SASC	2 2005-7XS	Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 02/08/2005 in the amount of \$56,250. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual U approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, title, HUD-1, Hazard insurance, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$56,250.00	2846707	
			Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		\$0.00	2846707	
		Failure to Provide the Final TIL	E Failure to Provide Final TIL	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2846707	
	2005- 7XS_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- 7XS_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS 2005-7XS_Qualified t	the Final TIL Failure to Provide the Origination	Provide Final TIL	3 3	executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to		\$0.00	2846707 2846707	

ven US Bank Final Version 2	29			00 14	DEEE ma	Doc 46090	Digital Kisk - Loan Keview Findings) 7 Filed 09/22/14 Entered 09/22/14 15:24:19 Attachme	nt	
12 00000019813369	1st	SARM 2005-8XS		Loan Summary	3555-mg Loan Summary	DUC 40080	D-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme The subject loan closed on 02/41/2005 to the arrower of 339,750 are purchase of a non owner occupied detached single family residence. The loan Daugh proved as a stall discondissible of 250 m, with a 75%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a	SISA \$39,750.00	2846203
			1.04 (c) (v) SARM 2005-8XS_NO Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SARM 2005-8XS_NO Event of Default	Red Flags Presen - Same Year	Misrepresentatio	13	24.679% Debt to Income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 02/10/2005, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Assistant Manger for a Financial Institution for 2.6 years, earning \$5,000 per month on the loan application. The Borrower provided post closing income documentation for the purpose of a short sale. The Borrower provided a W-2 from the subject year loan closing 2005, which revealed the Borrower's actual income was \$3,335 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Assistant Manager of a Financial Institution earning \$5,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.	SUA	2846203
13 00000030003677	1st	SARM 2005-8XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 12/15/2004, in the amount of \$192,948, as a purchase of a non owner occupied detached single family residence located in a Planned Unit Development. The subject loan had an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, Note, Mortgage, Homeowners Insurance and Title Policy. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown \$192,948.00	2846204
			1.04 (b) (xii) SARM 2005- 8XS_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005- 8XS_Origination Practices	the Final TIL	e Failure to Provide Final TIL	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	, SO.01	2846204
			1.04 (c) (xviii) SARM 2005-8XS_Qualified Appraisal		e Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.		2846204
14 00000030023576	1st	SARM 2005-8XS		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 12/30/2004, in the amount of \$171,500, as a purchase of a non owner occupied detached single family residence. The subject loan was approved with a 70%/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Note, Mortgage, final HUD-1 Settlement Statement, Title Policy and Homeowners insurance Policy. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown \$171,500.00	2846205
			1.04 (b) (xii) SARM 2005- 8XS_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005- 8XS_Origination Practices	the Final TIL	e Failure to Provide Final TIL	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	50.00	2846205

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal Title Xpof the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act
(FIRREA) required the Got a medium, the appraisal company with the Oniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination 2846205 2005-8XS Qualified the Origination Qualified Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 15 000000030132740 SARM 2005-8XS \$80,000,00 284620 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 01/28/2005 in the amount of \$80,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual Unknown approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, title, HUD-1, Hazard insurance, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 2846208 he Final TIL rovide Final TI executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. 8XS Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, Law - Deemed MnA state, and federal laws. 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 2005-8XS_Origination Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to Practices materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (xviii) SARM Failure to Provide Failure to Obta The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 284620 2005-8XS_Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 16 000000030215362 ASC 2005-7XS The subject loan closed on 01/24/2005, in the amount of \$100,000. The data tape indicated that the subject loan was approved with an oan Summary Loan Summary 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV) and did not provide any additional information on the subject loan. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's HUD-1, HOI, Note, the Mortgage, and the title report. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 1.04 (b) (xii) SAS 2846715 2005-Provide Final TI executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. 7XS Compliance with Applicable The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. 2005-7XS_Origination Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to Practices materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2846715 2005-7XS Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 17 000000030443857 The subject loan closed on 02/64/2005—in the analysis of \$49, 190—as a purchase of an owner occupied Single Family Residence. The loan was approved as a stated lacone (Verified Asset (5494) loan, wether 00%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a LXS 2005-4 \$49,100.00 2845347 17.66% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentat 1.04 (c) (v) LXS The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated gross rental income as \$595 per month on the loan 2845347 n of Income - No n of Income application for the proposed departure residence. The Borrower remained at the departure residence and did not occupy the subject 2005-4_No Fraud Red Flags Present property and therefore; the rental income was invalid. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Same Year 2005-4_No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated rental income as \$595 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. Public records indicated the Borrower remained at her departing 2845347 Occupancy Misrepresentati Misrepresentatio n of Occupancy residence from 09/1999 to 01/2013 and did not occupy the subject property. A post closing tax return obtained through the modification 2005-4_No Fraud - With No Red process for 2007 the subsequent 2 years following the subject loan closing, indicated the subject property as a investment property. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Flags Present 2005-4_No Event of The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 18 000000030621809 The subject loan closed on 04/06/2005, in the amount of \$83,200. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor XS 2005-2 \$83,200.00 28453 Loan Summary Loan Summary Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, title, Hazard insurance, and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be 2845311 2005-2 Compliance Final HUD-1 Provide Final provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The HUD-1 with Applicable final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. Law - Deemed Mn/ The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-2_Origination Practices Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 2845311 2005-2_Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TI executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-2_Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to

materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Jitle Yorkhe Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act
(FIRREA) required the Garamamum, the appraisal company with the Oniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-2_Qualified Qualified the Origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 19 000000030663876 I XS 2005-2 \$612 500 00 284531 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 04/13/2005, in the amount of \$612,500. The data tape indicated that the subject loan was approved with a 79.04% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV) and did not provide any additional information on the subject loan. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's HUD-1, HOI, Note, the Mortgage, and the title report. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. Failure to Provide Failure to 2845314 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 2005-2 Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TI executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-2_Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2845314 2005-2 Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. The subject loan closed on 04/22/2005, in the amount of \$312,000. The calculation of the Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value 20 000000030675409 LXS 2005-2 \$312,000,00 2845316 Loan Summary Loan Summary (LTV/CLTV) and The data tape indicated that the subject loan was approved with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's the First Lien Note, the First Lien Mortgage, the HUD-1, the HOI and the title report. There were no other critical documentations provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. The subject loan was also approved with a second lien on 04/22/2005, in the amount of \$78,000. 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and 2845316 2005-2 Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TIL executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-2_Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2845316 2005-2 Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal Appraisal appraisal is missing from the loan file. Appraisal

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 21 000000030786719 The subject loan closed on 5/03/2005, in the amount of \$336,090 as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loa was approved as a stated income/verified is the amount of \$336,090 as a proved as a stated income/verified is \$4.41% debt to LXS 2005-2 \$336,000.00 2845324 ncome ratio. There was a manual approval dated 4/29/2005, in the loan file. Under-Disclosed Loan Fees Under-2845324 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS The subject loan did not comply with applicable federal, state, or local law. The Truth in Lending Act required written disclosure as to the 2005-2 Compliance Fees / APR Disclosed Annual Percentage Rate (APR) and/or applicable fees, such disclosure was required to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, with Applicable and evidence of such disclosure was required to be maintained in the loan file. Law - Deemed MnA The disclosed finance charge (\$509,268.30) is (\$302.34) below the actual finance charge (\$509,570.64). The Truth in Lending Act considers 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS the disclosed finance charge inaccurate if it is more than \$100 below the actual finance charge. 2005-2_Origination Practices The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the APR and/or points and fees were not adequately disclosed to the Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Per public records and the audit credit report, the Borrower had acquired a \$64,800 2845324 2005-2 No Fraud n of Debt n of Debt home equity line in 2/2005 with a monthly payment of \$176 per month. Obligations -Obligations 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS With Red Flags The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such 2005-2 No Event of Present documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: Default and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender b orrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$176 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Youth Correction Officer/Prison earning 2845324 2005-2_No Fraud n of Income - Red n of Income \$9,200 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained a verification of employment from the Borrower's original employer Flags Present dated 5/01/2005, which reflects the Borrower's true income as \$5,912. 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS Same Year 2005-2_No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Youth Correction Officer/Prison earning \$9,200 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust. 22 000000030840318 I XS 2005-2 The subject loan closed on 06/03/2005, in the amount of \$650,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied three unit property. The loan was Stated \$650,000.00 284532 Loan Summary Loan Summan approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 79,74%/89,76% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 39,33% debt to income ratio. There was a manual approval dated 6/23/2005, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Per public records and an audit credit report, the Borrower had purchased two 2845329 Misrepresentatio Misrepresentat 2005-2 No Fraud of Debt of Debt properties prior to the purchase of the subject property dated 5/23/2005. The first property located in Los Angeles, CA was purchased on Obligations -Obligations 5/17/2005 in the amount of \$630,000 with a payment of \$4,191. The second property located in Los Angeles, CA was purchased on 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS With Red Flags 5/18/2005 in the amount of \$168,000 with a payment of \$1,117. 2005-2 No Event of Present Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein: and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose \$5,308 in monthly debt, which

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
US Bank Final Version 29

OS 12555 mg Doc 46090 7 Filed 09/22/14 Entered 09/22

n US Bank Final Version 29	9			00 12	555-ma	Doc	46090	-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	nt		
23 00000030991038		LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00		The subject on closed on 6/83/2005 by the arready of 5329/309, as a Purchase of a second home detached single family residence located in a Nanney Unit of 5329/309, as a Purchase of a second home detached single family residence located in a Nanney Unit of Same	Unknown	\$329,200.00	2845352
			1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	1 3		The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845352
				Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3		The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.			2845352
24 00000031153307	1st I	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00		The subject loan closed on 07/01/2005, in the amount of \$236,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, Title, Hazard Insurance, final HUD-1 and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$236,000.00	2845356
			1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	1 3		The Subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845356
			1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-4_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3		The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.			2845356

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

25 000000031175011	1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	3555-mg	00 -000	D-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	Unknown	\$101,600.00	2845357
				,	,		The subject loan closed on 06/30/2005-in the agrayus of \$101,600, as a purchase of a condominium with an 80%/95% Loan to Value/Combined Loan Rev Value (LTV/CLTV) There was neither on whomated Underwriting System nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's signed Note, Title, Hazard insurance, 2nd page of the loan application, final HUD-1 and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.		,,,,,,,,,,,	
				Failure to Provide		1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth In Lending (TIL) statement to be			2845357
			2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS	the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL		acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			
			2005-4_Origination Practices				Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			
			rucciecs				Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
			1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS	Failure to Provide		3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act			2845357
			2005-4_Qualified Appraisal	the Origination Appraisal	Qualified Appraisal		(FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.			
							The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.			
							Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.			
26 00000031195126	1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/13/2005, in the amount of \$90,600, as a purchase transaction. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Hazard insurance, final HUD-1, Title commitment and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$90,600.00	2845358
			1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file.			2845358
			Law - Deemed MnA				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			
			1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices				Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			
							Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			
		1	1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS	Failure to Provide	Failure to Obtain	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act			2845358

			08-13	3555-ma	Doc 4	46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
27 000000031232937 1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject joan closed on 07/87/2005—in the analysis of \$15,800.00 as a rate and term refinance transaction. There was neither an Automated Underwick Resistant (AUS) not Manuary approval inclosed in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Hazard insurance, final HUD-1, Title commitment and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.
		1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
		1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-4_Qualified Appraisal	the Origination	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	2 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.
28 00000031330905 1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 07/08/2005, in the amount of \$550,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Hazard insurance, Title commitment and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.
		1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices		Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was not provided. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
		1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
		1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-4_Qualified Appraisal	the Origination	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Ven_US Bank_Final Version_29

OR-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 1

ven_US Bank_Final Version	_29			08-13	3555-ma	Doc 46080	0-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme	nt			
29 000000031400765	1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	o lo	7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme The subject loan closed on 08/24/2005 by the analysis of \$74.00 can be provided in the subject loan closed on 08/24/2005 by the analysis of \$74.00 can be provided in the subject loan. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (Automated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Hazard insurance, final HUD-1, Title commitment and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$274,100.00	2845368	
			1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	e Failure to Provide Final TIL	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		\$0.00	2845368	
			1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-4_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	e Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.		\$0.0	2845368	
30 00000031416324	1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 08/10/2005, in the amount of \$32,000, as a purchase transaction with a 76.2%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Note, Mortgage, Title, final HUD-1 settlement statement and home owner's insurance. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller.		\$32,000.00	2845371	
			1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	e Failure to Provide Final TIL	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		\$0.00	2845371	
			1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-4_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	e Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	3 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.		\$0.0	2845371	
31 0000003142334€	1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 08/10/2005, in the amount of \$556,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied detached single family residence. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file contained the Borrowers' Note, Mortgage, HUD-1 settlement statement, Title and home owner's insurance. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$556,000.00	2845372	
			1.04 (b) (xii) LXS 2005-4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices	the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		\$0.00	2845372	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal Title Ypof the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act
(FIRREA) required the Garamamum, the appraisal comply with the Oniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination L.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-4_Qualified Qualified the Origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 32 000000033715053 IXS 2007-5H \$460,000,00 284558 Loan Summary Loan Summan The subject loan closed on 12/20/2006, in the amount of \$460,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied three unit dwelling. The loan was Stated approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset Ioan, with a 100% I oan to Value/Combined I oan to Value, and a 44 951% Debt to Income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 12/19/2006, in the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income ratio in excess of 60%. 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% 2845581 2007-5H DTI Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of the Debt to Income ratio based on the Borrower's verified income and undisclosed debt results in an increase from 44.951% to 72.49%, which exceeds the Seller's represented Debt to Income ratio of 60%. L.04 (b) (xviii) LXS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. A review of Mortgage Electronic Registry Systems and the audit credit report reflected 2845581 2007-5H_DTI n of Debt n of Debt the Borrower obtained an undisclosed mortgage prior to the subject loan closing of 12/20/2006. On 09/07/2006, the Borrower obtained an

undisclosed mortgage on a property located in Malden, Massachusetts in the amount of \$265,500 with a monthly payment of \$2,008.

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortzage.

A recalculation of the Debt to Income ratio based on the Borrower's undisclosed debt and verified income yields a Debt to Income ratio of

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose a \$2,008 monthly debt, which

The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated primary income as a Nurse for 8 years and had secondary

documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

A recalculation of the Debt to Income ratio based on the Borrower's verified income and undisclosed debt yields a Debt to Income ratio of

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Nurse earning \$9,366 per month on the loan application.

The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. The Borrower continued to reside in the departing residence

disclosed on the loan application after the subject loan closing of 12/20/2006. The Borrower provided post closing federal tax returns for

the purpose of obtaining a short sale. The Borrower provided both the subject year loan closing and subsequent year tax returns which

indicated the Borrower's mailing address was the same as the departing address disclosed on the loan application. In addition, both

Schedule E's reflected the subject property as a rental property. A search of Vehicle Registrations reflects the Borrower registered two

In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.

Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;

income as a Nurse for 8 years at the earning a combined income of \$9,366 per month. The Borrower provided post closing income documentation from the subject year loan closing 2006 for the purpose of obtaining a short sale. The Borrower's 2006 income as reported

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such

2845581

2845581

The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact to omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein

and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

72.49%, which exceeds the Seller's represented Debt to income ratio of 60%

constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust

on the Borrower's federal tax return reflected the Borrower's total earnings were \$7,356 per month.

and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

vehicles on 04/09/2007 and 06/15/2007 using the departing address disclosed on the loan application.

72.49%, which exceeds the Seller's represented Debt to income ratio of 60%

Obligations - No Obligations

Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio

n of Income - Red n of Income

Flags Present -

Same Year

Occupancy

- With No Red

Flags Present

n of Occupancy

2007-5H_No Fraud Misrepresentatio

2007-5H_No Fraud Income Evidence

Red Flags Present

1.04 (c) (v) LXS

1.04 (c) (vii) LXS

2007-5H_No Event of Default

1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS

2007-5H DTI

1.04 (c) (v) LXS

1.04 (c) (vii) LXS

2007-5H_No Event

1.04 (c) (v) LXS

1.04 (c) (vii) LXS

of Default

2007-5H_No Event

of Default

2007-5H_No Fraud

of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.

					<u> 9</u>		c. 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
33 000000033732223	1st	LXS 2007-5H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	The subject Van cicle 11 12/26/2006 in Ge Caroline Of 50/4000 of a purchase of a non owner occupied attached single family residence. The loan was approved as a No Income/Verified Assets loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was a Manual approval dated 12/26/2006, in the loan file.
			1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-5H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-5H_No Event of Default	Obligations - With Red Flags	Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	1	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. A review of the Mortgage Electronic Registry Systems and the audit credit report reflect the Borrower obtained three undisclosed mortgages prior to and within 30 days of the subject loan closing of 12/26/2006. On 12/07/2006, the Borrower obtained a first and second mortgage on a property located in North Las Vegas, Nevada. The first mortgage was in the amount of \$328,000 with a monthly payment of \$2,517 and the second mortgage was in the amount of \$82,000 with a monthly payment of \$939. On 01/25/2007, the Borrower obtained an undisclosed mortgage on a property located in Quartz Hill, California with a loan amount of \$91,000 and a monthly payment of \$1,078. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations by failing to disclose \$4,534 in monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.
34 000000033748153	1st	LXS 2007-5H		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 (The subject loan closed on 01/31/2007, in the amount of \$169,900, as a purchase of a non owner occupied condominium. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 36.74% Debt to Income ratio.
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-5H_DTI 1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2007-5H_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2007-5H_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence		1	There was a Manual approval dated 01/29/2007, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a Mortgage Brokerage business for 2.9 years, earning \$18,000 per month on the loan application. The Borrower provided post closing income documentation for the purpose of obtaining a short sale. The Borrower provided federal income tax returns from the subject year loan closing 2007, which revealed the Borrower had a loss of \$1,105 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. A recalculation of the Debt to Income ratio based on the Borrower's verified income results in an invalid Debt to Income ratio, which exceeds the Seller's represented Debt to income ratio of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as an Owner of a Mortgage Brokerage business earning \$18,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.
			1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-5H_DTI	DTI Exceeds 60%	DTI Exceeds 60%	2 3	The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income ratio in excess of 60%. Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of the Debt to Income ratio based on the Borrower's verified income and undisclosed

Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 35 000000033752783 LXS 2007-5H \$147,500.00 2845588 Debt to Income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 12/28/2006, in the loan file. DTI Exceeds 60% DTI Exceeds 60% The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan would not have a Debt to Income ratio in excess of 60%. 2845588 1.04 (b) (xviii) LXS 2007-5H DTI Despite the Seller's representations, a re-calculation of Debt to Income ratio based on the Borrower's verified income results in an increase from 36% to 115.83%, which exceeds the Seller's represented Debt to Income ratio 60%. Misrepresentatio Misrepresentati The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Pastor for 4 years, earning \$8,200 per month 2845588 2007-5H_DTI n of Income - No In of Income The Borrower provided post closing income documentation for the purpose of obtaining a home modification loan. The Borrower's federal Red Flags Present tax returns for the subject year loan closing 2006 reflected the Borrower's actual income was \$2,131 per month. 1.04 (c) (v) LXS - Same Year 2007-5H_No Fraud Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; 1.04 (c) (vii) LXS and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. 2007-5H No Event of Default In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Pastor earning \$8,200 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust. 36 000000035630672 The subject loan closed on 06/23/2005, in the amount of \$78,750, as a cash out refinance of a non owner occupied detached single family Unknown \$78,750.00 I XS 2005-4 284537 Loan Summary Loan Summary residence. The loan was approved with a 75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Mortgage, HUD-1 settlement statement, Title and home owner's insurance. There was no other critical documentatio provided for the file that was represented on the data tape by the Seller. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to 2845375 2005-4_Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TII acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4 Origination Practices Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2845375 2005-4 Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal Annraisal Appraisal appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 37 000000035724640 XS 2005-2 Loan Summary Loan Summar The subject loan closed on 06/15/2005, in the amount of \$121,600, as a purchase of an owner occupied property. The loan was approved \$121,600,00 2845344 with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Note. Mortgage, HUD-1 settlement statement, Title and home owner's insurance. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the date tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be 2845344 2005-2_Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TI acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the with Applicable Law - Deemed Mn/ The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS state and federal laws 2005-2_Origination Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Practices Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 29 08-13555-ma The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Jitle X of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act
(FIRREA) required the Lagrand amount, the appraisal permits with the Oniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination L.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2005-2 Qualified Qualified the Origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 38 000000035734052 XS 2005-2 \$57,200,00 284534 Loan Summary Loan Summan The subject loan closed on 06/16/2005, in the amount of \$57,200, as a purchase of a non owner occupied single family attached Town house with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System Approval nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's final HUD-1 settlement statement, Note, Mortgage, Title and home owner's insurance policy. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. Failure to Provide Failure to 2845345 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending ()TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be 2005-2 Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TIL acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS state, and federal laws. 2005-2_Origination Practices Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 2845345 2005-2 Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal Appraisal Appraisal appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. LXS 2005-4 The subject loan closed on 07/25/2005, in the amount of \$128,000, as a cash out refinance of a non owner occupied detached single family Unknown \$128,000,00 39 000000036016053 Loan Summary Loan Summary 284537 residence, with an 80%/87.3% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System approval no Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Borrower's Final HUD-1 Settlement statement. Mortgage, Note, Title Policy and Evidence of Home Owner's insurance. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data Tape by the Seller. 1.04 (b) (xii) LXS Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final Truth in Lending statement to be 2845377 2005-4_Compliance the Final TIL Provide Final TI acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final Truth in Lending statement was missing from the with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, 1.04 (c) (xvii) LXS 2005-4_Origination Practices Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act 1.04 (c) (xviii) LXS 2845377 2005-4 Qualified the Origination Qualified (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination Appraisal appraisal is missing from the loan file. Appraisal Appraisal The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.

Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.

	Digita	ıl Risl	k - Loan	ı Review	Findings
\sim			010014		1 00 100 1

Cowon IIS Pan	Einal Varsian 2	00			00.4) 	ъ-	- 40000	Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings	4			
	<pre><_Final Version_2</pre>	29	LVC 2005 4			3555-mg	_ Do	<u>C 46080</u>)-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachme The subject loan closed on 08/29/2005—to the company of \$35.500—as purchase of a non-owner occupied Single Family Residence located in a Planned Unit of December 1 the Ioan Os Sported December 1 State Roome/Verified Asset (SIVA) Ioan, with an 80%/100% Loan to	nt	¢05.600.00	2045270	
4	000000036073229	150	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	U	U	in a Planned Unit Development The John was accepted as a Statest become/Verified Asset (SIVA) Joan, with an 80%/100% Loan to	Stated	\$95,600.00	2845378	
									Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 26.94% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/09/2005, in				
									the loan file.				
				1.04 (c) (v) LXS 2005-4_No Fraud	Misrepresentation n of Debt	Misrepresentatio n of Debt	1	3	The Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations. Public records and the audit credit report indicated the Borrower purchased 2 undisclosed properties on 08/25/2005, 16 days after the subject loan closing, and acquired first and second mortgages in the amount of			2845378	
				2003-4_NO 11800	Obligations -	Obligations			\$90,000, \$22,500, \$76,400 and \$19,100 with monthly payments of \$516, \$225, \$438, and \$168. The Borrower also refinanced an				
				1.04 (c) (vii) LXS	With Red Flags				investment property the same day as the subject loan closing on 08/09/2005, and acquired new mortgages in the amounts of \$95,600 and				
				2005-4_No Event o	of Present				\$23,950 with payments of \$1,562 and \$210.				
				Default					The Called and and and an artist that and assumed as her island for long and as without a sixty of the sixty of				
									The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
									and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed				
									of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
									abortower of any agent district in connection with the approval of the constitutes an event of delault under the mortgage.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower misrepresented his debt obligations by failing to disclose \$2,166 in additional monthly				
									debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
4	1 000000036099695	1st	LXS 2005-4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	NINENA	\$97,500.00	2845379	
									was approved as a No Documentation loan (No Income, No Employment, No Asset Verification) loan, with a 79.93%/94.89% Loan to				
									Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV). There was a Manual approval dated 08/05/2005, in the loan file.				
				1.04 (c) (v) LXS	Misrepresentation	Misrepresentatio	1	3	The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. Public records and the audit credit report reflected the Borrowers purchased an		\$0.00	2845379	
				2005-4_No Fraud	n of Debt	n of Debt			undisclosed property on 08/09/2005, the same day as the subject loan closing, and acquired a first mortgage in the amount of \$200,000				
					Obligations -	Obligations			with a monthly payment of \$1,546.				
				1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2005-4_No Event of	With Red Flags				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
				Default	Ji i resent				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
									and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
									Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by failing to disclose \$1,546 in monthly debt,				
									which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
				1.04 (c) (v) LXS	Occupancy	Misrepresentatio	2	3	The Borrowers misrepresented their intent to occupy the subject property. Public records reflected the Borrowers occupied an undisclosed			2845379	
				2005-4_No Fraud		n of Occupancy			property from 09/2005 to 07/2013, which was purchased on the same day of the subject loan closing. Public records indicated other				
				1 04 (c) (vii) LVC	n - With No Red Flags Present				individuals occupied the subject property from 10/2005 to 08/2013.				
				1.04 (c) (vii) LXS 2005-4_No Event of					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such				
				Default	1				documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein;				
									and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
									In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed				
					1				of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
					1				Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
					1								
									Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
									יסי מביזמנוג שוומבו גווב באבנגונבט ואוטונגן מצב מוומ/טו טבבט טו וווטגנ.				
									Grand Total of Repurchase Demand		\$9,189,444.00		

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_25 08-13555-mg Breach Pascriptiant 3 Pg 235 of 256 Document Type Original Balance Fracking Number Comments Loan Count Loan Number First or Second Deal Name Section of the Issue Description Breach MLSAA Breached 0121861843 SAS 2007-GEL1 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 07/24/2006, in the amount of \$40,000, as a cash-out refinance of a non-owner occupied single family \$40,000.0 284477 residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 33.24% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual Approval dated 07/21/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (c) (v) SAS Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation of The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. The Audit Credit Report and public records research both reveal an 284477 2007-GEL1 No n of Debt Debt Obligations undisclosed mortgage debt on a disclosed property at origination. Public records research through Accurint andCorelogic reveal a Fraud Obligations mortgage loan opened 06/2006 for \$395,000 with a payment, based on the subject note rate of 9,925%, of \$3,444. This With Red Flags undisclosed loan was opened the same month of the subject closing of 07/24/2006; however, it was not disclosed on the 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS Present 2007-GEL1 No Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrowers or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations by falling to disclose a \$3,444 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application. According to the Schedule I of the Bankruptcy Documents, Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation of 284477 2007-GEL1 No n of Employment Employment filed by the Borrower with the Western District of Oklahoma Bankruptcy Courts as part of a Chapter 13 bankruptcy case dated With No Red 06/02/2009, the Borrower stated employment as a Mortgage Broker for 7 years and did not include that he was self-employed in Fraud Flags Present the most recent 6 years as a Real Estate Investor, as was stated on the origination application. 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-GEL1 No The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such Event of Default documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated self-employment as a Real Estate Investor for 2 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust. 2 0122232564 3NCMT 2006-2 oan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 08/03/2006, in the amount of \$542,700, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family \$542,700.0 284488 residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 90% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.04% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/08/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC Misrepresentatio Misrepresentation of The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Senior Banking Manager earning 2844889 2006-2_No Fraud n of Income - No Income \$8,575 per month on the loan application. An audit Verification of Employment was conducted through The Work Number, which Red Flags Presen revealed the Borrower's monthly income was \$5,872 the year the subject loan closed. 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC - Same Year 2006-2 No Event of Income Evidence The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Senior Banking Manager earning \$8,575 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust

3 0122235518	1st	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0 0	Tiled 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmer The subject loan closed 0308/11/2006, in the property. S The loan was approved as a Stated in conference Assertion, with a 71% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 38.541% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/15/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$262,620.00	2844894	
			1.04 (b) (xxi) BNC 2006-2_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) BNC 2006-2_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n - With No Red	Misrepresentation of Occupancy	1	3	The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. An Accurint search of the Borrower revealed the Borrower purchased a single family residence located in Chicago, IL 60652 on 10/17/2006, 2 months after the subject loan closing on 08/11/2006. Accurint reported that the Borrower occupied the single family residence from 10/2006 through 01/2013. Accurint also reported numerous individuals resided in both units of the subject property from 10/2006 through 01/2013. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		50.00	2844894	
4 0122255102	1st	BNCMT 2006-2		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	00	The subject loan closed on 08/08/2006, in the amount of \$343,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation/12 Month Bank Statement loan, with a 79.767% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 48.264% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 08/15/2006, in the loan file.	Full	\$343,000.00	2844924	
			1.04 (b) (xl) (d) BNC 2006- 2_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Obtain Credit Report	Failure to Obtain Valid Credit Report	1		The loan file contained a credit report; however, pages one and two of the credit report were missing and the Borrowers' credit scores could not be verified. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and		;	2844924	

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_24

, o o o	3 Dank_i iliai vei	31011_24			00-1355	oo-ing L	JUC 40	0080-7	Filed 08/22/14 Efficied 08/22/14 15.34.18 /	Allaciime	HIL	
an Count	Loan Number	First or Second	Deal Name	Section of the MLSAA Breached	Issue Description	Breach	Breach Count	Rating	Verbpartis Pg 237 of 256	Document Type	Original Balance	Tracking Number Comments
	1 00124784216	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0	The subject loan closed on 06/08/2007, in the amount of \$132,742, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation (12 months' bank statements) loan, with a 75% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 17.819% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/15/2007, in the loan file.	Full	\$132,742.00	2847089
				1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC4_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC4_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default	n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year	Misrepresentation of Income	1	1 3	was a Manual approval dated 06/15/2007, in the loan file. The Borrower misrepresented his disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a marble and tile company for 6 years, earning \$6,500 per month. The loan file contained post closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower earned \$1,670 per month the year the subject loan closed. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Further still, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loar underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as the Owner of a marble and tile company for 6 years, earning \$6,500 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.			2847089
	2 00124799297	1st	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 06/11/2007, in the amount of \$110,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 78.571% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 29.118% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/08/2007, in the loan file. Per the estimated HUD-1, the Borrower received \$40,546 cash back at closing.	Full	\$110,000.00	2847092
				1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS 2007- BC4_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xviii) (g) SAS 2007- BC4_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	1	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file contained an unsigned estimated HUD-1 stamped by the title company; however, the subject property was located in lowa, which is a wet funding state, and required a final signed HUD-1, which was not provided. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2847092

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmer

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version	1_24		08-1355	55-mg D	Ooc 46	6080-7 ິ		Attachme	nt		
		1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC4_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Obtain	Failure to Verify Housing History	3	3	We loan applied in reflect of the 300 well of 12 in subject property for 1.3 years with a mortgage payment of \$400 per month. The loan file contained a verification of mortgage executed by a private individual as verification; however, 12 months canceled checks were not provided as required for private mortgages. The loan file contained copies of 3 cashier's checks; however, they were not legible. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Despite the Seller's representations, the housing history was not properly verified, which significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan			2847092	
							to be underwritten in accordance with the represented underwriting methodology is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				
2,00124024474	CACC 2007 2.2.		Lana Cura	Lana Company		0	The subject less sleep de OC (40/2007 is the same of 6247 000	Ctatad	Ć247.000.00	2047022	
3 00124834474 1s	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	·	The subject loan closed on 06/18/2007, in the amount of \$217,000, as a cash-out refinance of an owner-occupied attached single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset loan, with a 68.89% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a 49.96% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual Approval dated 06/15/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$217,000.00	2847099	
		1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC4_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool	Misrepresentatio n of Income - Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence		1	3	The Borrowers misrepresented their disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Clerk earning \$3,400 per month on the loan application. The Co-Borrower listed income of \$2,700 per month as a Customer Service Person. The loan file contained tax returns for the same year of the subject closing in 2006, which revealed the Borrower earned \$2,601 per month and the Co-Borrower earned \$1,756 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the		\$0.00	2847099	
		1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC4_No Fraud					extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities.				
		1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan.				
							Further still, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
							In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrowers or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage.				
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Clerk earning \$3,400 per month on the loan application and the Co-Borrower falsely stated income as a Customer Service Person earning \$2,700 per month, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.				

Cowen US Bank Final Version 24

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Findings

Attachment

JS Bank_Final Versio		CASC 2007 DC4	1			00 40	 -		<u> Attachme</u>		2047444
4 00124903345 1:	Lst	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	U	We subject that Gosed (DO)/12/300, in the Don't of \$188,000, as a cash out refinance of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 40.96% debt to income ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/23/2007, in the loan file.	Stated	\$188,000.00	2847111
			1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC4_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	Misrepresentatio n of Income	2	3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as an Administrative Assistant earning \$3,648 per month on the loan application. The subject loan file contained post closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 tax return, which revealed the Borrower's monthly income was \$1,676 the year the subject loan closed. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Administrative Assistant earning \$3,648 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.			2847111
5 00124913583	Lst	SASC 2007-BC4		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 07/09/2007, in the amount of \$275,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan, with a 100% loan-to-value/combined loan-to-value, and a 46.47% debt-to-income ratio. There was a Manual approval dated 07/27/2007, in the loan file.	Full	\$275,000.00	2847125
			1.04 (b) (xviii) (d) SAS 2007- BC4_Underwriting Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC4_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (viii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Employment - With Red Flags Present	Misrepresentatio n of Employment	1	3	The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application. The loan file contained a verbal verification of employment confirming the Borrower's position and tenure; however, the original audit credit report indicated the Borrower was employed with a different company the year of the subject loan closing. The loan file contained post-closing 2007 joint tax returns that indicated the Borrower had self-employment income which matched a post-closing 1099 statement; however, the Borrower's self-employment was not disclosed. Furthermore, the loan file contained a pay stub provided at origination, which reflected a year-to-date income of \$30,521; however, the loan file also contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 joint tax return, the year of the subject loan closing, which revealed income of only \$3,249, or \$271 per month. Further still, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event			2847125

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Owen_US Bank_Final Version_24 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_24	08-13555-mg	Doc 46080-7 F		ttachmei	nt	
	1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC4_No Fraud Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default Misrepresentatio n of Income Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	ntatio 2 3	We Borovar misepres Prof h 24 Pose fine 25 he Borrower falsely stated income as a Warehouse Supervisor earning \$6,730 per month on the loan application. The loan file contained a pay stub provided at origination, which reflected a year-to-date income of \$30,521; however, the loan file also contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 joint tax return, the year of the subject loan closing, which revealed income of only \$3,249, or \$271 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Warehouse Supervisor earning \$6,730 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		2847125	
	1.04 (c) (v) SAS 2007-BC4_No Fraud 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default 1.04 (c) (vii) SAS 2007-BC4_No Event of Default	ncy	The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. The loan file contained post-closing income documentation including the Borrower's 2007 and 2008 tax returns, which revealed the Borrower occupied the previous primary residence located in Pico Rivera, CA. The bankruptcy documentation filed by the Borrower on 03/24/2011 with the United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California confirmed the Borrower occupied the property located in Pico Rivera, CA until 06/2010. In addition, the loan file contained a post closing lease agreement for the subject property. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust.		2847125	

an IIC Dank Final V	24			00 4055		S 4/	Sooo a	ital Risk - Loan Review Findings		
en_US Bank_Final V		January 201	1	08-1355		<u> 200 46</u>	5080-7	Filed 08/22/14		00.4505
6 0014567333	2nd	SAIL 2003-BC1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0	Unknown the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	\$20,000.00	2845687
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	3	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.	\$0.00	2845687
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	2	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		2845687
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Subject Note			5 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.	\$0.00	2845687
7 0014567481	2nd	SAIL 2003-BC1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	\$20,600.00	2845688
			1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	3	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. he Final Hud-1, was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to	\$0.00	2845688

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen US Bank Final Version 24

OR-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment

Cowen_US	Bank_Final Vers	ion_24			08-1355	55-mg [Doc 46	6080-7 °	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18	Attachme	nt		
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL		4	1 3	The subject pan 3 d not proly 1/12 or fall to the Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The Truth In Lending (TIL) disclosure was missing from the loan file.			2845688	
				Law					The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.				
									Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Subject Note		5	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).		50.00	2845688	
									Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.				
8	0014567499	2nd	SAIL 2003-BC1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	The subject loan closed on 10/01/2002, in the amount of \$20,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$20,000.00	2845689	
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the final HUD-1. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.			2845689	
									Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.		50.00	2845689	
									Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Subject Note	Failure to Provide Subject Note	4	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.			2845689	
									Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.				

	Bank_Final Versi		1		08-1355		<u> 200 4 </u>	<u>6080-7 </u>		<u> Attachme</u> i			
90	0014602460	2nd	SAIL 2003-BC1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	,	0 0	Vere was arithed an Au Dreate Dud Provi fing Tom (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loah file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$21,990.00	2845691	
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1		3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.			2845691	
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL		4 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.		\$0.0	2845691	
				1.04 (b) (xi) SAIL 2003- BC1_Compliance with Applicable Law	Failure to Provide the Subject Note			5 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.			2845691	
10 0	0015137268	1st	SAIL 2003-BC5		Loan Summary	Loan Summary		0	The subject loan closed on 02/07/2003, in the amount of \$71,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$71,000.00	2845702	
				1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Mortgage File 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Origination Practices				3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the Subject Note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided. Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the			2845702	

owen_US Bank_Final Ver	sion_24			08-1355	55-mg D	oc 46	080-7 [©]	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 /	\ttachme	nt		
			1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	4	3	The Subject Pring d not proposed to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the final HUD-1. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to		\$0.00	2845702	
			1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL 2003-	Failure to Provide	Failure to Provide Final TIL	5	3	comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan			2845702	
			BC5_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Origination Practices					file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act.				
			4.04/1/	5.1	To the Charter	6		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845702	
			1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Qualified Appraisal	the Origination Appraisal	Qualified Appraisal	o d	3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.		30.0	2043/02	
								Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.				
11 0015163561	1st	SAIL 2003-BC5		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0	0	There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual Approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the data tape by the Seller.	Unknown	\$54,825.00	2845703	
			1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xii) SAIL 2003-	Failure to Provide the Subject Note		2	3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The subject Note was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws.			2845703	
			BC5_Mortgage File 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2003- BC5_Origination Practices					The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA). Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.				
								Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.				

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_24 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachm

08-1355		080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachmer	2845703
1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide 2003- Final HUD-1	Provide Final	The subject pandid not proly // Experiment Procedures Act required a complete and find HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and	50.00 2845/03
BC5 Compliance	HUD-1	executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final	
with Applicable	HOD-1	HUD-1 was missing from the loan file.	
Law - Deemed MnA		TIOD-1 was missing moin the loan me.	
Law - Deemed WillA		The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied	
1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL		in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.	
2003-		in an indiction respects with applicable local, state, und redefin lows.	
BC5 Origination		Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan	
Practices		was in compliance with the Act.	
Tructices		was in complained with the vec	
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to	
		comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws,	
		is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	
		Certificateholders.	
1.04 (b) (xii) SAIL Failure to Provide	Failure to 4	3 The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a	2845703
2003- the Final TIL	Provide Final TIL	final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the	-5.5.53
BC5 Compliance		loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.	
with Applicable			
Law - Deemed MnA		The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied	
		in all material respects with applicable local, state, and federal laws.	
1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL			
2003-		Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan	
BC5_Origination		was in compliance with the Act.	
Practices			
		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to	
		comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws,	
		is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	
		Certificateholders.	
1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL Failure to Provide	Failure to Obtain 5	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the	90.00 2845703
2003- the Origination	Qualified	Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal	
BC5_Qualified Appraisal	Appraisal	comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal	
Appraisal		is missing from the loan file.	
		The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.	
		Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act.	
		with the Act.	

12 0015317563	1st	SAIL 2003-BC9	Loan Summary	55-mg [Loan Summary	0	0	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 We subject the Sold of State of S	Attachme Unknown	\$175,500.00	2845706	
		1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL 2003- BC9_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xvii) (g) SAIL 2003- BC9_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xvii) (h) SAIL 2003- BC9_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2003- BC9_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	Failure to Provide Final HUD-1	2	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The final HUD-1 was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.			2845706	
		1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL 2003- BC9_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (b) (xvii) (g) SAIL 2003- BC9_Fees Disclosed - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xvii) (h) SAIL 2003- BC9_Written Disclosure - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL 2003- BC9_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	3	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending (TIL) Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.		50.0	2845706	

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Cowen US Bank Final Version 24 Tite Subject opin the Sulfunctor of the Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal 1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to Obtain 2845706 the Origination Qualified BC9 Qualified Appraisal Appraisal comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal Appraisal is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA. Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied with the Act. 13 0015373921 SAIL 2003-BC9 Loan Summary Loan Summary The subject loan closed on 02/27/2003, in the amount of \$25,798. There was neither an Unknown \$25,798.00 2845708 Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. All of the documents in the file were for a different Borrower and a different property location than what was represented on the Data Tape by the Seller. 2845708 1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL Failure to Provide Failure to The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act Final HUD-1 Provide Final required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and BC9_Compliance HUD-1 executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan with Applicable file did not contain a final HUD-1. Law - Deemed MnA The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied 1.04 (b) (xvii) (g) in all material respects with applicable laws. SAIL 2003-BC9 Fees Disclosed Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan Deemed was in compliance with the Act. MnA Pool 1 Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to 1.04 (b) (xvii) (h) comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the SAIL 2003-Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders. BC9 Written Disclosure -Deemed MnA_Pool 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL

BC9_Origination Practices

		Digit	tal Risk - Loan Review Findings	
wen_US Bank_Final Version_24	08-13555	-mg Doc 46080-7	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 At	ttachment
	1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL Failure to Provide Fa	ilure to 4 3	The subject of the Composition o	50.00 2845708
		ovide Final TIL		
	BC9_Compliance		maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.	
	with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA		The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied	
	Law - Deemed WillA		in all material respects with applicable laws.	
	1.04 (b) (xvii) (g)		in an material respects with applicable laws.	
	SAIL 2003-		Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan	
	BC9_Fees Disclosed		was in compliance with the Act.	
	- Deemed			
	MnA_Pool 1		Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to	
	4.04 (1) (") (1)		comply with applicable law is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the	
	1.04 (b) (xvii) (h) SAIL 2003-		Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.	
	BC9 Written			
	Disclosure -			
	Deemed MnA_Pool			
	1			
	1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL			
	2003- BC9_Origination			
	Practices			
	Tractices			
	1.04 (b) (xiii) SAIL Failure to Provide Fa	ilure to 5 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act	2845708
	2003- the Subject Note Pro	-	required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and	
	· · = · · · · · ·	ote	maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file did not contain the Subject	
	with Applicable		Note.	
	Law - Deemed MnA		The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied	
	1.04 (c) (xii) SAIL		in all material respects with applicable laws.	
	2003-		in an material respects with applicable laws.	
	BC9_Mortgage File		The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete,	
			true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by	
	1.04 (c) (xvii) SAIL		the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).	
	2003-			
	BC9_Origination Practices		Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject	
	Practices		transaction was provided.	
			a and a provided.	
			Pursuant to the MLSAA, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law is deemed to	
			materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the	
			Certificateholders.	
	1.04 (c) (xviii) SAIL Failure to Provide Fa	ilure to Obtain 7 3	The subject loan was subject to a qualified appraisal. Title XI of the Federal Institutions of the	2845708
		ualified	Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) required that, at a minimum, the appraisal	
	BC9_Qualified Appraisal Appraisal	ppraisal	comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The origination appraisal is missing from the loan file.	
	γγριαίδαι		is mosing from the four file.	
			The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the appraisal complied with FIRREA.	
			Despite this requirement, there is no evidence in the file that the origination appraisal complied	
			with the Act.	

Digital Risk - Loan Rev

14 0031871486	ersion_24	SARM 2005-23		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attach	\$0.00 2846161
1,0001071.00		3 2505 25		,	200		Were we get the Dan Au De te Da	
			1.04 (b) (xii) SARM	Failure to Provide	Failure to	2 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act	50.0 2846161
			2005- 23_Compliance with Applicable Law - Origination	the Subject Note	Provide Subject Note		required that a fully executed note for the subject transaction be executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The loan file was missing the subject Note. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied	
			1.04 (c) (xii) SARM 2005-23_Mortgage File 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM				in all material respects with applicable laws. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that it was in possession of a complete, true and accurate Mortgage File, including, but not limited to, the subject note, as required by the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement (MLSAA).	
			2005- 23_Origination Practices				Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan was in compliance with the Act, neither is there evidence that the note for the subject transaction was provided.	
			1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM 2005- 23_Compliance with Applicable Law					
			1.04 (b) (xii) SARM	Cailure to Brazida	Failure to	3 3	The subject least did not comply with applicable law. The Book Estate Settlement Proceedings Act	2846161
			2005- 23_Compliance with Applicable Law - Origination	Final HUD-1	Provide Final HUD-1	3 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act required a complete and final HUD-1 to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The HUD-1 was missing from the loan file.	2840101
			1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005- 23_Origination				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan	
			Practices 1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM				was in compliance with the Act.	
			2005- 23_Compliance with Applicable Law					
			1.04 (b) (xii) SARM 2005- 23_Compliance with Applicable	Failure to Provide the Final TIL	Failure to Provide Final TIL	4 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a final TIL statement to be acknowledged and executed by the Borrower and maintained in the loan file. The final TIL was missing from the loan file.	2846161
			Law - Origination 1.04 (c) (xvii) SARM 2005-				The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, there is no evidence in the loan file that the subject loan	
			23_Origination Practices 1.04 (c) (xxiv) SARM				was in compliance with the Act.	
			2005- 23_Compliance with Applicable					
			Law					

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attach

n_US Bank_Final Ver	sion_24			08-1355	55-mg E	Ooc 460	080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment
			1.04 (c) (xviii) SARM 2005-23_Qualified Appraisal	Failure to Provide the Origination Appraisal	Failure to Obtain Qualified Appraisal	5 3	We subject pen as sulphot
							With the field
15 0113205744	1st	SAS 2007-TC1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 11/02/1998, in the amount of \$42,000. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The loan file only contained the Note, Title Commitment, HUD-1 Settlement Statement, Loan Application, Appraisal and the Mortgage. There was no other critical documentation provided for the file that was represented on the data.
			1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS 2007- TC1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xxxvii) SAS 2007- TC1_Origination Practices		Failure to Provide Right of Rescission	1 3	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Right of Rescission is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain a Right of Rescission, which is evidence of the subject loan's noncompliance with applicable law. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.
16 0117077461	1st	SASC 2005-S1		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	00	The subject loan closed on 08/14/2000, in the amount of \$56,950, as a rate and term refinance of a land contract for an owner occupied single family residence. There was neither an Automated Underwriting System (AUS) nor Manual approval included in the loan file to definitively ascertain whether the loan was properly stipulated and approved in adherence with the guidelines of the loan program in effect at the time of application in the loan file. The final HUD-1 reflected the Borrowers received \$641 cash back at closing.
			1.04 (b) (xiii) SAS 2005- S1_Compliance with Applicable Law - Deemed MnA 1.04 (c) (xvii) SAS 2005- S1_Origination Practices	Failure to Provide the Right of Rescission	Failure to Provide Right of Rescission	13	The subject loan did not comply with applicable law. The Truth in Lending Act required a Right of Rescission disclosure to be provided to the Borrower, acknowledged and executed by the Borrower, and maintained in the loan file as evidence of compliance. The Right of Rescission is missing from the loan file. The Seller represented and warranted that the origination practices and subject loan complied in all material respects with applicable laws. Despite the Seller's representations, the loan file did not contain a Right of Rescission, which is evidence of the subject loan's noncompliance with applicable law. Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the subject loan's failure to comply with applicable law, including, but not limited to, applicable lending and disclosure laws, is deemed to materially and adversely affect the value of the Mortgage Loan and interest of the Certificateholders.

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings

O8-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Findings

Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 24

Cowen_US Bank_Final Version_24	08-135	<u>55-mg Doc 46</u>	6080-7 Filed 08/22/14
17 0121283352 1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3 Loan Summary	Loan Summary 0	Ye subject pan Sosed 即6/2/即位, 內神河面內 to \$126,800, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence located in a planned unit development. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 38.728% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/22/2006, in the loan file.
	1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwritin g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default	o Misrepresentatio n of Debt Obligations	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. Research of public records conducted through the Mortgage Electronic Registry System and an audit credit report revealed the Borrower refinanced 2 of her investment properties on 05/31/2006, 9 days prior to the subject loan closing on 06/09/2006, which were not disclosed at origination. The Borrower refinanced a property located in Richmond, VA and obtained an undisclosed refinance in the amount of \$15.8,950 with a monthly payment of \$1,517, which increased the balance by \$79,215 and the monthly payment by \$823 and refinanced an additional rental property also located in Richmond, VA and obtained a first mortgage in the amount of \$78,200 with a monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly payment of \$719, which increased the balance by \$59,3781 and the monthly debt, which originated the collateral for the subject loan to the under the Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay. Pursuant to the Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and also significantly impacted the determination of the Borrow
	1.04 (b) (xii) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwritin g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio 2 on of Occupancy	The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. Research of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 13 Bankruptcy on 08/19/2008, with the Eastern District of Virginia. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which revealed the Borrower continued to reside in her departing address from 08/2006 through the date of the filing and had previously resided in the previous address reflected on the loan application from 2005 through 08/2006 and did not occupy the subject address after the subject loan closing on 06/09/2006. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Further still, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falisfied; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings
08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 24

JWEII_03 Balik_Fillar Version_24		00-1300	<u> 5-1119 L</u>	<u> </u>		minent	
	1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL	Misrepresentatio			Tipe Borpmar misterres Encel in Island in the loan application as a Real Estate Investor for 2 years. Research of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 13	\$0.00 2846012	
	2006-BNC3_No		n of Employment				
	Fraud	- With No Red			Bankruptcy on 08/19/2008, with the Eastern District of Virginia. The petition included a		
		Flags Present			Statement of Financial Affairs, which revealed the Borrower was self employed as the Owner of		į
	1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL				the business listed on the loan application from 2005 to the date of the filing, or 1.8 years.		
	2006-BNC3_No						
	Event of Default				The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan		
					underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading		
					statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and		
					that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		
					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and		
					acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject		
					loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by		į
					Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event		
					of default under the Mortgage.		
					Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated employment as a Real Estate		
					Investor for 2 years, which constituted an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or		
					Deed of Trust.		
					Deed of Trust.		
	1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL	Misrepresentatio	Misrepresentatio	4 3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed rental income. The Borrower falsely stated rental	2846012	
	2006-BNC3 No	n of Income - No	·		income in the amount of \$2,350 per month on the loan application for her departing residence.		
	Fraud	Red Flags Present			Research of public records revealed the Borrower filed a Chapter 13 Bankruptcy on 08/19/2008,		
		- Same Year			with the Eastern District of Virginia. The petition included a Statement of Financial Affairs, which		
	1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL	Income Evidence			revealed the Borrower continued to reside in her departing address and did not occupy the		
	2006-BNC3 No	medine Evidence			subject address after the subject loan closing on 06/09/2006; therefore, no rental income for		
	Event of Default				the property would have been collected		
					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan		
					underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading		
					statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and		
					that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.		
					In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and		ĺ
					acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject		ĺ
					loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by		
					Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event		ĺ
					of default under the Mortgage.		
					Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated rental income on her departing		
					address in the amount of \$2,350 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event		ĺ
					of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.		ĺ
					of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Hust.		ĺ
							<u> </u>

18 0121286223	2nd	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	C	0	The subject let n Qosed መርፋ/19/ወሙ, iሗነት የጋመዉነ of \$32,000, as a purchase of an owner-	Full	\$32,000.00	2846017	
				· ·	,			Tipe subject lipting osed 何何何/12四句, in the 2回句 to f \$32,000, as a purchase of an owner- occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Full Documentation loan (6		. ,		
							months bank statements), with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value, and a					
								27.61% Debt to Income Ratio. There was a Manual Approval dated 06/16/2006, in the loan file.				
								The loan closed simultaneously with a first lien in the amount of \$128,000.				
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL	Microprocontatio	Microprocontatio		3 3	The Borrower misrepresented her debt obligations. The Audit Credit Report revealed an			2846017	
			2006-BNC3 No	n of Debt	Misrepresentation of Debt	-	3	undisclosed mortgage, obtained in 05/30/2006, the month prior to the subject loan closing on			2840017	
			Fraud	Obligations - No				06/19/2006, in the amount of \$164,587 with a monthly payment of \$1,283, secured by a				
			T T G G G	Red Flags Present	Obligations			property located in Richton Park, IL. The payment was calculated using the subject's first lien				
			1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL					rate of 8.65% for 30 years.				
			2006-BNC3_No Event of Default					The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan				
			Event of Default					underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading				
								statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and				
								that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and				
								acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject				
							loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by					
								Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event				
							of default under the Mortgage.					
							Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrowers misrepresented her debt obligations by					
							failing to disclose a \$1,283 monthly debt, which constitutes an event of default under the					
								executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.				
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL	Occupancy	Misrepresentatio	4	4 3	The Borrower misrepresented her intent to occupy the subject property. The Borrower signed		\$0.00	2846017	
			2006-BNC3_No	Misrepresentatio	n of Occupancy			an Occupancy Affidavit at closing, which indicated the Borrower agreed to occupy the subject				
	1.	Fraud	n - With No Red				property within 60 days and reside there for at least one year after the closing date of					
		1 O4 /b\ /::\ CAII	Flags Present				06/19/2006. Research of public records revealed the Borrower purchased an undisclosed					
		1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No					property located in Richton Park, IL on 05/30/2006, 19 days prior to the subject loan closing and resided at t he property from 06/2006 through 02/2013.					
			Event of Default									
								The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan				
								underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and				
								that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.				
								In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and				
								acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by				
								Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event				
								of default under the Mortgage.				
								Despite the Saller's representations, the subject leap was falsely represented as an exper-				
								Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage				
								and/or Deed of Trust.				
								,				

n_US Bank_Final Ve	ersion_24			08-13555-ma	Doc 46080-7	ital Risk - Loan Review Findings Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 <i>A</i>	ttachme	nt		
19 0121303184	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary Loan Summary			Stated	\$195,500.00	2846054	
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio n of Income n of Income - No Red Flags Present - Same Year Income Evidence	tio 1 3	The Borrower misrepresented her disclosed income. The Borrower falsely stated income as a Shampoo Porter earning \$3,000 per month on the loan application. An audit re-verification of employment and income was conducted through The Work Number, which revealed the Borrower's actual income for the year of the subject loan closing was \$26,056 or \$2,171 per month. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated income as a Shampoo Porter earning \$3,000 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and Deed of Trust.		\$0.00	2846054	
20 0424205254	2.1	SAU 2006 PMG2				The 10 day of 20 (2000) in the control of 20 (2000)	Character of	\$435,000,00	2045054	
20 0121306351	2nd	SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 06/22/2006, in the amount of \$125,000, as a purchase of an owner occupied 2 unit residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 50.257% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/27/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$125,000.00	2846064	
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwritin g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default	Misrepresentatio Misrepresenta n of Employment - With Red Flags Present		The Borrower misrepresented his employment on the loan application. Per the loan application, the Borrower indicated that he had secondary income as a Mason for 3 years. An audit verbal reverification of employment and income was obtained through the Borrower's secondary employer, which revealed the Owner had a personal relationship with the Borrower; however, the Borrower was never employed by him. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to income. The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject loan. Further still, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event			2846064	

Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated secondary income as a Mason for 3 years, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan.

Pursuant to the Mortgage Loan Sale and Assignment Agreement, the failure of the subject loan

Digital Risk - Loan Review Findings 08-13555-mg Doc 46080-7 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 15:34:18 Attachment Cowen US Bank Final Version 24 Tyle Borrywartn Depres Dred in ក្នុន Osedfin Dred the Borrower falsely stated secondary income as a Mason earning \$4,800 per month on the loan application. An audit verbal re-1.04 (b) (xli) (d) 2846064 SAIL 2006n of Income - Red n of Income BNC3 Underwritin Flags Present verification of employment and income was obtained through the Borrower's secondary g Methodology -Same Year employer, which revealed the Owner had a personal relationship with the Borrower; however, Deemed MnA Pool Income Evidence the Borrower was never employed by him. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate 2006-BNC3 No to income. Fraud The Seller also represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL confirmed that the Borrower had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject 2006-BNC3 No Event of Default Further still, the Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the Borrower falsely stated secondary income as a Mason earning \$4,800 per month on the loan application, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust and has a significant impact on the Borrower's reasonable ability to repay the subject loan. 21 0121306757 SAIL 2006-BNC3 The subject loan closed on 06/22/2006, in the amount of \$92,000, as a cash out refinance of an Stated \$92,000.00 2846065 Loan Summary Loan Summary investment property. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 80% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 20.191% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 07/06/2006, in the loan file. 1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL Misrepresentatio Misrepresentatio The Borrowers misrepresented their debt obligations. Research of public records conducted 2846065 2006-BNC3 No n of Debt n of Debt through the Mortgage Electronic Registry System and an audit credit report revealed the Obligations - No Obligations Borrowers obtained an undisclosed mortgage on their primary residence on 06/27/2006, 5 days Fraud Red Flags Present after the subject loan closing on 06/22/2006, in the amount of \$104,000 with a monthly 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL payment of \$770. It should be noted, the Borrowers primary residence was listed as free and 2006-BNC3 No clear of any mortgages at origination. Event of Default The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan.

22 0121307409	1st	SAIL 2006-BNC3		08-1355 Loan Summary	Loan Summary	oc 46080-7		Attachme Stated	\$312,000.00	2846066	
				,	,		occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with an 80%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 31.635% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/28/2006, in the loan file.				
			1.04 (b) (xxi) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Fraud 1.04 (b) (xxiii) SAIL 2006-BNC3_No Event of Default	Occupancy Misrepresentatio n - With No Red Flags Present	Misrepresentation of Occupancy	1 3	The Borrower misrepresented his intent to occupy the subject property. The loan application reflected the Borrower rented his current address for 8 years and the loan file contained a verification of rent indicating the Borrower had a monthly rent payment of \$1,200. At closing, the Borrower signed a security instrument reflecting the Borrower agreed to occupy the subject property within 60 days of closing and continue to reside in the property for a minimum of 12 months. Research of public records revealed the Borrower continued to reside in the departing address from 02/1987 through 04/2013. The records also reflected the Borrower's Voter's Registration was active from 08/31/1992 through 11/12/2010 reflecting the departing address and the Borrower had phone and email services at the departing address; however, no services were found for the subject address. Further research of public records revealed the departing address was owned by a third party who shared the same last name as the Borrower and was the Co-Owner of the assets provided for qualification. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that no document submitted for loan underwriting was falsified; neither did such documentation contain any untrue or misleading statements of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein; and that, no fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the subject loan. In addition to the Seller's representation and warranty regarding events of defaults and acceleration, the executed Mortgage and or Deed of Trust securing the collateral for the subject loan provides that the delivery of any false, misleading or inaccurate statements to Lender by Borrower or any agent thereof in connection with the approval of the Loan constitutes an event of default under the Mortgage. Despite the Seller's representations, the subject loan was falsely represented as an owner occupied transaction, which constitutes an event of default under the executed Mortgage and/or Deed of Trust.			2846066	
23 0121309041	21309041 2nd SAIL 2006-BNC3		Loan Summary	Loan Summary	0 0	The subject loan closed on 06/02/2006, in the amount of \$45,800, as a purchase of an owner occupied single family residence. The loan was approved as a Stated Income/Verified Asset (SIVA) loan, with a 20%/100% Loan to Value/Combined Loan to Value (LTV/CLTV), and a 30.932% Debt to Income Ratio (DTI). There was a Manual approval dated 06/07/2006, in the loan file.	Stated	\$45,800.00	2846070		
			1.04 (b) (xli) (d) SAIL 2006- BNC3_Underwritin g Methodology - Deemed MnA_Pool 1	Failure to Obtain VOM/VOR	Failure to Verify Housing History	3 3	The loan application reflected the Borrowers lived in a residence located in New Hope, MN; however, the loan application did not reflect how long the Borrowers resided at the property, previous addresses, whether the Borrowers were renting or purchasing the property or a monthly payment. The loan file did contain a verification of rent executed by a management company, which reflected housing dates of 07/01/2005 through 06/30/2006 with a monthly rent payment of \$859; however, the verification was completed on 05/17/2006, or 10 months prior to the subject loan closing on 06/02/2006. There was no additional documentation provided to complete a 12 months housing payment history as required. The Seller represented and warranted, in part, that the methodology used in underwriting the extension of credit for the subject loan employs objective mathematical principles which relate to liabilities. The Seller further represented and warranted, in part, that the underwriting methodology used confirmed that the Borrowers had a reasonable ability to make timely payments on the subject			2846070	

pyright 2013, Digital Risk, LLC. Internal & Confidential - Do Not Duplicate Run: 5/31/2013 2:3